
October 2024











# 25<sup>th</sup> Annual North Country Survey of the Community

Community Attributes of Most Concern in this Historic Presidential Election Year – Pocketbooks, Immigration, Homelessness, Housing, and Childcare

# **Table of Contents**

Section 1 – Introduction	4
Section 1.1 – Methodology – How These Data Were Collected	. <b>4</b> 7
Section 1.2 – Demographics of the Sample – Who was Interviewed?  Table 2 – Demographics of the October 2024 North Country Sample – The Nature of this Sample  Table 3 – Margins of Error for Varying Sample Sizes  Table 4 – County-specific Sample Sizes for Each Year of the North Country Annual Surveys of the Community	8 9
Section 2 – Topline Summary of Findings1	
The Most Notable Study Findings in 2024.  1. For which North Country community indicators in 2024 are residents most/least satisfied?	11 11 12 13 14
7. Homelessness in the North Country –	
Section 3.1 – Community Quality-of-Life Indicators	
Section 3.1.1 – Relative Standing of Community Quality-of-Life Indicators in 2024  Table 5 – SUMMARY of "Relative Standing" – Year 2024 – All 21 Quality-of-life Indicators Compared Across Counties - Rate of Responding "Excellent or Good"	- . 18 - 19 20 20 oss 20 red
Section 3.1.3 – 2024 Detailed Results for Community Quality-of-life Indicators.  "Framing" a Statistic – Providing Perspective to Better Understand, Interpret, and Use this Survey Data	22 23 . 24 . 25 26 27 28 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 40
Table 28 – Availability of behavioral health services Table 29 – The Downtown of Watertown Table 30 – Overall Quality of Life in the Area	. 43

Section 3.2 – Additional Tracked Resident Opinions and Characteristics – How	W
have residents changed over time?	45
Table 31 – Generally speaking, would you say things in this country are heading in the right or wrong direction? Table 32 – Generally speaking, would you say things in New York State are heading in the right or wrong direction?.	
Table 33 – Generally speaking, would you say things in your county are heading in the right or wrong direction?	
Table 34 – When considering your family's personal financial situation- has it gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse in the past 12 months?	48
Table 35 – Largest Issue Facing Residents of the North Country	49
Table 36 – Largest Issue Facing Residents of the North Country – Summary of Most Commonly Cited Issues	
Table 38 – How likely is it that someone in your household would use a facility in Jefferson County for the processing	g of
food products as a part of a small, local business?	
Table 40 – Political Beliefs	
Section 3.3 – Homelessness in the North Country in 2024	
Table 41 – To what extent do you feel the issue of homelessness in your community personally impacts you? Table 42 – When considering all of the issues facing your community, do you consider homelessness to be a top pri	57 ority
a moderate priority, or a low priority?	58
Table 43 – To what extent do you feel the issue of homelessness in your community is a safety concern? Table 44 – To assist individuals experiencing homelessness, how supportive are you of a shelter with limited or mini	
requirements for entry, to be located in your community?	60
Table 45 – What do you believe are the primary reasons for the homelessness in your community?	
Section 3.4 – The November 2024 Presidential Election.  Table 46 – Are you a registered voter, and if yes, in which party?	
Table 47 – How likely are you to vote in the elections in November 2024 that include the New York State US Senate	seat
in addition to the US Presidency? (among registered voters) Table 48 – If you were registered to vote in 2020, who did you vote for in the Presidential Election when Donald Trur	
ran against Joe Biden?	
Table 49 – Pre-election Forecasts for the US Presidency Race (among registered likely voters, with weighting and calibration toward an expected voter turnout model)	65
Section 4 – Final Comments	67
Appendix I – Detailed County-specific Trends in Tabular	
• • •	68
Format	00
Appendix II – Detailed Demographic Cross-tabulations in	
Tabular Format	.84
	•
Appendix III – Technical Comments – Assistance in	
Interpretation of the Statistical Results1	126
Margin of Error – Constructing Confidence Intervals to Estimate for an Entire Population	
Margin of Error – More Detail for Those Interested in Maximizing Precision and Accuracy of Estimates Table 50 – More Detailed Margins of Error for Varying Sample Sizes and Varying Sample Proportions	
Significance Testing – Testing for Statistically Significant Trends, Differences, and Relationships	129
Correlated Explanatory Variables – How does one decide if there is a "statistically significant" correlation?	
Trend Analysis – How does one decide if a county has "statistically significantly" changed over time?	131
Comparing Similarly-scaled Variables (Survey Items)	132
Appendix IV – The 2024 Survey Instrument1	134
••	

# **Acknowledgements**

# Sponsors of the North Country Annual Surveys of the Community

The Center for Community Studies would like to thank the following four local organizations for their generous financial support of this study.









# Faculty Supervisors for this Study:

Mr. Joel LaLone Director of the Center for Community Studies
Mr. Larry Danforth Assistant Professor of Mathematics and
Research Director of the Center for Community Studies
Mr. Andrew Draper Assistant Professor of Mathematics and
Research Coordinator at the Center for Community Studies

## The Advisory Board of the Center for Community Studies:

Steve Todd Nance Arquiett Jerilyn Fairman Tracy Leonard Mary Corriveau Richard Halpin Katie Malinowski Eric Virkler Larry Danforth Timothy Hunt Jay Matteson Henricus Wagenaar Carl McLaughlin Doug Welch John Deans Natalie Hurley Andy Draper Jake Johnson John O'Driscoll David Zembiec Ryan Piche Sonja Draught Joel LaLone Megan Stadler Daniel Dupee Joseph Lawrence

#### Student Research Associates:

The following 56 students at SUNY Jefferson participated in this study by completing the interviews of North Country residents and/or compiling and cleansing data

	-						
Jillian	Alberry	Olivia	Dalin	Caitlin	Manning	Nate	Steelman
Мо	Anagam	Rhiannon	Dyer	Jen	Manning	Emma	Stine
Evan	Autote	Brittany	Ekert	Dylan	Marra-Farmer	Ellie	Storms
Cydney	Barrus	Kaitlyn	Gaffney	Ryan	McManaman	Maleri	Streiff
Keith	Bell	Kylee	Hagerman	Kary	Melendez-Aristaud	Peyton	Taylor
Samantha	Booth	Miranda	Hubbard	Parker	Moffett	Khang	Trevenen
Anne	Brissault	Tony	Jin	Kori	Nichols	Maddie	Uhlinger
Katelyn	Burnham	Chase	Kampnich	Jacob	Ortiz	Lauren	Ulrich
LaKaiya	Butcher	Samia	Krazoun	Mallory	Reape	Julia	Valvo
Jared	Butler	Aldyn	Landas	Quinlyn	Reed	Marissa	Valvo
Katie	Call	Megan	Long	Terin	Rosenbarker	Abby	Welch
Keely	Cooney	Zachary	Main	Caroline	Schofield	Rylie	Wilson
Logan	Covey	Malik	Major	Adah	Simpson	Lawson	Wise
Briana	Cross	Olivia	Maneeley	Nick	Spivey	Bri	Wroten

# **Contact Information for the Center for Community Studies**

For more information, please contact

The Center for Community Studies at Jefferson Community College Office 2-100A 1220 Coffeen Street Watertown, New York 13601

E-mail: jlalone@sunyjefferson.edu

Website: www.sunyjefferson.edu/community/community-studies/

Phone: (315)-786-2264

This full final report of study findings is available for free by contacting Joel LaLone at <u>jlalone@sunyjefferson.edu</u>

The study findings and full report are available free online at

www.sunyjefferson.edu/community/community-studies/

# The Twenty-fifth Annual North Country Survey of the Community

Based on 1,790 interviews of adult residents conducted October 22 – October 27, 2024, and analyzed in the overall context of over 23,000 interviews completed 1999-2024.

# **Section 1 - Introduction**

The Center for Community Studies at Jefferson Community College in Watertown, New York was established in October 1999, to engage in a variety of community-building and community-based research activities and to promote the productive discussion of ideas and issues of significance to our Northern New York State region. In collaboration with community partners, the Center conducts research that will benefit the local population, and engages in activities that reflect its commitment to enhancing the quality of life of the area.

The annual Survey of the Community is one specific activity conducted each year by the *Center* to gauge the attitudes and opinions of a representative sample of adult citizens. This activity results in a yearly updated inventory of the attitudes and opinions of adult citizens of the region. The first year of this community survey was 2000, when the survey was completed exclusively in Jefferson County in April. For the following six years this Jefferson County community survey continued to be completed each year in April (2001-2006). Due to community support and interest for this type of community survey that extended beyond Jefferson County, the Advisory Board of the *Center* and Administration of the College determined in 2007 that it would be meaningful service to the region if the survey was also completed using a similar survey instrument annually in neighboring Lewis County. Hence, starting in October 2007 a survey in Lewis County has been completed in October of each of the eighteen years, 2007 through 2024, while the Jefferson County survey continued to be completed annually, as well. Similarly, starting in 2015 a survey in St. Lawrence County has been completed each of the ten years, 2015 through 2024. In summary, three county-specific annual surveys have been completed in each year since 2015, with a total of 25 years of surveying Jefferson County adults (each of the years 2000-2024), a total of 18 years of surveying Lewis County adults (each of the years 2015-2024), with a combined overall longitudinal study of 53 county-specific sub-studies that accumulate to include over 23,000 adult interviews.

This document is a summary of the results of the 2024 North Country Annual Survey of the Community in all of Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence Counties, including trend analysis comparisons with the results of community surveys from the preceding twenty-four years when possible. The result is that this document is a summary of 53 separate county-specific community surveys completed in Northern New York since 2000. In addition, the key community demographic characteristics of Gender, Age, Education Level, Household Income Level, Military Affiliation, Race/Ethnicity, and Political Ideology are investigated as potential explanatory variables that may be associated with or linked to quality-of-life indicators for the region, using the current 2024 survey results. At times in this study when election-related variables are analyzed, additional cross-tabulations by Party Affiliation, and Current and Past Presidential Voting Choices are also explored as investigations for other potential explanatory variables. It is standard methodology with professional surveys to provide this more detailed information to the reader – information that may assist in explaining the overall findings – by reporting the results for all subgroups within these key socio-demographic variables. Finally, results in each of the neighboring counties of Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence are presented, when possible, to allow county comparisons.

The results of this annual study provide important information about contemporary thinking of citizens; and, over time, will continue to provide important baseline and comparative information as well.

# <u>Section 1.1 – Methodology – How These Data Were Collected</u>

The original survey instrument used in the annual survey of the community was constructed in Spring 2000 by a team of Jefferson Community College faculty. There have been slight modifications to the survey instrument throughout the 24 subsequent years since its first version in 2000, however, the goal of this annual survey is to longitudinally track community indicators, and as a result, every effort has been made to maintain consistency in the survey instrument. The instrument includes 21 tracked community quality-of-life indicators, as well as approximately 10-15 other tracked community and adult resident characteristics. At times, the instrument is modified to accomplish objectives that are not longitudinally tracked in nature, such as to investigate election-related items in election years, or key current time-sensitive community issue items that are of interest to local leaders ("homelessness" is an example of this that has been employed in 2024). The total survey length each year is approximately 30-40 questions, with an additional set of approximately 10 demographic questions.

The primary goal of the North Country Annual Survey of the Community is to collect data regarding quality-of-life issues of importance to the local citizens. A secondary goal is to provide a very real, research-based learning experience for undergraduate students enrolled at Jefferson Community College. In accomplishing this second goal, students are involved in all aspects of the research, from question formation to data collection (interviewing), to data entry and cleansing, to data analysis. The students analyze the data collected in this study annually as assignments and projects in statistics classes. However, all final responsibility for question-phrasing, question-inclusion versus omission, final data analysis, and final reporting of findings (this document) lies exclusively with the professional staff of the *Center*. The decision to include any question as a legitimate and meaningful part of an annual survey is made exclusively by the *Center*. Similarly, data analysis of the information collected through the annual survey will transpire with faculty and students in the classrooms at Jefferson Community College; however, any statistical analysis reported in this document has been completed by the professional staff of the *Center*. Copies of the introductory script and survey instrument used in this study are attached as Appendix IV.

In accordance with the American Association of Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Transparency Initiative pledge, the following details and disclosure for the *telephone-interviewing*, *intercept-interviewing*, *and online surveying* employed in this study, including the following characteristics and facts should be considered by any reader:

1. (T) Dates of Data Collection: October 22 – October 27, 2024.

2. (R) Recruitment:

Telephone: All telephone participants were recruited to participate via random selection from a list of all

available valid active residential and cellular telephone lines in Jefferson, Lewis, and St.

Lawrence Counties, New York, USA.

Intercept: All face-to-face participants were recruited as they entered or exited the PX and the Commissary

on post at Fort Drum, Jefferson County, New York, USA.

Online (email): Participants were recruited to participate via an email invitation to a nonprobability panel with

a link to the survey embedded.

Online (MMS): Participants were recruited to participate via an MMS text message invitation with a link to

the survey embedded.

3. (A) Population Under Study: All adult residents of Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence Counties, New York, USA.

There are approximately 250,000 residents in the region. Approximately 190,000 of the 250,000 residents are adults, it is these adults who are the population of interest

in this study.

**4. (N)** List Source: Telephone: Electronic Voice Services, Inc., www.voice-boards.com

Intercept: No list utilized

Online (email): Bulk Email Superstore, Aristotle, and DataAxle.

Online (MMS): Electronic Voice Services, Inc., www.voice-boards.com, and Aristotle.

5. (S) Sampling Design:

Telephone: The entire phone list described in #2 was randomized, and approximately 10,000 valid

residential and cellular phone numbers were selected to contact to invite to participate in the

survey.

Intercept: Every adult who attended either the PX or Commissary the afternoon of October 22, 2024 was

invited to participate.

Online (email): The entire email address list described in #4 was randomized, and approximately 30,000 email

addresses of adult residents of Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence Counties, NY were

selected to contact to invite to participate in the survey.

Online (MMS): The entire cellular phone number list described in #4 was randomized, and approximately

30,000 cellular phones of adult residents of Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence Counties, NY

were selected to contact via MMS to invite to participate in the survey.

(P) Population Sampling Frame:

Telephone: As described in #2, the sampling frame includes all available residential listed phone numbers,

for adults in Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence Counties, NY, both landlines and cellular phones

included

Intercept: All military-affiliated adult residents of Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence Counties, New York,

USA.

Online (email): As described in #5, the sampling frame includes all available nonprobability panel email

addresses of adult residents of Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence Counties, NY.

Online (MMS): As described in #5, the sampling frame includes all available cellular phone numbers of adult

residents of Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence Counties, NY.

# 7. (A) Administration:

Telephone: Survey administered via telephone from a virtual remote call center, only in English, using

Momentive as the CATI system. No incentives or rewards were offered to participants.

Survey administered face-to-face on post at Fort Drum, Jefferson County, New York, USA, only Intercept:

in English. No incentives or rewards were offered to participants.

Online (email): Survey administered online via an email invitation to a nonprobability panel, only in English,

using Momentive. No incentives or rewards were offered to participants.

Online (MMS): Survey administered online via an MMS invitation, only in English, using Momentive. No

incentives or rewards were offered to participants.

(R) Researchers: The study is an annual survey completed by the Center for Community Studies at Jefferson Community College, with funding provided by the College and four community sponsors: CarFreshner, Corp.; the Board of Legislature of Lewis County, New York; the Northern New York Community Foundation, Inc.; and the Development Authority of the North Country, Inc., Watertown, New York, USA

- (E) Exact Wording of Survey: The survey instrument is attached as Appendix IV.

10. (N) Sample Sizes: As is discussed in much greater detail for this study later in this report: n=1,790 overall for the study, with an overall average margin of error of ±3.0%, including the design effect due to weighting. County-specific sample sizes and margins of error are: in Jefferson County n=749 and the average margin of error is ±4.7%; in Lewis County n=485 and the average margin of error is ±5.6%; and in St. Lawrence County n=556 and the average margin of error is ±5.4%. By sampling modality employed in this study, the raw sample sizes are: 546 live interviews on telephones; 615 online surveys via MMS push-to-web; 522 online surveys via email invitations to a nonprobability panel; and 107 intercept surveys on post at Fort Drum (explained in more detail later in Table 1 of this

11. (C) Calculation of Weights: As is discussed in much greater detail for this study later in this report, communityattribute survey results are weighted by gender, age, educational attainment, military affiliation, and race/ethnicity, and calibrated for sampling modality (the design effect in this study is approximately 2.66). At times a small set of political polling and election forecasting survey items are included in this study, and as a result, additional weighting and calibration for political party affiliation and past voting record were applied. Target weighting parameters for demographic characteristics are obtained from the U.S. Census for gender, age, race/ethnicity, and educational attainment, and the Fort Drum Regional Liaison Organization for military affiliation. County Election Boards were consulted for party affiliation weight targets for election forecasting that has been included.

12. (Y) Contact Information: Mr. Joel LaLone, Director, Center for Community Studies, contact information on page 3.

A total of 1.790 interviews of Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence County adult residents were completed in this study. A mixed-mode sampling methodology was employed in this study with four blended samples: 546 interviews/surveys completed using live telephone-interview methodology, 107 intercept interviews (face-to-face) completed at Fort Drum, New York, 522 surveys completed via a nonprobability online survey after email invitation mode, and finally, 615 additional surveys completed via an MMS push-to-web online survey. To be eligible to complete the survey, the resident was required to be at least 18 years old. All telephone calls were made between 4:00 and 9:00 p.m. on the evenings of October 22 -October 24, 2024 using both a physical call center, and a virtual remote call center that was supervised synchronously online, each from Watertown, New York. The intercept interviews on Fort Drum were completed on October 22, 2024 at the entrance of the PX and Commissary, with prior approval obtained from the Fort Drum Office of the Garrison Commander. The Jefferson Community College students who completed both the telephone and face-to-face interviews had completed training in both human subject research methodology and effective interviewing techniques. Professional staff from the Center supervised all interviewing at all times. The online sampling was supervised by the professional staff at the Center. with two reminder follow-up emails sent to any non-responders over the six-day sampling time spanning October 22 -October 27, 2024. All MMS text message invitations to complete the survey online were sent on the morning of October 23, 2024. No rewards, neither pre-incentives nor post-incentives, were used in any of the four sampling modalities to encourage participation.

When each of the telephone numbers in the random telephone sampling portion of this study was attempted, one of four results occurred: Completion of an interview; a Decline to be interviewed; No Answer/Busy; or an Invalid Number (including both disconnected numbers, as well as numbers for individuals who do not currently reside in any of the three sampled counties). Voluntary informed consent was obtained from each resident before the interview was completed, during all four sampling modalities. This sampling protocol included informing each resident that it was his or her right to decline to answer any and all individual questions within the interview. To be categorized as a completed interview at least one-half of the questions on the survey had to be completed. A resident's refusal to answer more than one-half of the questions was considered a decline to be interviewed. The typical length of a completed survey was approximately 10

minutes. Telephone declines to be interviewed (refusals) were not called back in an attempt to convince the resident to reconsider the interview. If no contact was made at a telephone number (No Answer/Busy), a maximum of two call-backs were made to the number. Telephone numbers that were not successfully contacted were ultimately categorized as No Answer/Busy. No messages were left on answering machines at homes or voicemail with cellular numbers when no person answered the telephone. The introductory script of the online version of the survey acquired informed consent and validation of adult age and within-region residence. The participation rate results for the study are summarized in Table 1.

# Table 1 – Participation Rates for the 25<sup>th</sup> Annual North Country Survey of the Community

Methodology Utilized	Number of Surveys Completed (unweighted contribution to the sample)	Number of Surveys Completed (weighted contribution to the sample)	% of Total Sample (weighted contribution to the sample)
Live Telephone interviews Landlines and Cells	546	448	25%
Online surveys (MMS text push-to-web)	615	616	34%
Online surveys (nonprobability panel, via email)	522	550	31%
Intercept surveys – face-to-face at Fort Drum	107	176	10%
Totals	1,790	1,790	100%

The response rates for the different sampling modalities in this study are approximately: 8% of all valid phone numbers attempted via live-interviewer telephone modality; 2% of all valid email invitations sent to a nonprobability opt-in panel to complete the online modality; 4% of all MMS messages sent to a cellular phone numbers inviting to complete the online modality, and over 90% of all individuals approached at Fort Drum via the intercept modality. Within the fields of social science and public opinion research, all four of these response rates are considered very successful. The methodology employed in this annual survey continues to meet public opinion research industry standards.

# Section 1.2 – Demographics of the Sample – Who was Interviewed?

This section of the report includes a description of the results for the demographic variables included in the survey sample. The demographic characteristics of the sampled adult residents can be used to attain three separate objectives.

- 1. Initially, this information adds to the knowledge and awareness about the true characteristics of the population of adult residents in a sampled county (e.g. What is the typical household composition, educational profile, and household income level in a county?).
- Secondly, this demographic information facilitates the ability for the data to be sorted or partitioned to investigate
  for significant relationships relationships between demographic characteristics of residents and their attitudes and
  behaviors regarding the quality of life in the North Country. Identification of significant relationships allows local
  citizens to use the data more effectively, to better understand the factors that are correlated with various aspects of
  life in the county.
- 3. Finally, the demographic information also serves an important purpose when compared to established facts about the county adult populations to analyze the representativeness of the sample that was randomly selected in this study, and to determine the post-stratification weighting schematic to be applied to the data.

The results for the demographic questions in the survey are summarized on the following page in Table 2.

Table 2 – Demographics of the October 2024 North Country Sample – The Nature of this Sample (%'s weighted by Gender, Age, Education Level, Race/Ethnicity, Military Affiliation, and Sampling Modality)

Demographic Characteristics:	Weighted % - Contribution to the <i>Jefferson</i> Sample	Weighted % - Contribution to the <i>Lewis</i> Sample	Weighted % - Contribution to the St. Lawrence Sample
	Gender		
Male .	53%	48%	51%
Female	47%	51%	47%
Non-binary	1%	0%	1%
49.30 years of and	Age 46%	30%	32%
18-39 years of age 40-59 years of age	29%	34%	34%
60-69 years of age	13%	19%	17%
70 years of age or older	12%	17%	17%
	Education Level		<u> </u>
High school graduate (including GED) or less	44%	52%	42%
Some college, no 4+ year degree	35%	30%	38%
Bachelor's degree or higher	20%	18%	20%
Annı	ual Household Income		
Less than \$50,000	37%	28%	30%
\$50,001-\$100,000	38%	42%	43%
More than \$100,000	26%	30%	27%
	<u>Occupation</u>		
Retired	21%	31%	34%
Military employed	22%	0%	1%
Employed, non-military, but not self-employed Self-employed	43% 5%	46% 14%	51% 4%
Disabled	3%	1%	5%
Homemaker	3%	6%	3%
Student	1%	1%	0%
Unemployed	2%	1%	2%
	Military Affiliation		
Active military reside in the household	26%	1%	2%
No active military in household	74%	99%	97%
Not sure	0%	0%	0%
Reside in North Country Du	e to Employment Asso		
Yes	28%	11%	2%
No	72%	89%	98%
	Race/Ethnicity	,	
Black/African American	4%	1%	1%
White/Caucasian Hispanic	83%	94%	91%
Asian/Pacific Islander	7% 3%	2% 0%	2% 0%
Native American	0%	1%	3%
Multiracial	3%	2%	3%
Household Composition	– Any children under a		
Yes	28%	30%	31%
No	72%	70%	69%
	Political Ideology		
Very Conservative	7%	7%	9%
Conservative	24%	33%	24%
Middle of the Road	46%	37%	32%
Liberal	7%	12%	14%
Very Liberal	3%	3%	5%
Not Sure	14%	8%	16%
County Sample Sizes	n=749	n=485	n=556

The distributions of cities, villages, or towns of residence of the participating respondents in the Twenty-fifth Annual North Country Survey of the Community after application of post-stratification weights for Gender, Age, Education, Military Affiliation, Race/Ethnicity, and Sampling Modality closely parallel that which is true for the distribution of all North Country adults – the entire counties were proportionally represented very accurately in this study.

In general, Table 2 demonstrates that after weighting the data collected in this study for Gender, Age, Education, Military Affiliation, Race/Ethnicity, and Sampling Modality, the responses to the demographic questions for the residents who are included in the survey (those who actually answered the telephone and completed the survey, those who completed intercept surveys at Fort Drum, and those who completed the survey online) appear to closely parallel that which is true for the entire adult populations of the counties. The targets for demographic characteristics were drawn from the U.S. Census updates for the counties. The targets for military affiliation were generated with the assistance of the Fort Drum Regional Liaison Organization. Gender, Age, Education, Military Affiliation, and Race/Ethnicity were selected as the factors by which to weight the survey data, since the data collected in this Annual North Country Survey of the Community is susceptible to the typical types of sampling error that are inherent in survey research methodology: women were more likely than men to agree to a survey; older residents are more likely to participate in the survey than younger adult residents; those individuals with higher formal education levels are more likely to agree to the interviews; and minorities are less likely to participate than those who self-identify as white. Standard survey research methodology has shown that regardless of the subject of the survey, these are expected sources of sampling error. To compensate for this overrepresentation of females, older residents, white residents, those who are not affiliated with the military, and the highly educated in the sample collected in this study, post-stratification weights for Gender, Age, Education Level, Military Affiliation, Race/Ethnicity, and Sampling Modality have been applied in any further analysis of the data analyzed in this report.

When using the sample statistics presented in this report to estimate that which would be expected for the entire North Country adult population, the exact margin of error for this survey is question-specific. The margin of error depends upon the sample size for each specific question, the resulting sample percentage for each question, the confidence level utilized, and the sampling design effect. Sample sizes tend to vary for each question on the survey, since some questions are only appropriate for certain subgroups, and/or as a result of persons refusing to answer questions. In general, the results of this survey for any questions that were answered by the entire sample of 1,790 North Country adult residents may be generalized to the population of all adults at least 18 years of age residing in the North Country with a 95% confidence level to within a margin of error of approximately ±3.0 percentage points. For questions that were posed only to certain specific subgroups the resulting smaller sample sizes allow generalization to the specific subpopulation of all adults at least 18 years of age in that subgroup (e.g. generalization of some specific characteristics of sampled Lewis County males to all males in Lewis County) with a 95% confidence level to within a margin of error of larger than ±3.0 percentage points. Table 3 is provided as a guide for the appropriate margin of error to use when analyzing subgroups of the entire group of 1,790 interviewed adults. Note that the approximate margins of error provided in Table 3 are average margins of error, averaging across all possible sample proportions that might result between 0% and 100%, and please note that all are using a 95% confidence level, and all include the design effect of 2.66 for this study. For more specific detail regarding the margin of error for this survey, please refer to Appendix III of this report and/or contact the professional staff at the Center for Community Studies.

Table 3 - Margins of Error for Varying Sample Sizes

Sample Size (n=)	Approximate Margin of Error
30	±23.3%
50	±18.1%
100	±12.8%
150	±10.4%
200	±9.0%
250	±8.1%
300	±7.4%
400	±6.4%
485	±5.8%
556	±5.4%
600	±5.2%
700	±4.8%
749	±4.7%
800	±4.5%
1000	±4.0%
1200	±3.7%
1,500	±3.3%
1,600	±3.2%
1,790	±3.0%

Finally, the margin of error is a measurement of random error, error due to simply the random chance of sampling such as when randomly flipping fair coins. However, in survey research, it is not coins that are being flipped; it is humans who are being interviewed. When surveying humans there are other potential sources of error, sources of error in addition to random error (which is the only error encompassed by the margin of error). Response error, nonresponse error, process error, bias in sample selection, bias in question-phrasing, lack of clarity in question-phrasing, social desirability bias, acquiescence bias, satisficing, and undercoverage are common sources of other-than-random error. Methods that should be, and have been in this North Country study, employed to minimize these other sources of error are: maximum effort to select the sample randomly, piloting and testing of utilized survey questions, extensive training of all data collectors

(interviewers), thorough cleansing of data, calibration of data, and application of post-stratification algorithms to the resulting sampled data. Hence, when using this study data to make estimates to the entire North Country adult populations, as is the case in standard survey research practices, the margin of error will be the only error measurement cited and interpreted.

In order to maximize comparability among the twenty-five years of annual surveys (53 county-specific studies) that have been completed in North Country by the *Center for Community Studies* between 2000 and 2024, the procedures used to collect information and the *core* questions asked have remained virtually identical. The total number of interviews completed in any one county in a year has ranged from 328 to 832. All interviewers have been similarly and extensively trained preceding data collection each year. Data management, cleansing, and transformation techniques used have remained similar throughout. The survey methodology used to complete the Twenty-fifth Annual North Country Survey of the Community is comparable to that used in the previous twenty-four years. Furthermore, post-stratification weights for gender, age, and education level have also been applied to all results from the first thirteen years of surveying, with phone ownership (landline only vs. cell only vs. both) added as an additional weighting factor in 2013, and military affiliation and race/ethnicity added as additional weighting factors in 2015 as parts of the continuous improvement methods applied at the *Center* in an attempt to maximize the representativeness of the collected sample of adults. Finally, online surveying was blended into the overall sample for the first time in 2019. This maintenance of consistent methodology from year to year allows for valid comparisons for trends over the twenty-five-year period that will be illustrated later in this report.

When comparing results across time, the sample sizes collected each year should be considered. The sample sizes for each of the years of this Annual Survey of the Community are summarized in the following Table 4.

# Table 4 – County-specific Sample Sizes for Each Year of the North Country Annual Surveys of the Community

C	ounty	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Je	efferson	340	342	413	341	348	355	354	382	421	382	414	406	380	400	422	400	416	441	575	581	587	503	563	433	749
Le	ewis								409	393	404	400	409	421	381	328	396	398	447	426	539	474	550	465	349	485
St	t. Lawrence																442	354	374	466	832	435	476	430	389	556

Finally, throughout this report, key community demographic characteristics of Gender, Age, Education Level, Political Ideology, Military Affiliation, Race/Ethnicity, Household Income Level, and 2024 Presidential Vote Choice are investigated as potential explanatory variables that may be associated with quality-of-life indicators and other community behavior and opinion variables for the region. It is standard methodology with professional surveys to provide this further rich information to the reader – information that may assist in explaining the overall findings – by reporting the cross-tabulated results for all subgroups within key demographic variables. The results provide important information about contemporary thinking of citizens and over time will continue to provide important baseline and comparative information as well. Again, for more specific details regarding tests of statistical significance completed within this study, please refer to the appendices of this report and/or contact the professional staff at the *Center for Community Studies*.

All data compilation and statistical analyses within this study have been completed using SPSS, Release 28.

# Section 2 – Topline Summary of Findings The Most Notable Study Findings in 2024 –


1. For which North Country community indicators in 2024 are residents most/least satisfied?


An effective method to assess which community characteristics, or indicators, are *currently perceived as the most positive and most negative characteristics among North Country residents* in October 2024 is to compare the rates of responding positively ("Excellent" or "Good") for each studied indicator. The graph below illustrates these comparisons of rates for each indicator, separated by county. The most noteworthy observations from this visualization are that in 2024, satisfaction with the local environment and outdoors, local education systems, and the overall quality of life are perceived most positively among local residents. Alternatively, it is cost of living characteristics that clearly are perceived most negatively, including childcare, real estate taxes, the cost of energy, housing, and the overall state of the local economy that are of most concern to residents. To summarize:

The most **positive** attributes rated for the region:

- Quality of the environment (at least 64% rate as "Excellent" or "Good" in each of the three studied counties)
- Public outdoor recreational opportunities (at least 54% rate as "Excellent" or "Good" in each of the three studied counties)
- Quality of K-12 education (at least 52% rate as "Excellent" or "Good" in each of the three studied counties)
- Access to higher education (at least 46% rate as "Excellent" or "Good" in each of the three studied counties)
- Overall quality of life in the area (at least 41% rate as "Excellent" or "Good" in each of the three studied counties) The most *negative* attributes rated for the region:
  - Real estate taxes (at least 38% rate as "Poor" in each of the three studied counties)
  - Cost of energy (at least 35% rate as "Poor" in each of the three studied counties)
  - The overall state of the local economy (at least 30% rate as "Poor" in each of the three studied counties)
  - Availability of housing (at least 30% rate as "Poor" in each of the three studied counties)
  - Availability of good jobs (at least 29% rate as "Poor" in each of the three studied counties)
  - Availability of childcare (at least 27% rate as "Poor" in each of the three studied counties)

Much more detail regarding trends and demographic subgroup cross-tabulations for these 21 community indicators is included in Section 3, and Appendices I and II of this report. (Tables 5-6, and Tables 10-30)







# 2. Which community indicators in 2024 differ most from their Longterm Average results?


An effective method to assess which community characteristics, or indicators, are showing the most change in the current data *relative to past typical results* is to compare the 2024 result to the longterm average (LTA) result for each indicator. The graph below illustrates these comparisons of rates of responding "Excellent" or "Good" over time for each indicator, separated by county. The most noteworthy observations from this visualization are that in 2024 satisfaction with availability of good jobs is well higher than average in each of Jefferson and Lewis Counties, and any other more-positive-than-average results for indicators (by an increased rate of more than a 1% increase) were in Jefferson County, while none surfaced in the other two counties. In contrast, the results for each of the following indicators are well *below* longterm averages:

- Availability of housing (at least 18% below LTA in each county)
- Policing and crime control (at least 14% below LTA in each county)
- Availability of childcare (at least 13% below LTA in each county)
- Availability of care for the elderly (at least 12% below LTA in each county)

In summary, by these metrics, availability of housing is the community characteristic that shows the greatest decrease in satisfaction among North Country residents over the past quarter-century among the studied indicators, closely followed by policing and crime control, availability of childcare, and availability of care for the elderly. (Tables 7-30, Appendix I)








Page 12 of 67

# 3. Which community indicators have changed the most/least in the past year (2023 vs. 2024)?

An effective method to assess which community characteristics, or indicators, are showing the most change **right now**, in the current data relative to the most recent other-year results, is to **compare the 2024 result to the 2023 result** for each indicator. The graph below illustrates these comparisons of rates of responding "Excellent" or "Good" (the "delta's") for each indicator, separated by county. The bars shown are the difference (or, subtraction) of 2024 result minus 2023 result. For example, a result of -9% for a bar would indicate that the rate of responding "Excellent" or "Good" in that county has decreased by 9% between 2023 and 2024. A most noteworthy observation from this visualization is that overall, in 2024 residents express less satisfaction with community characteristics than was measured in 2023, illustrated by a large majority having (-) recent changes. (Tables 7-30, Appendix I)


- In Lewis County, the rate of responding "Excellent" or "Good" decreased for 18 of the 20 measured indicators between 2023 and 2024, most notably decreasing by at least 10% for each of cultural/entertainment opportunities, shopping opportunities, quality of K-12 education, and public outdoor recreation opportunities.
- In St. Lawrence County, the rate of responding "Excellent" or "Good" also decreased for 18 of the 20 measured indicators between 2023 and 2024, most notably decreasing by at least 8% for each of the overall state of the local economy, and availability of care for the elderly.
- In contrast, in **Jefferson County**, the rate of responding "Excellent" or "Good" has *increased* for 13 of the 21 measured indicators between 2023 and 2024, most notably increasing by at least 7% for each of the overall state of the local economy, access to higher education, and quality of the environment.




# 4. What issues do North Country residents most commonly believe are the largest issues currently facing residents? – It's Pocketbook Issues

North Country residents in 2024 continued to express that inflation and the cost of living are problematic. When asked the openended question, "What do you think is the single largest issue that is facing residents of the North Country right now?", inflation was the most common response, provided by 23% of participants (23% in Jefferson, 28% in Lewis, and 22% in St. Lawrence). Concern


with inflation is significantly higher among Lewis County residents when compared to the other two studied counties. Citing "The Economy" emerged in the North Country as the second-most common issue. If one were to merge the three related issues of inflation, the economy, and more and better jobs as a new meta-grouping of "Pocketbook Issues", then more than five-in-ten North Country residents (51%) indicate that these pocketbook, or financial, issues are the largest issues that residents face in 2024. These results parallel that which has been measured and reported by other regional and national pollsters leading up to the 2024 General Election as "the largest issue influencing your vote", or "largest issue for the next President". Nationally, it has recently commonly been reported that pocketbook issues and immigration/border issues have been drivers of voters' decisions. Readers will find that to complete a deeper investigation of this greatest-issue phenomena, this report has very thorough cross-





Among the many very apparent contrasts in perceived importance of issues that are discovered and shared later in Section 3 and Appendix II of this report, the following are worthy of notice: (Tables 35-36, in Section 3 and Appendix II)

- When comparing counties, several differences in perceived most important issues emerge. Most noteworthy is that Lewis County residents by far most commonly, more than residents of the other two studied counties, cite the personal finance issues of "Inflation" and "The Economy" as the largest issues (Lewis=55%, while Jefferson=40%, and St. Lawrence=47%). In Jefferson County the collective housing issues of "Affordable Housing", "Homelessness" and "Drugs" are more commonly cited than in the other two counties (Jefferson=29%, while Lewis=15%, and St. Lawrence=13%). Finally, the noticeable difference in St. Lawrence County when compared to the other two counties is perception of "Immigration" as the largest issue (St. Lawrence=9%, while Lewis=4%, and Jefferson=2%)
- Many socio-demographic subgroups hold differing opinions regarding the most important issue that residents are facing, and all of these differences are shown and described in Section 3 and Appendix II. However, in this election year, clearly the most influential factor that appears to be associated with perceived issues/problems is political, participants' 2024 Presidential vote choice. When the distributions of responses for the most important issues are compared between the groups of 2024 Donald Trump voters versus 2024 Kamala Harris voters, many stark differences are observable. The differences are illustrated and summarized graphically on the following page. One of many observed differences that can be seen is that Trump voters in the North Country are almost 50 times more likely to respond that "Immigration" is the largest issue facing residents of the North Country than are Harris voters (9.8% vs. 0.2%, respectively).




# 5. The 2024 Presidential Election – Pre-election Polling/Forecasting by the Center for Community Studies and an In-depth Comparison of Trump Voters vs. Harris Voters

Every election season in recent years the *Center for Community Studies* has completed political election polling as a component of this quality-of-life survey study. During this 2024 study, election polling was completed for the 2024 U.S Presidential Election. With polling estimates generated from this survey sampling that are county-specific, four different election predictions were made on the morning of election day (11/5/24). There are two reasons why these election preference items are included in the autumn sampling/surveying:


- a. Governance and elections are important aspects of citizenry and community quality-of-life, and as such, are important factors to measure, study, and better understand, and
- b. When elections occur the "right answer" (actual election result), of course, becomes available. Therefore, elections provide an opportunity for the *Center for Community Studies* to compare their sampled/polled estimate or forecast to that which actually occurs to complete an evaluation of the effectiveness of the sampling, question wording, data analysis, weighting and calibrating, that is employed to ensure that the best practices of public opinion polling and research that are implemented at the *Center* are actually effective and accurate. In effect, elections are an opportunity to test one's methodology.

The graph below illustrates the 2024 outcomes for each of these objectives, with the following conclusions: (1) Clearly the polling data (among 1,286 *likely voters* on October 22-27, 2024, from the entire group of 1,790 participants in this annual study) identifies the overwhelming support that Republican candidate Mr. Donald Trump enjoys among likely voters in the North Country, especially in Lewis County, (the rate of supporting Trump increased between 2020 and 2024 in all three counties), and (2) the methods employed in October 2024 for polling at the *Center for Community Studies* were successful. For each of the four predicted races the polling estimates are within the margin of error when compared to the actual result for each geographic subgroup. Of note – among the sample of n=513 likely voters from Jefferson County, 60.7% indicated in the *Center for CS* poll that they planned to vote for Mr. Donald Trump, and after the 42,800+ votes were cast in that race in the county .... 61.7% actually voted for Mr. Trump, therefore in this county the polling error was only 1.0% (while the margin of error was a much larger ±7.3%. (much more detail shown in Section 3, Table 49)



Page 15 of 67


To better understand North Country residents and the electorate, the voting preferences among the 1,286 likely voters in the three-county combined region have been cross-tabulated by socio-demographic subgroups and illustrated in the graph below.



The studied subgroups that reported the greatest likelihood to vote for Mr. Trump include males (68%), those age 40-59 (72%), those with no college education (69%), those who self-identify as conservatives (93%), and those who are registered Republicans (85%). However, the most strongly associated predictor variable for whom one intends to vote in 2024 is past vote choice! Among those who voted for Donald Trump in 2020 there is extreme loyalty, with 97% of this subgroup intending to vote for him again in 2024. In contrast, although there appears to be strong loyalty among non-Trump voters, the level of loyalty is less strong – among those who voted for Joe Biden in 2020, only 94% of this subgroup intended to vote for Kamala Harris in 2024. Without question, this greater level of loyalty among past Trump voters is the large contributing factor to the increase in his vote share in the region between 2020 and 2024. (Table 49)


# 6. Other Longitudinally Tracked Resident Opinions and Characteristics

In October 2022 the *Center for Community Studies* began to treat the sampling of the three separate North Country counties regarding quality-of-life as one annual larger omnibus survey of the region. As a result, it is only since 2022 that each of the counties annually has used the exact same survey instrument, while sampling on the same days. Therefore, among the seven additional participant characteristics and opinion items shown below, results are only presented as a current measure as well as a short-term trend since 2022. Please note, that all earlier-year results for these items in each county are included in Section 3 and Appendix II, but caution should be used, recognizing that some survey items were not used in some counties in earlier years when this quality-of-life study was not treated as one three-county simultaneous omnibus survey in October of each year. Clearly, attitudes about the direction that things are going, political ideologies, employment status, and assessment of family personal financial situations have remained very consistent over the past two years. Residents continue to be more likely to believe that things in our country, in our state, and in their specific county are heading in the wrong, rather than right, direction. Residents continue to be approximately four times more likely to report that their personal financial situation has gotten worse over the past twelve months, when compared to the rate of reporting that it has gotten better. As has been true for all 25 years of community surveying that has been completed in the North Country, it continues to be true that residents are 2-3 times more likely to self-identify as conservative rather than liberal, however, the most common response is that residents consider themselves as "middle of the road". (Tables 31-34, 37, 39, 40)



# 7. Homelessness in the North Country

Due to the significance of housing and homelessness as a community issue in the North Country in 2024, the *Center for Community Studies* included a series of questions about opinion, impact, and understanding of this issue in this study. These questions were suggested by local leadership and elected officials during the early autumn of 2024, and are the only questions included in this study that are not longitudinally trended. In the spirit of the mission of the *Center for Community Studies*: "The *Center for Community Studies*: at Jefferson Community College supports and facilitates a wide range of community-building and educational activities that engage the community. In collaboration with community partners, the *Center* conducts unbiased community-based research and provides a forum for the productive discussion of ideas and issues of significance to the community", these items were studied, and are now reported. The graph below suggests that North Country residents: have been personally impacted by homelessness (61% at least minor impact), believe that homelessness should be a priority in their community (60% at least moderate priority), believe that homelessness is a safety concern in their community (57% at least moderate concern), and most commonly believe that substance abuse is a primary reason for the homelessness in their community (57% hold this belief). Given these opinions and perceived impacts, when asked level of support shelter with limited or minimal requirements for entry for those who are homeless to be located in one's community – North Country residents express a high level of support, with 61% indicating that they are very or somewhat supportive, and another 17% "a little supportive". Only 15% report that they are "not at all supportive". (Tables 41-45)



**REMINDER:** Cross-tabulations – the statistical tool to probe deeper and better understand survey data – Readers are reminded that later in this report every survey question is cross-tabbed (partitioned) by levels of many demographic variables including, but not limited to: County, Gender, Age, Education Level, Annual Income, and Political Ideology. Statistics are reported for every subgroup within each of these demographic factors, both on a regional and county-specific basis. As a result, the report includes hundreds of cross-tabulation tables (in Appendix II) that report thousands of sample statistics. It is these cross-tabulation tables that readers may best use to attempt to identify significant explanatory variables that may be correlated with survey outcomes.

# **Section 3 - Detailed Statistical Results**

# <u>Section 3.1 – Community Quality-of-Life Indicators</u>

# Section 3.1.1 – Relative Standing of Community Quality-of-Life Indicators in 2024

The following two tables (Tables 5 and 6) highlight all twenty-one studied quality-of-life indicators in 2024, providing the ability for one to observe the most positively and most negatively perceived community aspects – to take a current snapshot of opinions/satisfactions. The community indicators are sorted from top to bottom of Table 5, from the most to the least positively perceived by residents of the tri-county region in 2024. The brown-shaded number in each row is the *largest* result found for each survey question, providing an easy method to determine in which of the three studied counties each quality-of-life indicator is most commonly perceived currently as *excellent or good*. For quick reference, considering the sample sizes collected each county in the 2024 North Country Annual Survey of the Community, a difference of 5% or larger between any two counties (between any two numbers located in the same row) may be considered a statistically significant difference. (For more detail regarding statistical significance, please refer to Appendix III of this report: "Technical Comments – Assistance in Interpretation of the Statistical Results.")

Table 5 – SUMMARY of "Relative Standing" – Year 2024 – All 21 Quality-of-life Indicators Compared Across Counties – Rate of Responding "Excellent or Good"

Community Indicator:	Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	Three-county Region Combined
Quality of the environment	72%	80%	64%	69%
Access to higher education	64%	46%	70%	64%
Public outdoor recreational opportunities	66%	67%	54%	61%
Quality of K-12 education	52%	66%	55%	55%
The overall quality of life in the area	49%	59%	41%	47%
Policing and crime control	47%	55%	37%	43%
Health care quality	44%	48%	36%	41%
Health care access	41%	49%	32%	38%
City, town, and village government	36%	41%	34%	36%
The downtown of Watertown	36%	-	-	36%
County government	34%	34%	31%	33%
Cultural and entertainment opportunities	35%	26%	20%	28%
Shopping opportunities	45%	29%	11%	28%
Availability of housing	29%	28%	19%	24%
Availability of behavioral health services	27%	22%	19%	23%
Availability of good jobs	26%	29%	12%	20%
Availability of care for the elderly	24%	25%	15%	20%
The overall state of the local economy	28%	23%	10%	19%
Cost of Energy	18%	17%	15%	17%
Real estate taxes	16%	18%	13%	15%
Availability of childcare	15%	14%	12%	14%

The 2024 community indicators are sorted from top to bottom of Table 6, from the least to the most positively perceived by residents. The brown-shaded number in each row is the *largest* county-specific result found for each survey question, providing an easy method to determine in which of the three studied counties each quality-of-life indicator is most commonly perceived currently as *poor*. For quick reference, considering the sample sizes collected each county in the 2024 North Country Annual Survey of the Community, a difference of 5% or larger between any two counties (between any two numbers located in the same row) may be considered a statistically significant difference. (For more detail regarding statistical significance, please refer to Appendix III of this report: "Technical Comments – Assistance in Interpretation of the Statistical Results.")

Table 6 – SUMMARY of "Relative Standing" – Year 2024 – All 21 Quality-of-life Indicators Compared Across Counties – Rate of Responding "Poor"

Community Indicator:	Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	Three-county Region Combined
Cost of Energy	35%	44%	51%	43%
Real estate taxes	38%	43%	46%	42%
The overall state of the local economy	30%	35%	52%	40%
Availability of good jobs	29%	37%	49%	38%
Availability of care for the elderly	24%	26%	49%	36%
Shopping opportunities	18%	24%	54%	35%
Availability of housing	30%	31%	39%	34%
Availability of childcare	27%	36%	38%	33%
Availability of behavioral health services	23%	27%	30%	27%
Cultural and entertainment opportunities	19%	31%	33%	27%
Health care access	19%	18%	32%	25%
Health care quality	23%	15%	30%	25%
Policing and crime control	20%	16%	29%	23%
City, town, and village government	19%	17%	27%	22%
County government	19%	21%	22%	21%
The downtown of Watertown	20%	-	-	20%
The overall quality of life in the area	10%	7%	15%	12%
Public outdoor recreational opportunities	9%	8%	11%	10%
Access to higher education	7%	17%	9%	9%
Quality of K-12 education	8%	4%	9%	8%
Quality of the environment	6%	3%	4%	5%

# Section 3.1.2 – Trends for Community Quality-of-Life Indicators

Next, each of these studied indicators is presented as a *motion picture* – showing how attitudes have changed over time in the North Country in each county. The brown-shaded cell in each row of Tables 7-9 is the largest percentage responding "Excellent or Good" found throughout the studied years for each survey question in the country summarized in that table. For quick reference, considering the sample sizes collected each year in the North Country Annual Survey of the Community, a difference of 5% or larger between any two years (between any two numbers located in the same row) may be considered a statistically significant trend, or change over time in that county. (For more detail regarding statistical significance, please refer to Appendix III of this report: "Technical Comments – Assistance in Interpretation of the Statistical Results.")

Table 7 – SUMMARY of "Trends" in *Jefferson* County – Years 2000-2024 – All 21 Quality-of-life Indicators Compared Across Time and to the Longterm Average – Rate of Responding "Excellent or Good"

Indicator	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Avg.
Access to higher education	68	63	64	63	63	61	60	63	65	61	63	59	61	60	65	58	67	71	75	67	_	69	60	57	64	64
Public outdoor recreational opportunities	65	61	61	63	61	62	62	63	64	61	56	60	62	60	64	69	64	68	68	-	-	59	60	60	66	63
Policing and crime control	66	66	64	65	64	58	64	61	65	64	63	61	64	59	63	61	72	59	67	_	69	-	49	46	47	62
The overall quality of life in the area	64	50	56	56	53	57	60	65	62	54	58	55	58	60	54	63	66	67	66	62	66	60	50	49	49	58
Shopping opportunities	56	51	46	48	52	57	69	71	71	57	59	62	64	64	63	67	64	62	62	_	_	50	45	46	45	58
Quality of K-12 education	63	58	61	55	58	58	56	59	63	60	57	55	54	52	55	49	66	67	65	61	59	-	52	50	52	58
Quality of the environment	53	52	53	50	56	53	50	50	49	49	51	48	53	52	51	52	73	68	67	65	71	_	65	65	72	57
Availability of housing	-	-	-	-	-	37	39	46	50	55	57	51	48	56	64	63	66	58	58	51	-	-	27	26	29	49
Healthcare quality	49	45	51	49	50	50	51	50	51	44	47	47	48	49	46	47	52	56	59	52	58	-	43	42	44	49
Health care access	51	44	47	47	45	47	48	49	49	41	43	43	46	47	44	49	54	56	59	_	-	67	44	44	41	48
City, village, or Town government	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	48	46	45	_	_	_	34	31	36	40
Cultural/entertainment opportunities	40	36	40	38	39	39	38	43	44	38	39	38	43	40	41	47	32	50	49	35	-	_	34	36	35	40
County government	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45	41	41	35	-	36	30	32	34	37
Availability of care for the elderly	-	-	-	-	34	36	41	36	38	32	31	36	43	46	45	42	43	39	39	-	-	33	22	23	24	36
Availability of behavioral health services	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38	42	35	-	-	33	24	30	27	33
The Downtown of Watertown	30	26	24	27	23	26	27	26	28	43	43	42	40	35	39	47	25	36	40	35	-	-	34	34	36	33
Availability of childcare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44	41	39	-	-	27	15	16	15	28
The overall state of the local economy	28	16	18	18	20	24	29	31	24	15	19	19	23	23	23	32	23	36	36	32	35	28	24	20	28	25
Availability of good jobs	17	7	9	10	11	15	20	25	20	9	14	11	15	15	13	18	17	23	28	25	32	30	32	32	26	19
Cost of energy	8	7	9	7	9	8	7	8	8	9	9	8	9	12	7	21	27	35	30	-	-	-	11	23	18	13
Real estate taxes	15	11	10	9	11	12	10	8	10	10	10	12	11	12	9	11	11	20	23	17	-	20	15	15	16	13

Table 8 – SUMMARY of "Trends" in *Lewis* County – Years 2007-2024 – All 20 Quality-of-life Indicators Compared Across Time and to the Longterm Average – Rate of Responding "Excellent or Good"

Indicator	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Avg
Quality of the environment	83	89	90	90	86	91	84	86	90	83	85	88	_	86	79	78	84	80	85
Quality of K-12 education	82	84	85	84	80	87	75	73	83	85	80	79	-	78	76	65	76	66	79
Public outdoor recreational opportunities	78	80	78	70	74	83	73	75	72	72	74	_	70	-	66	69	77	67	74
The overall quality of life in the area	74	82	73	78	73	77	71	75	77	81	77	79	74	78	66	54	68	59	73
Policing and crime control	70	77	69	78	74	75	68	73	66	72	64	_	74	76	62	56	62	55	69
Healthcare quality	74	75	71	70	64	79	68	71	69	63	70	61	-	65	55	51	52	48	65
Health care access	63	64	63	66	61	72	58	55	66	61	72	-	68	-	53	43	47	49	60
Availability of care for the elderly	55	64	62	65	61	70	54	65	57	57	54	-	46	-	39	26	33	25	52
Availability of housing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63	60	61	54	-	-	42	25	36	28	46
City, village, or Town government	48	53	45	44	51	52	42	43	45	54	49	-	55	-	39	42	45	41	47
Access to higher education	-	-	38	42	36	46	41	37	45	49	47	46	56	-	42	53	54	46	45
County government	43	46	33	32	41	39	35	40	45	44	45	44	-	-	39	38	35	34	40
Shopping opportunities	35	40	35	33	28	38	31	36	34	36	39	-	34	-	28	28	39	29	34
Availability of behavioral health services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	37	41	-	35	-	27	25	31	22	32
Cultural/entertainment opportunities	27	34	26	29	31	35	29	30	27	29	41	31	-	-	27	26	36	26	30
Availability of childcare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43	42	42	-	27	-	21	18	19	14	28
The overall state of the local economy	35	21	21	23	19	30	19	24	31	30	36	45	35	37	29	20	29	23	28
Cost of energy	22	22	26	22	31	30	30	26	31	38	43	-	35	-	21	14	19	17	27
Real estate taxes	25	22	18	19	20	27	22	16	21	21	28	24	-	-	18	17	13	18	21
Availability of good jobs	17	13	11	13	10	13	16	16	15	16	24	26	25	25	36	36	35	29	21

# Table 9 – SUMMARY of "Trends" in *St. Lawrence* County – Years 2015-2023 – All 20 Quality-of-life Indicators Compared Across Time and to the Long-term Average – Rate of Responding "Excellent or Good"

Indicator	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Avg.
Access to higher education	77	72	71	75	80	_	71	75	70	70	73
Quality of the environment	73	67	70	71	76	71	_	73	63	64	70
Quality of K-12 education	65	67	72	69	72	60	_	49	57	55	63
Public outdoor recreational opportunities	66	60	67	66	_	_	58	59	54	54	61
The overall quality of life in the area	61	59	60	64	69	55	55	51	42	41	56
Policing and crime control	66	54	64	65	_	60	_	45	44	37	54
Healthcare quality	59	57	49	50	54	44	_	42	33	36	47
Health care access	53	52	50	50	_	_	56	42	36	32	46
Availability of housing	55	48	47	44	51	_	_	30	24	19	40
City, village, or Town government	_	39	38	39	_	_	_	38	39	34	38
Availability of care for the elderly	45	38	41	36	_	_	21	20	23	15	30
County government	35	26	30	32	34	_	34	29	35	31	32
Cultural/entertainment opportunities	27	31	35	36	36	_	_	27	27	20	30
Availability of behavioral health services	34	30	36	37	_	_	27	24	23	19	29
Availability of childcare	35	34	40	31	_	_	21	16	13	12	25
Cost of energy	34	32	30	28	_	_	30	12	22	15	25
Shopping opportunities	21	20	18	12	_	_	28	21	17	11	19
The overall state of the local economy	18	19	21	16	22	16	25	10	18	10	18
Real estate taxes	22	18	19	19	15	_	12	13	16	13	16
Availability of good jobs	10	12	15	15	16	13	25	28	16	12	16

# <u>Section 3.1.3 – 2024 Detailed Results for Community Quality-of-Life</u> Indicators

This section of the study provides **a detailed presentation of the results for each of the questions in the survey**. Tables 10-30, shown on the following pages, provide the greatest level of detail in results in 2024 for the twenty-one investigated quality-of-life indicators. In these twenty-one tables (pages), the result for each of the quality-of-life indicators is shown both by county and regionally, including all possible responses to each survey question in 2024. A trend analysis is also completed for each of the quality-of-life indicators, comparing to results from earlier years of study in each county. Finally, cross-tabulations by the key demographic factors (Gender, Age, Education, Political Ideology, Military Affiliation, Race/Ethnicity, and Annual Household Income) have been completed using the 2024 combined regional data for each survey question and displayed graphically. Inspection of the results after cross-tabbing by any of these demographic factors allows the reader to better understand factors that may be significantly associated with perceptions of quality-of-life characteristics of the region. A similar reporting design, or approach, will be utilized throughout the remainder of this report for every individual survey question included in the survey instrument. The results for each survey question are presented in this section of the report with the following organizational structure:

- (1) The current 2024 North Country Regional result, as well as county-specific results for each of Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence County results for all sampled residents are combined and summarized in a frequency distribution table that shows the weighted sample proportion for each possible survey response and unweighted sample size collected for the survey question (recall, the weighted results are weighted for Gender, Age, Education Level, Race/Ethnicity, Military Affiliation, and Sampling Modality). Statistically significant differences between counties may be identified by using the descriptions and examples shown in Appendix III of this report.
- (2) A current 2024 Northern New York county-level regional comparison analysis is completed and shown in a bar graph for each survey question that was measured in more than one of the three counties of Jefferson, Lewis, and/or St. Lawrence in the year 2024. County-specific and regional aggregate results are illustrated graphically with this bar graph. Statistically significant differences between counties may be identified by using the descriptions and examples shown in Appendix III of this report.
- (3) A trend analysis is completed and shown in a county-separated line graph for each survey question that was measured in at least two of the twenty-five years 2000-2024. The data that underlies these trend line graphs is included in a more detailed tabular format for every survey question, within each county, in Appendix I. Statistically significant trends may be identified by using the descriptions and examples shown in Appendix III of this report.
- (4) Finally, the **2024 North Country combined three-county regional results for each survey question have been cross-tabulated** by each of the demographic factors of County, Gender, Age, Education Level, Political Ideology, Race/Ethnicity, Military Affiliation, and Household Income Level and illustrated in *stacked bar graphs* (there are a total of over 800 cross-tabulation subgroup distributions included in the graphs in this section of this report). *Statistically significant* relationships between variables, or differences between subgroup distributions, may be identified by using the descriptions and examples shown in Appendix III of this report, and inspection of the detailed cross-tabulation tables included in Appendix II.

For further explanation of the statistical concepts of "Margin of Error" and "Statistical Significance," to assist the reader in best interpreting and utilizing the presented information, please refer to Appendix III of this report – "Technical Comments – Assistance in Interpretation of the Statistical Results."

# "Framing" a Statistic – Providing Perspective to Better Understand, Interpret, and Use this Survey Data

The rationale behind providing so many analyses (statistics) for every survey question included in this study is that one never fully understands the information contained in a reported statistic without "framing" that statistic. Framing involves adding a richer perspective to the value of some reported statistic. For example, consider if Lewis County residents were asked the survey question: "When considering you or your family's personal financial situation has it gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse in the past 12 months?", and the result is that 52.0% of the Lewis County participants responded with *gotten worse*. (Table 34) So .... what does this 52.0% really mean? Often-times community-based researchers will describe the process of "framing" a statistic as completing as many as possible of the eight following comparisons (frames) to better understand a reported statistic from a sample:

#### Within Response Distribution

(Is it a majority? 4:1 ratio? "Three times more likely to respond with "better" .... than "worse"?)

#### Short-term Trends

(Has it recently increased? Decreased?)

# Longitudinal Long-term Trends

(Has it increased over time? Decreased? How does the current result compare to the longterm average?)

#### Compare to Target/Benchmark

(Compare to an agency or community's goal or target?)

#### Compare to A Regional Average Result

(Compare to some current regional average or similar counties?)

#### Ranking Among Similar Variables

(Among many different similar locations, characteristics, options, or attributes, that all use the same response scale, is this specific item ranked first? last?)

#### Cross-tabulations by Potential Explanatory Variables

(Different political ideological people differ in opinion or behavior? Age-dependent? Gender-dependent? Education-dependent? Income-dependent?)

#### Extrapolations

(Application of a % for an item to calculate how many individuals in the population hold that view?)


The design of this final study report of findings includes all of the various types of tables that are necessary to allow community leaders to best "frame the statistics" included in this report, best understand the statistics included, and make best decisions in the future regarding how to use the statistics. As has been mentioned several times previously, if one has further questions about "framing a statistic" please contact the professional staff at the *Center for Community Studies*.

# Table 10 – Cultural/Entertainment Opportunities


# 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	5.6%a	3.2%a	4.3%a	4.8%
	Good	29.7%a	22.7%a,b	15.9%b	22.8%
Cultural and entertainment	Fair	42.7%a	41.0%a	44.8%a	43.4%
opportunities	Poor	19.1%a	31.2%b	33.1%b	26.7%
-   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	Don't Know	2.9%a	1.8%a	1.9%a	2.4%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	746	484	553	1783

# North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



# <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Excellent or Good" – By County:</u>






Page 24 of 67

# Table 11 - Cost of Energy


# 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	3.3%a	2.0%a,b	1.3%b	2.3%
	Good	14.8%a	14.9%a	14.1%a	14.5%
Cost of Energy	Fair	41.8%a	38.2%a,b	32.6%b	37.3%
Cost of Ellergy	Poor	34.5%a	44.0%b	50.6%b	42.7%
	Don't Know	5.6%a	1.0%b	1.4%b	3.3%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	748	485	553	1786

# North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



# <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Poor" – By County:</u>






# Table 12 - Healthcare Access


# 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	11.2%a	6.1%a,b	5.2%b	7.9%
	Good	30.0%a	43.2%b	26.8%a	30.0%
Health care	Fair	37.9%a	32.7%a	36.0%a	36.5%
access	Poor	19.0%a	17.8%a	31.8%b	24.6%
	Don't Know	1.9%a	0.2%a,b	0.3%b	1.0%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	745	485	554	1784

# North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



# <u>Trend Analysis - Rate of "Excellent or Good" - By County:</u>






Page 26 of 67

# Table 13 - Healthcare Quality


# 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	9.7%a	6.4%a,b	4.9%b	7.2%
	Good	33.9%a,b	41.7%a	30.7%b	33.3%
Health care	Fair	31.4%a	35.6%a	33.9%a	32.9%
quality	Poor	22.7%a	15.5%a	29.7%b	25.1%
	Don't Know	2.2%a	0.8%a	0.9%a	1.5%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	748	482	554	1784

# North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



# Trend Analysis - Rate of "Excellent or Good" - By County:






Page 27 of 67

# Table 14 - Access to Higher Education


# 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	16.9%a	10.0%a	25.7%b	20.1%
	Good	46.7%a	36.0%b	43.9%a,b	44.3%
Access to higher	Fair	25.3%a	32.6%a	19.2%b	23.3%
education	Poor	6.7%a	17.5%b	9.2%a	9.0%
	Don't Know	4.4%a	4.0%a,b	2.1%b	3.3%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	745	483	554	1782

# North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



# <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Excellent or Good" – By County:</u>






# Table 15 - Public Outdoor Recreational Opportunities


# 2024 Survey Results:

		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	25.9%a	29.3%a	18.9%b	23.2%
	Good	40.3%a	38.1%a	35.5%a	37.9%
Public outdoor recreational	Fair	23.1%a	23.8%a	33.5%b	27.8%
opportunities	Poor	9.2%a	8.3%a	10.8%a	9.8%
	Don't Know	1.5%a	0.5%a	1.3%a	1.3%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	747	484	555	1786


# North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



# <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Excellent or Good" – By County:</u>



Regional Three-county Combined Cross-tabulations (using 2024 data): (county-specific detailed cross-tabulations in Appendix II)




Page 29 of 67

# Table 16 - Quality of the Environment


# 2024 Survey Results:

		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	20.9%a	29.5%b	18.8%a	20.9%
	Good	50.8%a	50.7%a	45.5%a	48.4%
Quality of the	Fair	21.1%a	16.3%a	31.2%b	25.1%
environment	Poor	5.6%a	2.9%a	4.2%a	4.7%
	Don't Know	1.5%a	0.6%a,b	0.3%b	0.9%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	747	483	555	1785


# North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



# <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Excellent or Good" – By County:</u>



Regional Three-county Combined Cross-tabulations (using 2024 data): (county-specific detailed cross-tabulations in Appendix II)




Page 30 of 67

# Table 17 - County Government


# 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	4.8%a	2.4%a	4.1%a	4.2%
	Good	29.2%a	31.2%a	27.2%a	28.5%
County	Fair	34.1%a	38.9%a	39.7%a	37.1%
government	Poor	19.2%a	20.6%a	22.2%a	20.7%
	Don't Know	12.7%a	7.0%a,b	6.8%b	9.5%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	747	483	555	1785

# North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



# <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Excellent or Good" – By County:</u>






Page 31 of 67

# Table 18 - City, Village, or Town Government


# 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	4.9%a	3.5%a,b	1.9%b	3.4%
	Good	30.7%a	37.7%a	32.4%a	32.2%
Town and village	Fair	33.1%a	33.6%a	33.9%a	33.6%
government	Poor	18.5%a	17.5%a	26.9%b	22.2%
g	Don't Know	12.7%a	7.7%a,b	4.9%b	8.7%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	744	484	555	1783

# North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



# <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Excellent or Good" – By County:</u>






Page 32 of 67

# Table 19 - Real Estate Taxes


# 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	2.8%a	0.7%a,b	1.0%b	1.8%
	Good	13.5%a	17.3%a	11.6%a	13.0%
Real estate	Fair	33.3%a	34.4%a	38.2%a	35.6%
taxes	Poor	37.8%a	43.3%a,b	45.9%b	42.0%
	Don't Know	12.6%a	4.3%b	3.3%b	7.6%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	748	483	556	1787

# North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



# **Trend Analysis – Rate of "Poor" – By County:**






Page 33 of 67

# Table 20 - Policing and Crime Control


# 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	9.4%a	11.3%a	6.9%a	8.5%
	Good	37.3%a	43.9%a	30.1%b	34.8%
Policing and	Fair	29.7%a	27.3%a	33.9%a	31.3%
crime control	Poor	19.5%a	16.4%a	28.8%b	23.3%
	Don't Know	4.1%a	1.2%a,b	0.3%b	2.1%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	748	483	554	1785

# North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



# <u>Trend Analysis - Rate of "Excellent or Good" - By County:</u>






Page 34 of 67

# Table 21 - Availability of Good Jobs


# 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	4.4%a	4.2%a	1.4%b	3.0%
	Good	21.7%a	25.0%a	10.8%b	17.2%
Availability of	Fair	35.9%a	32.1%a	36.8%a	35.9%
good jobs	Poor	28.5%a	36.6%a	48.7%b	38.4%
	Don't Know	9.5%a	2.1%b	2.3%b	5.5%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	745	484	555	1784

# North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



# <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Excellent or Good" – By County:</u>






Page 35 of 67

## Table 22 - Shopping Opportunities


#### 2024 Survey Results:

		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	9.9%a	3.4%b	0.1%c	4.8%
	Good	34.7%a	25.9%a	10.8%b	23.1%
Shopping	Fair	36.3%a	46.1%b	34.6%a	36.6%
opportunities	Poor	17.9%a	24.2%a	54.0%b	34.7%
	Don't Know	1.2%a	0.4%a	0.5%a	0.8%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	746	481	554	1781


### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



#### <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Excellent or Good" – By County:</u>



Regional Three-county Combined Cross-tabulations (using 2024 data): (county-specific detailed cross-tabulations in Appendix II)




Page 36 of 67

## Table 23 - Quality of K-12 Education


#### 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	12.7%a	16.1%a	12.7%a	13.1%
	Good	39.1%a	49.5%b	42.2%a,b	41.6%
Quality of K-12	Fair	25.6%a	24.8%a	29.7%a	27.4%
education	Poor	8.2%a,b	3.5%a	9.4%b	8.2%
	Don't Know	14.4%a	6.1%b	5.9%b	9.7%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	746	483	554	1783

#### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



### Trend Analysis - Rate of "Excellent or Good" - By County:






Page 37 of 67

## Table 24 - Overall State of the Local Economy


#### 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	4.1%a	0.4%b	0.1%b	1.9%
	Good	23.4%a	22.3%a	9.7%b	17.2%
The overall state of the	Fair	37.9%a	40.1%a	38.0%a	38.2%
local economy	Poor	29.7%a	35.0%a	51.7%b	40.1%
,	Don't Know	5.0%a	2.1%a	0.4%b	2.6%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	748	483	552	1783

#### **North Country County Comparison – Graphically:**



#### <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Excellent or Good" – By County:</u>






Page 38 of 67

## Table 25 - Availability of Care for the Elderly


#### 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	5.4%a	3.8%a	0.1%b	2.9%
	Good	18.6%a	21.3%a	14.9%a	17.2%
Availability of care for the	Fair	28.7%a	39.7%b	31.4%a,b	31.1%
elderly	Poor	24.4%a	25.8%a	49.2%b	35.6%
	Don't Know	22.9%a	9.3%b	4.3%c	13.2%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	748	481	555	1784

#### **North Country County Comparison – Graphically:**



### <u>Trend Analysis - Rate of "Excellent or Good" - By County:</u>






Page 39 of 67

## Table 26 - Availability of Housing


#### 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	7.9%a	0.7%b	0.5%b	3.8%
	Good	20.7%a,b	27.2%a	18.3%b	20.4%
Availability of	Fair	34.9%a	32.3%a	35.3%a	34.8%
housing	Poor	29.6%a	30.8%a,b	39.2%b	34.0%
	Don't Know	6.9%a	9.1%a	6.6%a	7.0%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	745	480	554	1779

#### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



#### <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Excellent or Good" – By County:</u>






Page 40 of 67

## Table 27 - Availability of Childcare


#### 2024 Survey Results:

		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	4.3%a	0.9%a,b	0.1%b	2.0%
	Good	11.1%a	13.3%a	12.1%a	11.8%
Availability of	Fair	27.7%a	23.8%a	27.0%a	27.0%
childcare	Poor	27.3%a	35.7%a,b	38.2%b	33.0%
	Don't Know	29.7%a	26.3%a,b	22.7%b	26.2%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	747	481	554	1782


#### **North Country County Comparison – Graphically:**



#### <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Excellent or Good" – By County:</u>



Regional Three-county Combined Cross-tabulations (using 2024 data): (county-specific detailed cross-tabulations in Appendix II)




Page 41 of 67

## Table 28 - Availability of Behavioral Health Services


#### 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	7.0%a	3.3%a,b	1.2%b	4.0%
	Good	20.3%a	18.7%a	18.3%a	19.2%
Availability of behavioral	Fair	31.2%a	31.5%a	35.1%a	33.0%
health services	Poor	23.4%a	26.6%a,b	29.8%b	26.6%
	Don't Know	18.1%a	19.9%a	15.6%a	17.2%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	745	482	552	1779

#### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



#### <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Excellent or Good" – By County:</u>





Page 42 of 67


### Table 29 – The Downtown of Watertown


#### 2024 Survey Results:

# North Country County Comparison – Graphically: This survey question is only posed to Jefferson County residents.

		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	7.8%a	-	-	7.8%
	Good	28.2%a	-	_	28.2%
The downtown	Fair	39.3%a	-	-	39.3%
of Watertown	Poor	20.5%a	-	_	20.5%
	Don't Know	4.2%a	-	_	4.2%
	Total	100.0%	-	_	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	735	-	_	735

### <u>Trend Analysis - Rate of "Excellent or Good" - By County:</u>






### Table 30 - Overall Quality of Life in the Area


#### 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	8.6%a	10.0%a	4.9%b	7.1%
	Good	40.3%a,b	48.7%a	36.3%b	39.4%
The overall quality of life in	Fair	40.0%a	34.1%a	42.8%a	40.6%
the area	Poor	10.1%a	7.2%a	15.4%b	12.1%
	Don't Know	1.0%a	0.0%1	0.6%a	0.7%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	744	474	537	1755

#### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



### <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Excellent or Good" – By County:</u>






# <u>Section 3.2 – Additional Tracked Resident Opinions and Characteristics – How have residents changed over time?</u>

# Table 31 – Generally speaking, would you say things in this <u>country</u> are heading in the right or wrong direction?


#### 2024 Survey Results:


#### St. Lawrence 3-County Region Jefferson Lewis 11.6%a 12.5%a 14.3% Right direction 16.8%a Wrong direction 79.2%b 75.1%b Direction of the 60.7%a 69.3% 9.2%b 12.3%b 16.4% 22.5%a 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 1756

#### North Country County Comparison – *Graphically*:



#### <u>Trend Analysis - Rate of "Wrong Direction" - By County:</u>






Page 45 of 67

# Table 32 – Generally speaking, would you say things in <u>New York State</u> are heading in the right or wrong direction?


#### 2024 Survey Results:


#### St. Lawrence 3-County Region Jefferson Lewis Right direction 21.2%a 15.6%a 18.5%a 19.3% Wrong direction 63.6%a 76.2%b 70.9%b 68.3% New York State Don't Know 8.2%b 10.6%b 12.4% 15.3%a 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 480 545 1754

#### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



#### <u>Trend Analysis - Rate of "Wrong Direction" - By County:</u>






Page 46 of 67

# Table 33 – Generally speaking, would you say things in <u>your county</u> are heading in the right or wrong direction?


#### 2024 Survey Results:


#### Lewis St. Lawrence 3-County Region Jefferson Right direction 31.0%a 35.8%a 29.3%a 30.7% Wrong direction 36.3%a 41.2%a 51.7%b 43.8% **Your County** 23.0%b 19.0%b 25.5% 32.7%a 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 546 1751

#### North Country County Comparison – *Graphically*:



### <u>Trend Analysis - Rate of "Right Direction" - By County:</u>






Page 47 of 67

# Table 34 – When considering your family's personal financial situation - has it gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse in the past 12 months?


#### 2024 Survey Results:


#### 3-County Jefferson Lewis St. Lawrence Region 16.2%a 10.5%a,b 10.2%b 12.8% 40.7%a 36.7%a 39.8% 40.6%a 52.0%b 50.1%b 46.1% 2.5%a 0.8%a,b 0.1%h 1.3% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 480 546 1755 729

#### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



#### <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Gotten Worse" – By County:</u>





Page 48 of 67

# Table 35 – What do you think is the single largest issue that is facing residents of the North Country right now?

### 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Immigration	1.8%a	3.7%a,b	8.6%b	5.2%
	Protecting democracy	3.4%a	4.5%a	3.2%a	3.4%
	COVID-19	0.0%1	0.0%1	0.0%1	0.0%
	Abortion	0.1%a	0.3%a	0.0%a	0.1%
	Inflation	22.6%a	27.6%a	21.7%a	22.8%
	Unemployment	2.5%a	2.2%a,b	5.0%b	3.6%
	Homelessness	10.3%a	2.0%b	1.0%b	5.0%
	Climate change	2.1%a	1.2%a,b	0.6%b	1.3%
	Health care	3.7%a	2.4%a	4.7%a	4.0%
	Racial inequality	0.0%1	0.5%a	0.0%1	0.1%
	Schools	0.3%a	0.1%a	0.2%a	0.2%
What do you think	Affordable housing	9.8%a	8.4%a	3.1%b	6.5%
is the single	Crime	1.5%a	0.1%a	4.2%b	2.6%
largest issue that	Too much gun regulation	0.5%a	2.5%b	0.3%a	0.6%
is facing residents	Not enough gun regulation	0.4%a	0.0%1	0.3%a	0.3%
of the North	Taxes	6.1%a	6.1%a	4.1%a	5.2%
Country right now?	Drugs	9.1%a	4.6%a	9.1%a	8.6%
	The economy	16.8%a	26.9%b	24.6%b	21.6%
	Not enough good jobs	1.1%a	1.3%a	2.7%a	1.9%
	Mental health	0.5%a	0.6%a	0.1%a	0.3%
	Government	5.0%a	2.9%a	2.8%a	3.7%
	The 2024 Election	0.1%a	0.3%a	0.1%a	0.1%
	Childcare	0.5%a	0.0%a	0.0%1	0.2%
	Unwillingness to work	0.6%a	0.5%a	0.2%a	0.4%
	Moral decline	0.4%a	1.0%a	0.1%a	0.3%
	Opporunities (shop, ent.)	0.7%a	0.3%a,b	3.2%b	1.9%
	Transportation	0.2%a	0.0%1	0.1%a	0.1%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	684	476	535	1695

# Table 36 – What do you think is the single largest issue that is facing residents of the North Country right now? Summary of Most Commonly Cited Issues


#### 2024 Survey Results:

		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Inflation/Cost of Living	22.6%a	27.6%a	21.7%a	22.8%
	The Economy	16.8%a	26.9%b	24.6%b	21.6%
	Drugs	9.1%a	4.6%a	9.1%a	8.6%
What do you think is the	Affordable Housing	9.8%a	8.4%a	3.1%b	6.5%
single largest issue that is	Unemployment/Jobs	3.6%a	3.5%a,b	7.7%b	5.5%
facing residents of the North	Immigration	1.8%a	3.7%a,b	8.6%b	5.2%
Country right now?	Taxes	6.1%a	6.1%a	4.1%a	5.2%
	Homelessness	10.3%a	2.0%b	1.0%b	5.0%
	Other Issues	20.0%a	17.3%a	20.0%a	19.7%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	684	476	535	1695

#### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:




#### <u>Trend Analysis – Rate of "Inflation/Cost of Living" – By County:</u>



# Table 36 – What do you think is the single largest issue that is facing residents of the North Country right now? Summary of Most Commonly Cited Issues

Regional Three-county Combined Cross-tabulations (using 2024 data): (county-specific detailed cross-tabulations in Appendix II)

What do you think is the single largest issue that is facing residents of the North Country right




# Table 37 – Have you ever heard of the Center for Community Studies at JCC before this survey?


#### 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
Have you ever	Yes	50.4%a	66.7%b	37.9%c	46.6%
heard of the Center for Community	No	41.2%a	27.8%b	56.0%c	46.4%
Studies at JCC	Not sure	8.4%a	5.6%a	6.0%a	7.0%
before this survey?	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	734	480	545	1759

#### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



#### Trend Analysis - Rate of "Yes" - By County:






# Table 38 – How likely is it that someone in your household would use a facility in Jefferson County for the processing of food products as a part of a small, local business?


#### 2024 Survey Results:

#### 3-County Jefferson Lewis Region 8.9%a 5.6%a,b 4.9%b 6.7% in your household would use a facility in Jefferson County Somewhat likely 18.0%a 18.7%a,b 12.4%b 15.6% 56.5%a 61.4%a,b 68.8%b 62.5% 16.7%a 14.3%a 15.2% 14.0%a 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 733 477 538 1748

#### North Country County Comparison – *Graphically*:



<u>Trend Analysis – (this survey question has not been used in earlier years)</u>




### Table 39 – Employment Status – Current Occupations


#### 2024 Survey Results:

#### 3-County St. Lawrence Jefferson Lewis Region 33.9%b 20.8%a 31.2%b Retired 27.8% Not currently employed 2.1%a 0.8%a 2.1%a 2.0% 5.9%a 3.2%a 3.3%a 3.5% 0.7%a 0.0%1 0.5% 1.1%a 21.9%a 0.3%b 0.5%b 10.0% 7.5%a 5.9%a 5.9%a 6.6% 7.4%a 6.2%a 5.7%a 6.5% 7.5%a 4.7%a 6.0%a 6.5% 2.4%a 4.1%a 7.8%b 5.6% 4.1%a 4.8%a 3.5%a 3.9% 2.9%a 4.0%a 3.0%a 3.1% 12.3%b 10.9%b 4.2%a 8.1% 4.8%a 5.7%a 6.9%a 5.8% Self-employed 5.0%a 13.7%b 4.0%a 5.5% Not Sure 0.1%a 0.3%a,b 1.7%b 0.8% Disabled 3.3%a 1.0%a 4.7%a 3.7% 0.0%1 0.0%1 0.0%1 0.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% Sample Sizes 471 530 1709


#### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



#### Trend Analysis - Rate of "Retired" - By County:



## Table 39 - Employment Status - Current Occupations




### Table 40 - Political Beliefs


#### 2024 Survey Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Very Conservative	6.4%a	7.8%a	8.4%a	7.4%
	Conservative	21.0%a	34.4%b	21.8%a	22.8%
How would	Middle of the Road	44.1%a	41.9%a	40.6%a	42.3%
you classify your political	Liberal	11.1%a	9.9%a	10.8%a	10.8%
beliefs?	Very Liberal	3.2%a	1.3%a	4.2%a	3.5%
	Don't Know	14.2%a	4.6%b	14.2%a	13.2%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	711	463	532	1706

#### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



#### Trend Analysis - Rate of "Middle of the Road" - By County:





Page 56 of 67


## Section 3.3 - Homelessness in the North Country in 2024

# Table 41 – To what extent do you feel the issue of homelessness in your community personally impacts you?


#### 2024 Survey Results:

		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
To what extent do	Major impact	7.9%a	6.3%a	8.5%a	8.0%
you feel the issue of	Moderate impact	15.4%a	10.9%a	16.5%a	15.4%
homelessness in	Minor impact	37.4%a	38.8%a	39.6%a	38.5%
your community	Not at all an impact	29.8%a	37.5%a	31.8%a	31.6%
personally impacts	Don't Know	9.5%a	6.6%a,b	3.6%b	6.6%
you?	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	729	473	540	1742

#### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



#### Trend Analysis – (this survey question has not been used in earlier years)




# Table 42 – When considering all of the issues facing your community, do you consider homelessness to be a top priority, a moderate priority, or a low priority?


#### 2024 Survey Results:

#### 3-County Jefferson Region When considering all of the issues facing your community, do you consider homelessness to be a top priority, a moderate priority, or a low priority? 24.0%a 10.8%b 15.8%b 19.0% 40.8% 47.7%a 33.9%b 20.9%a 45.7%b 42.6%b 33.2% 7.3%a 9.7%a 6.2%a 7.1% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% Sample Sizes 540 473 1742 729

#### **North Country County Comparison – Graphically:**



#### Trend Analysis – (this survey question has not been used in earlier years)




# Table 43 – To what extent do you feel the issue of homelessness in your community is a safety concern?


#### 2024 Survey Results:

		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Major concern	29.1%a	16.8%b	20.1%b	23.8%
To what extent do	Moderate concern	34.7%a	22.2%b	33.3%a	32.7%
you feel the issue of homelessness in your community is a	Minor concern	18.0%a	31.5%b	29.4%b	24.5%
	Not at all a concern	9.4%a	23.3%b	13.1%a	12.6%
safety concern?	Don't Know	8.8%a	6.1%a,b	4.0%b	6.4%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	730	471	540	1741

#### **North Country County Comparison – Graphically:**



#### <u>Trend Analysis – (this survey question has not been used in earlier years)</u>




# Table 44 – To assist individuals experiencing homelessness, how supportive are you of a shelter with limited or minimal requirements for entry, to be located in your community?


#### 2024 Survey Results:

#### 3-County Jefferson Lewis 33.6%a 26.0%a 32.0%a 32.0% Somewhat supportive 27.2%a 29.3%a 30.1%a experiencing homelessness, how supportive are you of a shelter with limited or minima requirements for entry, to be located in your community? 28.7% 14.0%a 15.9%a,b 20.8%b 17.2% 15.4%a.b 21.2%a 13.3%b 15.1% 7.6%a,b 3.9%b 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 728 472 538 1738

#### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



#### Trend Analysis - (this survey question has not been used in earlier years)




# Table 45 – What do you believe are the primary reasons for the homelessness in your community?

#### 2024 Survey Results:

		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Homelessness is not an issue in my community.	5.7%a	7.0%a	6.7%a	6.3%
	Substance use issues	63.9%a	50.2%b	51.6%b	57.0%
What do you believe are the primary reasons for the	Mental illness	46.4%a	38.0%a,b	34.8%b	40.4%
homelessness in your community?	Lack of affordable housing	37.6%a	27.6%b	32.7%a,b	34.4%
community.	Poor economic conditions/No jobs	35.0%a	34.5%a	48.8%b	41.0%
	Personal choices	39.1%a	38.6%a	25.5%b	33.1%
	Sample Sizes	728	466	533	1727

#### **North Country County Comparison –** *Graphically*:



<u>Trend Analysis – (this survey question has not been used in earlier years)</u>

Regional Three-county Combined Cross-tabulations (using 2024 data): (please refer to Appendix II, with subgroup results shown in tabular format, due to "choose-all-that-apply" survey question format)


## Section 3.4 – The November 2024 Presidential Election

### Table 46 – Are you a registered voter, and if yes, in which party? (among all participants)


#### 2024 Survey Results:

#### **North Country County Comparison – Graphically:**





#### <u>Trend Analysis – (this survey question has not been used in earlier years)</u>




# Table 47 – How likely are you to vote in the elections in November 2024 that include the US Presidency? (among registered voters)

#### 2024 Survey Results:


#### 3-County Jefferson Lewis St. Lawrence Region 79.8%a 82.4%a 91.7%b 85.4% How likely are you to vote in the elections in November 2024 that include the US 10.9%a 11.0%a 4.0%h 7.9% Unlikely to Vote 3.7% 5.1%a 1.7%a 2.8%a **Definitely WILL NOT Vote** 1.0%a,b 2.9%a 0.3%b 0.9% Presidency? (among registered voters) 3.2%a 2.0%a,b 1.2%b 2.2% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 660 445 505 1610

#### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



#### <u>Trend Analysis – (this survey question has not been used in earlier years)</u>






# Table 48 – If you were registered to vote in 2020, who did you vote for in the Presidential Election when Donald Trump ran against Joe Biden? (among registered voters)


#### 2024 Survey Results:

#### 3-County Jefferson Lewis Region 2.2%a 1.7%a 0.1%b 1.2% 7.6%a 5.0%a 8.2%a 7.6% Donald Trump 47.7%a 63.5%b 55.3%b 53.0% 31.2%a 22.4%a 29.0%a 29.2% 3.0%a,b 3.4% 5.1%a 1.8%b 6.3%a 4.5%a 5.5%a 5.7% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 496 1578

#### North Country County Comparison - Graphically:



#### <u>Trend Analysis – (this survey question has not been used in earlier years)</u>



# Table 49 – Pre-election Forecasts for the US Presidency Race (among registered likely voters, with weighting and calibration toward an expected voter turnout model)

#### **Pre-Election Polling and Forecasting 101:**

In many ways, pre-election polling methodology is very similar to all other public opinion polling methodology employed, whether the study is completed by the *Center for Community Studies* or by some other public opinion research organization. The overall goal of public opinion research sampling is to collect a representative sample to estimate the population of interest. However, in pre-election polling there are three additional challenges for the pollster in calculating a voting estimate, or prediction, or forecast for this population of persons who actually vote (that at the time of pre-election sampling, of course, does not yet exist, since some persons interviewed will not yet have voted). The pre-election pollster must make assumptions (best guesses, estimates) regarding the following three items before election day, that are not typically issues in general population survey sampling:

- 1. Identification of the *likely voters*, with a goal of a sample that maximizes representation of those residents who actually cast a vote,
- 2. Definition of the expected *voter turnout model*, that does not typically mirror the population characteristics (for example, older residents comprise a larger percentage of the voters who turn out than they do among the general population), and
- 3. The *Undecideds* how are those voters who indicate a week before the election (when the sample is selected) that they are still undecided in whom they will vote for treated? One cannot fill in a bubble for Undecided on a ballot!

The three assumptions made by the *Center for Community Studies* in this study were: (1) only those individuals who indicated that they are currently registered, definitely will vote in the November 2024 Presidential election, and also could recall who they voted for in the 2020 Presidential election were retained in the pre-election forecasting sample as *likely voters* (this reduced the sample size from 1,790 to 1,286), (2) reference to past turnout characteristics obtained from the Board of Elections were used to estimate the expected voting turnout by political party, age, gender, education, race, and military affiliation, and (3) the Undecideds were allocated by using a combination of past voting record and party affiliation, which in the North Country results with a large majority being predicted as voting for Republican candidates. After all of these analytics modeling assumptions were applied in the identification of likely voters, weighting of data, and treatment of undecideds, the county-specific and regional forecasts were calculated and are included in the gray table below. Comparisons to the actual certified election results are shown in the bar graph on the following page.


#### Interesting (for some, counter-intuitive) Food For Thought:

- A total of 1,790 North Country adults were interviewed, October 22-27, 2024
- There are ≈139,000 registered voters in the Tri-County Region. (from ≈190,000 adults) (73% of adults are registered)
- There were 99,691 votes cast in the November 2024 Election. (72% of the 139,000 registered voters, actually voted)
- 99,691 votes cast in the November 2024 Election represents 52% of all adults. (99,691 actually voted, from 190,000)
- The Center sampled 1,286 of these 99,691 voters from November (using our LV Model)
- Finally, sampling 1,286 from 99,691 means that only 1.3% of the actual voters were sampled!
- Which, of course, means that approximately 98.7% of the voters were completely ignored, not interviewed, in this study!
- How can such a small sample predict/estimate so well?
- It's not magic ... it's math!

#### 2024 Survey (Pre-election Polling) Results:


		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
Pre-election Forecasts for the US	Donald Trump	60.7%	69.9%	54.9%	59.3%
Presidency Race (among	Kamala Harris	38.2%	29.5%	43.6%	39.5%
registered likely voters with weighting and calibration toward	Other Candidate	1.2%	0.7%	1.5%	1.2%
an expected voter turnout model)	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
	Sample Sizes	513	351	422	1286

#### North Country County Comparison – Graphically:




Page **65** of **67** 

#### Regional Three-county Combined Cross-tabulations (using 2024 data): (county-specific detailed cross-tabulations in Appendix II)



#### Polling Error - How well did these forecasts estimate the true certified results from 11/5/2024?



#### The details underlying that which is plotted in the graph on the preceding page:

	Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	Region
2020 Election Actual (% Trump)	58%	69%	55%	58%
2024 Center for CS Raw Sample (% Trump)	51%	62%	50%	54%
2024 Center for CS Pre-Election Forecast (% Trump)	61%	70%	55%	59%
2024 Election Actual Result (% Trump, certified 12/2/24)	62%	72%	59%	62%
2024 CCS Polling Error (Actual vs. Forecast)	1%	2%	4%	2%
2024 Margin of Error (includes Design Effect, 95% CI)	7%	8%	8%	5%
Participants in Sample	729	466	540	1,735
RVs in Sample	661	445	495	1,601
LVs in Sample	513	351	422	1,286
# Registered Voters (active as of 2/2024, NYS Elec. Bd.)	59,182	17,342	62,481	139,005
# 18+ Adults (US Census)	84,540	20,486	84,523	189,549
# 2024 Votes Cast (as of 12/2/24)	42,843	12,682	44,166	99,691
Turnout Rate Among RVs	72%	73%	71%	72%
Turnout Rate Among 18+	51%	62%	52%	53%

# **Section 4 - Final Comments**

This report is a presentation of the information collected from approximately 23,000 interviews of adult residents of Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence Counties, New York conducted between 2000 and 2024. The *Center for Community Studies* exists to engage in a variety of community-based research activities, and to promote the productive discussion of ideas and issues of significance to our community. As such, the results of this survey are available for use by any citizen or organization in the community. If you use information from this survey, we simply ask that you acknowledge the source.

These interviews produced a large volume of data, which can be analyzed and assessed in a number of different ways. **Please contact the** *Center for Community Studies for specific analyses.* Additionally, we are available to make presentations of these survey findings to community groups and organizations upon request. Please contact:

The Center for Community Studies 1220 Coffeen Street Watertown, NY 13601 Telephone: (315) 786-2264

Joel LaLone, Director <u>jlalone@sunyjefferson.edu</u> www.sunyjefferson.edu/community/community-studies/

The Twenty-sixth Annual North Country Survey of the Communities is tentatively scheduled for October 2025.

# Appendix I Detailed County-specific 2000-2024 Trends in Tabular Format

### Section 3.1 - Community Quality-of-Life Indicators

Table 7 – SUMMARY of "Trends" in Jefferson County – Years 2000-2024 – All 21 Quality-of-life Indicators
Compared Across Time and to the Long-term Average – Rate of Responding "Excellent or Good"

Indicator	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Avg.
Access to higher education	68	63	64	63	63	61	60	63	65	61	63	59	61	60	65	58	67	71	75	67	-	69	60	57	64	64
Public outdoor recreational opportunities	65	61	61	63	61	62	62	63	64	61	56	60	62	60	64	69	64	68	68	-	-	59	60	60	66	63
Policing and crime control	66	66	64	65	64	58	64	61	65	64	63	61	64	59	63	61	72	59	67	-	69	-	49	46	47	62
The overall quality of life in the area	64	50	56	56	53	57	60	65	62	54	58	55	58	60	54	63	66	67	66	62	66	60	50	49	49	58
Shopping opportunities	56	51	46	48	52	57	69	71	71	57	59	62	64	64	63	67	64	62	62	-	-	50	45	46	45	58
Quality of K-12 education	63	58	61	55	58	58	56	59	63	60	57	55	54	52	55	49	66	67	65	61	59	-	52	50	52	58
Quality of the environment	53	52	53	50	56	53	50	50	49	49	51	48	53	52	51	52	73	68	67	65	71	-	65	65	72	57
Availability of housing	-	-	-	-	-	37	39	46	50	55	57	51	48	56	64	63	66	58	58	51	-	-	27	26	29	49
Healthcare quality	49	45	51	49	50	50	51	50	51	44	47	47	48	49	46	47	52	56	59	52	58	-	43	42	44	49
Health care access	51	44	47	47	45	47	48	49	49	41	43	43	46	47	44	49	54	56	59	-	-	67	44	44	41	48
City, village, or Town government	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	48	46	45	-	-	-	34	31	36	40
Cultural/entertainment opportunities	40	36	40	38	39	39	38	43	44	38	39	38	43	40	41	47	32	50	49	35	-	-	34	36	35	40
County government	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45	41	41	35	-	36	30	32	34	37
Availability of care for the elderly	-	-	-	-	34	36	41	36	38	32	31	36	43	46	45	42	43	39	39	-	-	33	22	23	24	36
Availability of behavioral health services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38	42	35	-	-	33	24	30	27	33
The Downtown of Watertown	30	26	24	27	23	26	27	26	28	43	43	42	40	35	39	47	25	36	40	35	-	-	34	34	36	33
Availability of childcare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	44	41	39	-	-	27	15	16	15	28
The overall state of the local economy	28	16	18	18	20	24	29	31	24	15	19	19	23	23	23	32	23	36	36	32	35	28	24	20	28	25
Availability of good jobs	17	7	9	10	11	15	20	25	20	9	14	11	15	15	13	18	17	23	28	25	32	30	32	32	26	19
Cost of energy	8	7	9	7	9	8	7	8	8	9	9	8	9	12	7	21	27	35	30	-	-	-	11	23	18	13
Real estate taxes	15	11	10	9	11	12	10	8	10	10	10	12	11	12	9	11	11	20	23	17	-	20	15	15	16	13

Table 8 – SUMMARY of "Trends" in Lewis County – Years 2007-2024 – All 20 Quality-of-life Indicators

Compared Across Time and to the Long-term Average – Rate of Responding "Excellent or Good"

Indicator	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Avg.
Quality of the environment	83	89	90	90	86	91	84	86	90	83	85	88	-	86	79	78	84	80	85
Quality of K-12 education	82	84	85	84	80	87	75	73	83	85	80	79	-	78	76	65	76	66	79
Public outdoor recreational opportunities	78	80	78	70	74	83	73	75	72	72	74	-	70	-	66	69	77	67	74
The overall quality of life in the area	74	82	73	78	73	77	71	75	77	81	77	79	74	78	66	54	68	59	73
Policing and crime control	70	77	69	78	74	75	68	73	66	72	64	-	74	76	62	56	62	55	69
fealthcare quality	74	75	71	70	64	79	68	71	69	63	70	61	-	65	55	51	52	48	65
Health care access	63	64	63	66	61	72	58	55	66	61	72	-	68	-	53	43	47	49	60
Availability of care for the elderly	55	64	62	65	61	70	54	65	57	57	54	-	46	-	39	26	33	25	52
Availability of housing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63	60	61	54	-	-	42	25	36	28	46
City, village, or Town government	48	53	45	44	51	52	42	43	45	54	49	-	55	-	39	42	45	41	47
Access to higher education	-	-	38	42	36	46	41	37	45	49	47	46	56	-	42	53	54	46	45
County government	43	46	33	32	41	39	35	40	45	44	45	44	-	-	39	38	35	34	40
Shopping opportunities	35	40	35	33	28	38	31	36	34	36	39	-	34	-	28	28	39	29	34
Availability of behavioral health services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	37	41	-	35	-	27	25	31	22	32
Cultural/entertainment opportunities	27	34	26	29	31	35	29	30	27	29	41	31	-	-	27	26	36	26	30
Availability of childcare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43	42	42	-	27	-	21	18	19	14	28
The overall state of the local economy	35	21	21	23	19	30	19	24	31	30	36	45	35	37	29	20	29	23	28
Cost of energy	22	22	26	22	31	30	30	26	31	38	43	-	35	-	21	14	19	17	27
Real estate taxes	25	22	18	19	20	27	22	16	21	21	28	24	-	-	18	17	13	18	21
wailability of good jobs	17	13	11	13	10	13	16	16	15	16	24	26	25	25	36	36	35	29	2

Table 9 - SUMMARY of "Trends" in St. Lawrence County - Years 2015-2024 - All 20 Quality-of-life Indicators Compared Across Time and to the Long-term Average – Rate of Responding "Excellent or Good"

Indicator	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Avg.
Access to higher education	77	72	71	75	80	-	71	75	70	70	73
Quality of the environment	73	67	70	71	76	71	-	73	63	64	70
Quality of K-12 education	65	67	72	69	72	60	-	49	57	55	63
Public outdoor recreational opportunities	66	60	67	66	-	-	58	59	54	54	61
The overall quality of life in the area	61	59	60	64	69	55	55	51	42	41	56
Policing and crime control	66	54	64	65	-	60	-	45	44	37	54
Healthcare quality	59	57	49	50	54	44	-	42	33	36	47
Health care access	53	52	50	50	-	-	56	42	36	32	46
Availability of housing	55	48	47	44	51	-	-	30	24	19	40
City, village, or Town government	-	39	38	39	-	-	-	38	39	34	38
Availability of care for the elderly	45	38	41	36	-	-	21	20	23	15	30
County government	35	26	30	32	34	-	34	29	35	31	32
Cultural/entertainment opportunities	27	31	35	36	36	-	-	27	27	20	30
Availability of behavioral health services	34	30	36	37	-	-	27	24	23	19	29
Availability of childcare	35	34	40	31	-	-	21	16	13	12	25
Cost of energy	34	32	30	28	-	-	30	12	22	15	25
Shopping opportunities	21	20	18	12	-	-	28	21	17	11	19
The overall state of the local economy	18	19	21	16	22	16	25	10	18	10	18
Real estate taxes	22	18	19	19	15	-	12	13	16	13	16
Availability of good jobs	10	12	15	15	16	13	25	28	16	12	16

### Table 10 - Cultural/Entertainment Opportunities

	4%	40/				2000	2000	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Average		Maximum	Minimum
		4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	5%	5%	7%	8%	8%		-	5%	4%	6%	5%	Excellent	8%	4%
Good	36%	32%	36%	34%	35%	35%	34%	38%	39%	34%	35%	34%	38%	36%	37%	42%	27%	43%	41%	27%	- 1	-	29%	32%	30%	35%	Good	43%	27%
Ex+Good	40%	36%	40%	38%	39%	39%	38%	43%	44%	38%	39%	38%	43%	40%	41%	47%	32%	50%	49%	35%	-1	-	34%	###	35%	40%	Ex+Good	50%	32%
Fair	32%	32%	32%	32%	34%	33%	33%	31%	31%	32%	31%	31%	31%	32%	31%	31%	49%	36%	35%	39%	-1	-	39%	40%	43%	34%	Fair	49%	31%
Poor	25%	30%	25%	27%	25%	23%	26%	24%	22%	26%	25%	28%	23%	26%	25%	21%	17%	11%	12%	24%	-1	-	22%	19%	19%	23%	Poor	30%	11%
Don't Know	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	5%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%	3%		-	4%	5%	3%	3%	Don't know	5%	2%

Trend Analysis - Detailed Results for Lewis County:

ш		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
E	ccellent	5%	6%	4%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	-	-	3%	4%	6%	3%
G	ood	22%	28%	22%	26%	28%	32%	27%	27%	24%	26%	38%	27%	-	- 1	24%	22%	30%	23%
E	c+Good	27%	34%	26%	29%	32%	36%	29%	30%	27%	29%	41%	31%			27%	26%	36%	26%
Fa	air	46%	40%	43%	43%	41%	42%	46%	38%	43%	43%	44%	36%	-	- 1	45%	46%	38%	41%
Po	oor	26%	24%	28%	25%	23%	22%	23%	30%	29%	24%	13%	29%	-	- 1	23%	24%	24%	31%
Do	on't know	2%	3%	3%	3%	5%	0%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	-	-	5%	5%	2%	2%

28% 31%

33%

Trend Analysis - Detailed Results for St. Lawrence County 6% 9% 7% 5% 5% 2% 22% 25% 22% 24% 29% 27% 29% 16% 27% 36% 27% 27% 43% 41% 40% 31% 31% 44% 40% 45%

22% 29% 31%

26% 25%

3%

27%	Good	38%	22%
<b>30%</b>	Ex+Good	41%	26%
42%	Fair	46%	36%
25%	Poor	31%	13%
3%	Don't know	5%	0%
100%			
Average		Maximum	Minimum
6%	Excellent	9%	2%
24%	Good	29%	16%
<b>30</b> %	Ex+Good	36%	20%
39%	Fair	45%	31%

6%

33%

4%

2%

22%

1%

Average 4% Excellent

28%

3%

100%

Poor

Don't know

### Table 11 - Cost of Energy

Trend Analysi																													
	is – Deta	iled R	esults	for J	leffers	son C	ount	<u>v:</u>																					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Average		Maximum	Minimum
Excellent	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	5%	6%			1 -	1%	3%	3%	2%	Excellent	6%	1%
Good	7%	6%	8%	6%	8%	7%	6%	7%	7%	8%	8%	7%	8%	11%	6%	18%	26%	30%	24%		l	l -		20%	15%	11%	Good	30%	6%
Ex+Good	8%	7%	9%	7%	9%	8%	7%	8%	8%	9%	9%	8%	9%	12%	7%	21%	27%	35%	30%		I -	1 -	11%	_	18%	13%	Ex+Good	35%	7%
Fair	25%	22%	28%	24%	_	_	21%		23%	26%	28%	23%	26%	33%	23%	37%	38%	39%	36%		l	1 -	30%	_	42%	29%	Fair	42%	21%
Poor	62%	66%	56%	61%		63%	69%			61%	56%	66%	58%	51%	65%	39%	27%	20%	26%		l	1 -		39%	35%	52%	Poor	69%	20%
Don't Know	5%	5%	7%	8%	7%	5%	4%	7%	3%	3%	6%	2%	5%	5%	5%	3%	9%	7%	8%		-	<u> </u>	10%	5%	6%	6%	Don't know	10%	2%
Tuesd Amelica	:- D-4-	::! D				<b>^</b>	.4																			100%			
Trend Analysi	2007	_	-		2011			2044	2045	2016	2047	2049	2040	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024								Average		Maximum	Minimum
Excellent	2%	3%	2009	3%	4%	3%	3%	1%	4%	4%	5%	2010	2%	2020	3%	2022	2023	2%								_	Excellent		
Good	20%	19%	24%	19%	28%	27%	27%			34%	38%	-	32%		18%	12%	16%	15%								3% 24%	Good	5% 38%	1% 12%
Ex+Good	20 /6	22%	26%	22%	32%	30%	30%	26%	31%	38%	43%	-	34%		21%	14%	18%	17%								24%	Ex+Good	43%	14%
Fair	31%	30%	32%	33%		32%	43%			38%	38%	-	38%		41%	31%	35%	38%								36%	Fair	43%	29%
Poor	44%	48%	38%				25%		29%	21%	14%	-	22%		36%	53%	42%	44%								35%	Poor	53%	14%
Don't know	3%	0%	3%	6%	4%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%	5%	l - I	5%		2%	3%	4%	1%								3%	Don't know	6%	0%
	070	070	0 70	070	-1/0	0,0	0 70	170	-70	0 70	070		070	_		070	170	170								100%			
Trend Analysi	is – <i>Deta</i>	iled R	esulte	for S	St. I au	vrenc	e Co	untv :																		100/0			
	2015		2017							2024																Average		Maximum	Minimum
Excellent	6%	5%	9%	3%	-	-	7%	1%	3%	1%	1															4%	Excellent	9%	1%
Good	28%	27%			_	_	23%		19%	14%																21%	Good	28%	11%
Ex+Good	34%	32%	30%	28%	_	_	30%	12%	22%	15%																25%	Ex+Good	34%	12%
Fair	34%	39%	41%	42%	_	_	46%	34%	34%	33%																38%	Fair	46%	33%
Poor	30%	26%	24%	29%	_	_	21%	51%	40%	51%																34%	Poor	51%	21%
Don't know	1%	3%	5%	1%	_	_	4%	4%	4%	1%																3%	Don't know	5%	1%
ı																										100%			
Trend Analysi						SS																							
				for J	leffers	son C					_															ı			
	2000	2001	2002	2003	leffers	son C	2006	2007	_	_			2012		_	_	2016	2017		2019				2023	_	Average		Maximum	Minimum
Excellent	2000	2001 6%	2002 7%	2003 7%	2004 7%	2005 7%	2006 7%	2007 7%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	7%	6%	6%	11%	13%	17%	-		17%	7%	13%	11%	9%	Excellent	17%	6%
Good	2000 8% 43%	2001 6% 38%	7% 40%	2003 7% 40%	2004 7% 38%	2005 7% 40%	2006 7% 41%	7% 42%	7% 42%	6% 35%	6% 37%	6% 37%	7% 39%	7% 40%	6% 38%	6% 43%	11% 43%	13% 43%	17% 42%	-	=	17% 50%	7% 37%	13% 31%	11% 30%	9% 40%	Excellent Good	17% 50%	6% 30%
Good Ex+Good	8% 43% 51%	2001 6% 38% 44%	7% 40% 47%	2003 7% 40% 47%	2004 7% 38% 45%	2005 7% 40% 47%	2006 7% 41% 48%	7% 42% 49%	7% 42% 49%	6% 35% 41%	6% 37% 43%	6% 37% 43%	7% 39% 46%	7% 40% 47%	6% 38% 44%	6% 43% 49%	11% 43% 54%	13% 43% 56%	17% 42% 59%	 		17% 50% 67%	7% 37% 44%	13% 31% ###	11% 30% <i>41</i> %	9% 40% 48%	Excellent Good Ex+Good	17% 50% 67%	6% 30% 41%
Good Ex+Good Fair	2000 8% 43% 51% 29%	2001 6% 38% 44% 30%	7% 40% 47% 30%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30%	2005 7% 40% 47% 31%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29%	7% 42% 49% 28%	7% 42% 49% 28%	6% 35% <i>41%</i> 31%	6% 37% 43% 30%	6% 37% 43% 30%	7% 39% 46% 31%	7% 40% 47% 29%	6% 38% 44% 29%	6% 43% 49% 34%	11% 43% 54% 32%	13% 43% 56% 26%	17% 42% 59% 25%	- - -	- - -	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33%	13% 31% ### 31%	11% 30% 41% 38%	9% 40% 48% 30%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	17% 50% 67% 38%	6% 30% 41% 25%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	8% 43% 51% 29% 17%	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22%	7% 40% 47% 30% 19%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 20%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18%	2005 7% 40% 47% 31% 15%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 20%	7% 42% 49% 28% 18%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20%	6% 35% 41% 31% 23%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20%	6% 38% 44% 29% 22%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	17% 50% 67% 38% 23%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5%
Good Ex+Good Fair	2000 8% 43% 51% 29%	2001 6% 38% 44% 30%	7% 40% 47% 30%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30%	2005 7% 40% 47% 31%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29%	7% 42% 49% 28%	7% 42% 49% 28%	6% 35% <i>41%</i> 31%	6% 37% 43% 30%	6% 37% 43% 30%	7% 39% 46% 31%	7% 40% 47% 29%	6% 38% 44% 29%	6% 43% 49% 34%	11% 43% 54% 32%	13% 43% 56% 26%	17% 42% 59% 25%	- - -	- - -	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	17% 50% 67% 38%	6% 30% 41% 25%
Good  Ex+Good  Fair  Poor  Don't Know	2000 8% 43% 51% 29% 17% 3%	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22% 3%	7% 40% 47% 30% 19% 4%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8%	2005 7% 40% 47% 31% 15% 6%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 20% 4%	7% 42% 49% 28% 18%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20%	6% 35% 41% 31% 23%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20%	6% 38% 44% 29% 22%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	17% 50% 67% 38% 23%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	2000 8% 43% 51% 29% 17% 3% is – Deta	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22% 3%	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 19% 4%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8%	2005 7% 40% 47% 31% 15% 6%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 20% 4%	2007 7% 42% 49% 28% 18% 5%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3%	6% 35% 41% 31% 23% 6%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 22% 5%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know	2000 8% 43% 51% 29% 17% 3% is – Deta	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22% 3% illed R	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 19% 4% esults	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8%	2005 7% 40% 47% 31% 15% 6% Coun	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 20% 4%	2007 7% 42% 49% 28% 18% 5%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3%	6% 35% 41% 31% 23% 6%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 22% 5%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2% Minimum
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi Excellent	2000 8% 43% 51% 29% 17% 3% is – Deta	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22% 3% illed R 2008 16%	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 19% 4% 2009 12%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8% ewis 2011 16%	2005 7% 40% 47% 31% 15% 6% Coun	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 20% 4% 2013 14%	2007 7% 42% 49% 28% 18% 5%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3%	6% 35% 41% 31% 23% 6%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 22% 5%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2% 2023	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100% Average 13%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8% <i>Maximum</i> 20%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2% <b>Minimum</b> 6%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good	2000 8% 43% 51% 29% 17% 3% is – Deta 2007	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22% 3% illed R	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 19% 4% esults	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8%	2005 7% 40% 47% 31% 15% 6% Coun	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 20% 4%	2007 7% 42% 49% 28% 18% 5%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3%	6% 35% 41% 31% 23% 6%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 22% 5%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100% Average 13% 47%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%  Maximum 20% 55%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2% <b>Minimum</b> 6% 36%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi Excellent	2000 8% 43% 51% 29% 17% 3% is - Deta 2007 15% 48%	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22% 3% illed R 2008 16% 48%	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 19% 4%  Results 2009 12% 51%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4% 2010 2010 20%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8% -ewis 2011 16% 46%	2005 7% 40% 47% 31% 15% 6% Count 2012 18% 55%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 4% 0ty: 2013 14% 44%	2007 7% 42% 49% 28% 18% 5% 2014 11% 45% 56%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3% 2015 14% 52%	6% 35% 41% 31% 23% 6% 2016 14% 47%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5% 2017 19% 53%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3% 2019 13% 55%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 5% 5%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2% 2022 7% 36%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2% 2023 9% 38%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4% 2024 6% 43%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100% Average 13%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%  Maximum 20% 55% 73%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2% <b>Minimum</b> 6%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Ex+Good	2000 8% 43% 51% 29% 17% 3% is - Deta 2007 15% 48% 63%	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22% 3% illed R 2008 16% 48% 64%	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 19% 4% 2009 12% 51% 63% 24%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4% 2010 20% 46%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8% 2011 16% 46% 62%	2005 7% 40% 47% 31% 6% Count 2012 18% 55% 73%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 20% 4% 2013 14% 44% 58%	2007 7% 42% 49% 28% 18% 5%  2014 11% 45% 56% 32%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3%  2015 14% 52% 66% 24%	6% 35% 41% 31% 23% 6% 2016 14% 47%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5% 2017 19% 53% 72%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%  2019 13% 55% 68%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 22% 5% 2021 9% 45% 54%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2% 2022 7% 36% 43%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2% 2023 9% 38% 47%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4% 2024 6% 43%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100% Average 13% 47% 60%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%  Maximum 20% 55%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2% <i>Minimum</i> 6% 36% 43%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	2000   8%   43%   51%   29%   17%   3%   2007   15%   48%   63%   27%   9%	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22% 3% iiled R 2008 16% 48% 24%	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 19% 4% 2009 12% 51% 63% 24%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4% 5 for L 2010 20% 66% 26% 7%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8% 2011 16% 46% 62% 25% 12%	2005 7% 40% 47% 31% 6% 2012 18% 55% 73% 17% 10%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 4% 2013 14% 44% 58% 28% 11%	2007 7% 42% 49% 28% 18% 5% 2014 11% 45% 32% 12%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3%  2015 14% 52% 66% 24%	6% 35% 41% 31% 23% 6% 2016 14% 47% 61% 23%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5% 2017 19% 53% 72% 20% 7%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%  2019 13% 55% 68% 21%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 52% 5%  2021 9% 45% 54% 28% 17%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2% 2022 7% 36% 43% 42% 13%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2% 2023 9% 38% 47% 42%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4% 2024 6% 43% 49% 33%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100% Average 13% 47% 60% 27%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%  Maximum 20% 55% 73% 42%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2% <i>Minimum</i> 6% 36% 43% 17%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	2000 8% 43% 51% 29% 17% 3% is – Deta 2007 15% 48% 63% 27%	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22% 3% iiled R 2008 16% 48% 64% 24%	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 19% 4%  2009 12% 51% 63% 24% 11%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4% 2010 20% 66% 26%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8% 2011 16% 46% 62% 25%	2005 7% 40% 47% 31% 6% Count 2012 18% 55% 73%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 4% 20% 4% 2013 14% 44% 58% 28%	2007 7% 42% 49% 28% 18% 5%  2014 11% 45% 56% 32%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3%  2015 14% 52% 66% 24% 8%	6% 35% 41% 31% 23% 6% 2016 14% 47% 61% 23% 14%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5% 2017 19% 53% 72% 20%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%  2019 13% 55% 68% 21% 9%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 5% 5%  2021 9% 45% 54% 28%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2% 2022 7% 36% 43% 42%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2%  2023 9% 38% 47% 42% 9%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4% 2024 6% 43% 49% 33% 18%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100%  Average 13% 47% 60% 27% 11%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%  **Maximum 20% 55% 73% 42% 18%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2% <b>Minimum</b> 6% 36% 43% 17% 7%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	2000 8% 43% 51% 29% 17% 3% is – Deta 2007 15% 48% 63% 27% 9% 1%	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22% 3% iiled R 2008 16% 48% 24% 11% 2%	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 19% 4% 2009 12% 51% 63% 24% 11% 2%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 4% 20% 4% 2010 20% 66% 26% 7% 1%	2011 16% 2011 2011 16% 46% 62% 225% 29%	2005 7% 40% 47% 31% 6% Count 2012 18% 55% 73% 10% 0%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 4% 2013 14% 44% 28% 111% 3%	2007 7% 42% 49% 28% 18% 5%  2014 11% 45% 45% 32% 0%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3% 2015 14% 52% 66% 24% 8% 2%	6% 35% 41% 31% 23% 6% 2016 14% 47% 61% 23% 14% 2%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5% 2017 19% 53% 72% 20% 7%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%  2019 13% 55% 68% 21% 9%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 52% 5%  2021 9% 45% 54% 28% 17%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2% 2022 7% 36% 43% 42% 13%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2%  2023 9% 38% 47% 42% 9%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4% 2024 6% 43% 49% 33% 18%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100%  Average 13% 47% 60% 27% 11% 2%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%  **Maximum 20% 55% 73% 42% 18%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2% <b>Minimum</b> 6% 36% 43% 17% 7%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	2000 8% 43% 51% 29% 17% 3% is – Deta 2007 15% 48% 63% 27% 9% 1%	2001 6% 44% 44% 22% 30% iiled R 2008 16% 48% 24% 11% 2%	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 47% 19% 4% 2009 12% 51% 63% 24% 11% 2%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 4% 20% 4% 2010 20% 66% 26% 7% 1%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8% 2011 16% 46% 62% 25% 12% 2%	2005 7% 40% 47% 31% 6% Count 2012 18% 55% 73% 10% 0%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 4% 2013 14% 44% 58% 28% 11% 3%	2007 7% 42% 49% 28% 18% 5%  2014 11% 45% 45% 12% 0%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3% 2015 14% 52% 66% 24% 8% 2%	6% 35% 41% 31% 23% 6% 2016 14% 47% 61% 23% 14% 2%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5% 2017 19% 53% 72% 20% 7%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%  2019 13% 55% 68% 21% 9%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 52% 5%  2021 9% 45% 54% 28% 17%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2% 2022 7% 36% 43% 42% 13%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2%  2023 9% 38% 47% 42% 9%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4% 2024 6% 43% 49% 33% 18%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100%  Average 13% 47% 60% 27% 11% 2%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%  **Maximum 20% 55% 73% 42% 18%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2% <b>Minimum</b> 6% 36% 43% 17% 7%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	2000 8% 43% 51% 29% 3% is – Deta 2007 15% 48% 63% 27% 9% 1%	2001 6% 44% 44% 22% 30% iiled R 2008 16% 48% 24% 11% 2%	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 47% 19% 4% 2009 12% 51% 63% 24% 11% 2%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 4% 2010 2010 20% 46% 66% 26% 7% 1%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8% 2011 16% 46% 62% 25% 12% 2%	2012 18% 55% 73% 10% 6% 2012 18% 55% 73% 10% 0%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 4% 2013 14% 44% 58% 28% 11% 3%	2007 7% 42% 49% 49% 18% 5%  2014 11% 45% 32% 12% 0%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3% 2015 14% 52% 66% 24% 8% 2%	6% 35% 41% 31% 23% 6% 2016 14% 47% 61% 23% 14% 2%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5% 2017 19% 53% 72% 20% 7%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%  2019 13% 55% 68% 21% 9%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 52% 5%  2021 9% 45% 54% 28% 17%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2% 2022 7% 36% 43% 42% 13%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2%  2023 9% 38% 47% 42% 9%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4% 2024 6% 43% 49% 33% 18%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100%  Average 13% 47% 60% 27% 11% 2% 100%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%  Maximum 20% 55% 73% 42% 18% 3%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2% Minimum 6% 36% 43% 17% 7% 0%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysi  Executed the fair Foor Don't know	2000 8% 43% 51% 29% 17% 3% is - Deta 2007 15% 63% 27% 9% 11% is - Deta	2001 6% 44% 44% 30% 22% 3% iiled R 2008 16% 48% 64% 24% 11% 2% iiled R	2002 7% 40% 40% 47% 30% 4% 19% 4% 2003 12% 51% 63% 24% 11% 2%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 4% 2010 20% 46% 26% 7% 1%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8% 2011 16% 46% 62% 25% 12% 2%	2012 15% 6% Count 15% 6% Count 10% 0%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 4% 2013 14% 44% 58% 28% 111% 3%	2007 7% 42% 49% 28% 18% 5%  2014 11% 45% 45% 0%  2022 7% 35%	7% 42% 49% 20% 3% 2015 14% 66% 24% 8% 2%	6% 35% 41% 31% 23% 6% 2016 14% 47% 61% 23% 14% 2%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5% 2017 19% 53% 72% 20% 7%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%  2019 13% 55% 68% 21% 9%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 52% 5%  2021 9% 45% 54% 28% 17%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2% 2022 7% 36% 43% 42% 13%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2%  2023 9% 38% 47% 42% 9%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4% 2024 6% 43% 49% 33% 18%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100%  Average 13% 47% 60% 27% 11% 2% 100%  Average	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%  Maximum 20% 55% 73% 42% 18% 3%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2% Minimum 6% 36% 43% 17% 7% 0%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Ex+Good Ex+Good	2000 8% 43% 51% 29% 17% 3% is - Deta 2007 15% 48% 63% 27% 9% 1% is - Deta 2018 84% 53%	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22% 3% iiled R 2008 16% 48% 24% 111% 2% iiled R 2016 8% 44% 52%	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 19% 4% 2009 12% 51% 63% 24% 11% 22% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 40% 4% 2010 20% 46% 66% 66% 17% 5 for L 2010 21% 21% 21% 22% 23% 24% 24% 25% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8% 2011 16% 46% 62% 225% 12% 2%	2012 18% 55% 734 10% 6% 2012 18% 55% 73% 17% 0%	2006 7% 41% 48% 20% 4% 2013 14% 44% 58% 11% 3% EE CO 2021 11% 56%	2007 7% 42% 49% 28% 18% 5%  2014 11% 45% 45% 0%  2022 7% 35% 42%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3% 2015 14% 52% 66% 24% 8% 2% 2023 3% 33% 36%	6% 35% 41% 31% 6% 2016 14% 47% 61% 23% 14% 22%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5% 2017 19% 53% 72% 20% 7%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%  2019 13% 55% 68% 21% 9%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 52% 5%  2021 9% 45% 54% 28% 17%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2% 2022 7% 36% 43% 42% 13%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2%  2023 9% 38% 47% 42% 9%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4% 2024 6% 43% 49% 33% 18%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100%  Average 13% 47% 60% 27% 11% 2% 100%  Average 8% 46%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know  Extended Fair Foor Don't know	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%  **Maximum 20% 55% 73% 42% 18% 3%  **Maximum 14% 45% 56%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2% Minimum 6% 36% 43% 17% 7% 0% Minimum 3% 27% 32%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair  Foor Don't know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	2000   8%   43%   51%   29%   17%   3%   2007   15%   48%   63%   27%   1%   1%   1%   16   16   16   16   16   16   16   16	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22% 3% iiled R 2008 16% 48% 24% 64% 22% iiled R 24% 24% 24% 24% 22%	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 19% 4%  2009 12% 63% 63% 11% 63% 24% 41% 50% 41% 50% 31%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4% 5 for L 2010 20% 46% 66% 26% 7% 11% 5 for S 2018 14% 50% 29%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8% 2011 16% 46% 62% 25% 12% 209 	2012 18% 55% 6% Count 2012 18% 55% 0% 0%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 4% 2013 14% 44% 58% 11% 3% 66 CO 2021 11% 56% 32%	2007 7% 42% 49% 49% 5% 18% 5%  2014 11% 45% 65% 22% 60% 12% 0% 2022 7% 35% 42% 38%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3%  2015 14% 52% 66% 24% 8% 2%  2023 3% 33% 36% 32%	6% 35% 41% 31% 6% 2016 14% 47% 61% 23% 144% 2% 2024 5% 27% 32% 36%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5% 2017 19% 53% 72% 20% 7%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%  2019 13% 55% 68% 21% 9%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 52% 5%  2021 9% 45% 54% 28% 17%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2% 2022 7% 36% 43% 42% 13%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2%  2023 9% 38% 47% 42% 9%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4% 2024 6% 43% 49% 33% 18%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100%  Average 13% 47% 60% 27% 11% 2% 100%  Average 8% 38% 46% 32%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%  **Maximum 20% 55% 73% 42% 18% 3%  **Maximum 14% 45% 56% 38%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2% Minimum 6% 36% 43% 17% 7% 0% Minimum 3% 27% 32% 27%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	2000 8% 43% 51% 29% 17% 3% is – Deta 2007 15% 63% 27% 9% 1% is – Deta 2015 8% 45% 33% 30% 15%	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22% 3% iiled R 2008 48% 64% 24% 11% 2% iiled R 2016 8% 44% 27% 18%	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 19% 4%  19% 51% 63% 24% 11% 22%  22017 9% 41% 50% 31% 18%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4% 8 for L 2010 20% 46% 66% 26% 7% 11% 5 for S 2018 14% 50% 29% 20%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8% 2011 16% 46% 62% 225% 12% 2%	2012 18% 55% 73% 10% 6% 2012 18% 55% 73% 17% 0%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 20% 4% 2013 14% 44% 58% 11% 3% 66 CO 2021 11% 45% 56% 32% 13%	2007 7% 42% 49% 49% 5% 18% 5%  2014 11% 45% 0% 12% 0%  2022 7% 35% 42% 38% 20%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3%  2015 14% 52% 66% 24% 8% 2%  2023 3% 33% 36% 33% 36% 31%	6% 35% 41% 31% 6% 23% 6% 14% 23% 14% 2% 2024 5% 227% 32% 36% 32%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5% 2017 19% 53% 72% 20% 7%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%  2019 13% 55% 68% 21% 9%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 52% 5%  2021 9% 45% 54% 28% 17%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2% 2022 7% 36% 43% 42% 13%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2%  2023 9% 38% 47% 42% 9%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4% 2024 6% 43% 49% 33% 18%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100%  Average 13% 47% 60% 27% 11% 2% 100%  Average 8% 38% 46% 32% 21%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%   Maximum 20% 55% 73% 42% 18% 3%   Maximum 14% 45% 56% 38% 32%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2%  Minimum 6% 36% 43% 17% 0%  Minimum 3% 27% 32% 27% 13%
Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair  Foor Don't know  Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	2000   8%   43%   51%   29%   17%   3%   2007   15%   48%   63%   27%   1%   1%   1%   16   16   16   16   16   16   16   16	2001 6% 38% 44% 30% 22% 3% iiled R 2008 16% 48% 24% 64% 22% iiled R 24% 24% 24% 24% 22%	2002 7% 40% 47% 30% 19% 4%  2009 12% 63% 63% 11% 63% 24% 41% 50% 41% 50% 31%	2003 7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4% 5 for L 2010 20% 46% 66% 26% 7% 11% 5 for S 2018 14% 50% 29%	2004 7% 38% 45% 30% 18% 8% 2011 16% 46% 62% 25% 12% 209 	2012 18% 55% 6% Count 2012 18% 55% 0% 0%	2006 7% 41% 48% 29% 4% 2013 14% 44% 58% 11% 3% 66 CO 2021 11% 56% 32%	2007 7% 42% 49% 49% 5% 18% 5%  2014 11% 45% 65% 22% 60% 12% 0% 2022 7% 35% 42% 38%	7% 42% 49% 28% 20% 3%  2015 14% 52% 66% 24% 8% 2%  2023 3% 33% 36% 32%	6% 35% 41% 31% 6% 2016 14% 47% 61% 23% 144% 2% 2024 5% 27% 32% 36%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 5% 2017 19% 53% 72% 20% 7%	6% 37% 43% 30% 22% 4%	7% 39% 46% 31% 21% 3%  2019 13% 55% 68% 21% 9%	7% 40% 47% 29% 20% 4%	6% 38% 44% 29% 52% 5%  2021 9% 45% 54% 28% 17%	6% 43% 49% 34% 15% 2% 2022 7% 36% 43% 42% 13%	11% 43% 54% 32% 13% 2%  2023 9% 38% 47% 42% 9%	13% 43% 56% 26% 14% 4% 2024 6% 43% 49% 33% 18%	17% 42% 59% 25% 11%	  	  	17% 50% 67% 25%	7% 37% 44% 33% 19%	13% 31% ### 31% 22%	11% 30% 41% 38% 19%	9% 40% 48% 30% 18% 4% 100%  Average 13% 47% 60% 27% 11% 2% 100%  Average 8% 38% 46% 32%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	17% 50% 67% 38% 23% 8%  **Maximum 20% 55% 73% 42% 18% 3%  **Maximum 14% 45% 56% 38%	6% 30% 41% 25% 5% 2% Minimum 6% 36% 43% 17% 7% 0% Minimum 3% 27% 32% 27%

100%

### Table 13 - Healthcare Quality

	2000	2001		2003					2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Average		Maximum	Minimu
cellent	8%	7%	8%	8%	7%	7%	9%	8%	9%	6%	7%	7%	7%	8%	7%	8%	9%	14%	13%	11%	15%	-	8%	11%	10%	9%	Excellent	15%	6%
ood	41%	38%	43%	41%	43%	43%	42%	42%	42%	38%	40%	40%	41%	41%	39%	39%	43%	42%	46%	41%	43%		35%	31%	34%	40%	Good	46%	319
x+Good	49%	45%	51%	49%	50%	50%	51%	50%	51%	44%	47%	47%	48%	49%	46%	47%	52%	56%	59%	52%	58%		43%	###	44%	49%	Ex+Good	59%	429
air	29%	32%	32%	30%	31%	31%	30%	30%	30%	32%	31%	32%	32%	31%	31%	33%	27%	32%	27%	31%	33%		35%	34%	31%	31%	Fair	35%	279
oor	18%	21%	15%	17%	13%	13%	17%	16%	17%	21%	18%	19%	17%	18%	19%	19%	16%	10%	10%	13%	8%		18%	21%	23%	17%	Poor	23%	8%
on't Know	3%	3%	3%	3%	6%	6%	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%	4%	1%	4%	3%	4%	5%	1%		4%	4%	2%	3%	Don't know	6%	1%
rend Analysi	s – Dota	ilad P	) oculto	forle	wie	Cour																				100%			
CHA PHAINTY SI	2007			2010				2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024								Average		Maximum	Minin
ccellent	24%	23%	19%	20%	19%	17%	20%	13%	18%	16%	17%	11%	-	13%	11%	10%	10%	6%								16%	Excellent	24%	69
ood	50%	52%	52%	51%	45%	62%	48%	57%	51%	47%	54%	50%	-	53%	43%	41%	42%	42%								49%	Good	62%	41
+Good	74%	75%	71%	71%	64%	79%	68%	70%	69%	63%	71%	61%	-	66%	54%	51%	52%	48%								65%	Ex+Good	79%	48
ir	20%	15%	19%	22%	23%	15%	22%	20%	23%	29%	22%	27%	-	22%	25%	29%	34%	36%								24%	Fair	36%	15
oor	4%	7%	8%	7%	11%	6%	8%	10%	6%	8%	7%	11%	-	11%	19%	15%	12%	15%								10%	Poor	19%	49
on't know	2%	4%	2%	1%	3%	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	_	2%	2%	5%	2%	1%								2%	Don't know	5%	09
rend Analysi	s – Deta	iled R	eculto	for St	Lau	vrenc	e Co	untv :																		100%			
ena Anarysi	2015			2018						2024																Average		Maximum	Minin
cellent	14%	11%	8%	13%	8%	4%	-	4%	3%	5%																8%	Excellent	14%	3
od	45%	46%	41%	37%	46%	40%	-	38%	31%	31%																<i>39%</i>	Good	46%	31
+Good	59%	57%	49%	50%	54%	44%	-	42%	34%	36%																47%	Ex+Good	59%	34
ir	29%	31%	35%	30%	30%	36%	-	36%	41%	34%																34%	Fair	41%	29
or	11%	12%	15%	19%	13%	19%	-	22%	24%	30%																18%	Poor	30%	1:
on't know	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%		0%	2%	1%																1%	Don't know	2%	0
able 14	– Ac	cess	s to	High	ner	Ed	luca	atio	n																	100%			
			esults	for Je	ffers	son C	ount	<u>y:</u>																					
able 14	s – Deta 2000	iled R	esults	2003	ffers 2004	son C	2006	2007	2008					2013		2015	2016	2017		2019	_			2023		Average		Maximum	
end Analysi	s – Deta 2000 19%	iled R 2001 17%	2002 17%	2003	effers 2004 16%	2005 15%	2006 16%	y <u>:</u> 2007 17%	2008	16%	17%	15%	16%	16%	18%	17%	19%	23%	24%	21%	-	23%	16%	16%	17%	Average 18%	Excellent	24%	15
rend Analysi cellent od	2000 19% 49%	2001 17% 46%	2002 17% 47%	2003 17% 46%	effers 2004 16% 47%	2005 15% 46%	2006 16% 44%	2007 17% 46%	2008 18% 47%	16% 45%	17% 46%	15% 44%	16% 45%	16% 44%	18% 47%	17% 41%	19% 48%	23% 48%	24% 51%	21% 46%	_	23% 46%	16% 44%	16% 41%	17% 47%	Average 18% 46%	Good	24% 51%	15 41
end Analysisellent od +Good	2000 19% 49% 68%	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63%	2002 17% 47% 64%	2003 17% 46% 463% (	2004 16% 47%	2005 15% 46% 61%	2006 16% 44% 60%	2007 17% 46% 63%	2008 18% 47% 65%	16% 45% 61%	17% 46% 63%	15% 44% 59%	16% 45% 61%	16% 44% 60%	18% 47% 65%	17% 41% 58%	19% 48% 67%	23% 48% 71%	24% 51% 75%	21% 46% 67%		23% 46% 69%	16% 44% 60%	16% 41% ###	17% 47% 64%	Average 18% 46% 64%	Good Ex+Good	24% 51% 75%	15 41 57
rend Analysis cellent od r+Good	2000 19% 49% 68% 22%	2001 17% 46% 63% 24%	2002 17% 47% 64% 23%	2003 17% 46% 4 63% 6 23% 2	2004 16% 47% 53% 25%	2005 15% 46% 61% 26%	2006 16% 44% 60% 24%	2007 17% 46% 63% 23%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22%	16% 45% 61% 25%	17% 46% 63% 23%	15% 44% 59% 25%	16% 45% 61% 24%	16% 44% 60% 24%	18% 47% 65% 22%	17% 41% 58% 29%	19% 48% 67% 27%	23% 48% 71% 21%	24% 51% 75% 16%	21% 46% 67% 25%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21%	16% 44% 60% 24%	16% 41% ### 27%	17% 47% 64% 25%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24%	Good Ex+Good Fair	24% 51% 75% 29%	15 41 57 16
cellent od c+Good ir	2000 19% 49% 68% 22% 7%	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 24% 11%	2002 17% 47% 64% 23% 9%	2003 17% 46% 4 63% 6 23% 2	2004 16% 47% 63% 25% 9%	2005 15% 46% 61% 26% 10%	2006 16% 44% 60% 24% 12%	2007 17% 46% 63% 23% 10%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 9%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	24% 51% 75% 29% 13%	Minin 15 41 57 16 4
cellent od c+Good ir	2000 19% 49% 68% 22%	2001 17% 46% 63% 24%	2002 17% 47% 64% 23%	2003 17% 46% 4 63% 6 23% 2	2004 16% 47% 53% 25%	2005 15% 46% 61% 26%	2006 16% 44% 60% 24%	2007 17% 46% 63% 23%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22%	16% 45% 61% 25%	17% 46% 63% 23%	15% 44% 59% 25%	16% 45% 61% 24%	16% 44% 60% 24%	18% 47% 65% 22%	17% 41% 58% 29%	19% 48% 67% 27%	23% 48% 71% 21%	24% 51% 75% 16%	21% 46% 67% 25%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21%	16% 44% 60% 24%	16% 41% ### 27%	17% 47% 64% 25%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24%	Good Ex+Good Fair	24% 51% 75% 29%	15 41 57 16
cend Analysic cellent od +Good or or	s – Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 22% 7% 3%	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 24% 11% 3%	2002 17% 47% 64% 23% 9% 4%	2003 17% 46% 4 63% 6 23% 2 10% 3%	2004 16% 47% 53% 25% 9% 4%	2005 15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 4%	2006 16% 44% 60% 24% 12% 4%	2007 17% 46% 63% 23% 10% 4%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9% 4%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 4% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7%	19 41 57 16 4 3
end Analysicellent od +Good or or or end Analysicelle	s – Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 22% 7% 3%	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 24% 11% 3%	2002 17% 47% 64% 23% 9% 4% 2009	2003 17% : 46% : 63% : 23% : 10% : 3% : 5 for Le	2004 116% 147% 533% 225% 9% 44%	2005 15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 4%	2006 16% 44% 60% 24% 12% 4%	2007 17% 46% 63% 23% 10% 4%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9% 4%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 4% 100% Average	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7%	19 42 55 10 4 3
rend Analysic cellent od +Good or or or end Analysic cellent	s – Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 22% 7% 3%	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 24% 11% 3%	2002 17% 47% 64% 23% 9% 4% 2009 8%	2003 17% 46% 463% 623% 10% 3% 2010 29%	2004 116% 147% 533% 225% 99% 44%	2005 15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 4% Court 2012	2006 16% 44% 60% 24% 12% 4%	2007 17% 46% 63% 23% 10% 4%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4% 2018	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9% 4%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3% 2024 10%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 4% 100% Average 9%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7% <i>Maximum</i> 13%	15 41 57 16 4 3 <i>Minim</i>
rend Analysic	s – Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 22% 7% 3%	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 24% 11% 3% illed R 2008	2002 17% 47% 64% 23% 9% 4% 2009	2003 17% 46% 46% 46% 46% 46% 46% 46% 46% 46% 46	2004 116% 147% 533% 225% 99% 44%	2005 15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 4%	2006 16% 44% 60% 24% 12% 4%	2007 17% 46% 63% 23% 10% 4%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9% 4%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 4% 100% Average 9% 36%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7% <i>Maximum</i> 13% 46%	15 41 57 16 4 3 <i>Minin</i> 6
end Analysic	s – Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 22% 7% 3%	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 24% 11% 3% illed R 2008	2002 17% 47% 64% 23% 9% 4% 2009 8% 30%	2003 17% 46% 46% 463% (23% 23% 210% 33% 32 2010 29% 33% 342%	2004 16% 147% 53% 225% 9% 4% 2011 6% 31% 37%	2005 15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 4% Court 2012 13% 46%	2006 16% 44% 60% 24% 12% 4% 2013 8% 33% 41%	2007 17% 46% 63% 23% 10% 4% 2014 8% 29% 37%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2015 7% 38% 45%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3% 2016 9% 40%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4% 2017 11% 36%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4% 2018 6% 40%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4% 2019 10% 46%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2021 7% 35%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9% 4% 2022 11% 42%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3% 2023 12% 42%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3% 2024 10% 36%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 4% 100% Average 9% 46% 45%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7% <i>Maximum</i> 13% 46% 56%	1! 4: 5: 10 4 3 <b>Minir</b> 6 29
cellent od r or o	s – Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 22% 7% 3%	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 24% 11% 3% illed R 2008	2002 17% 47% 64% 23% 9% 4% 2009 8% 30% 38%	2003 17% 46% 463% (23% 23% 210% 3% 2010 2 9% 33% 342% 28% 28% 2	116% 147% 153% 225% 9% 44% 16% 31% 6% 31%	2005 15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 4% Court 2012 13% 46%	2006 16% 44% 60% 24% 4% 2013 8% 33% 41% 28%	2007 17% 46% 63% 23% 10% 4% 2014 8% 29% 37% 28%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2015 7% 38% 45%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3% 2016 9% 40%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4% 2017 11% 36% 47%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4% 2018 6% 40% 46% 26%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4% 2019 10% 46% 56%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2021 7% 35% 42%	17% 41% 58% 29% 4% 2022 11% 42% 53%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3% 2023 12% 42% 54%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3% 2024 10% 36% 46%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 4% 100% Average 9% 36%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7% <i>Maximum</i> 13% 46%	1! 4: 5: 10 4 3 <b>Minir</b> 6 29 3:
end Analysic sellent od +Good or or end Analysic cellent ood +Good ir or	s – Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 22% 7% 3%	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 24% 11% 3% illed R 2008	2002 17% 47% 64% 23% 9% 4% 2009 8% 30% 38% 27%	2003 17% 46% 463% (23% 23% 210% 33% 242% 28% 227% 3	116% 147% 153% 225% 9% 44% 16% 31% 6% 31%	2005 15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 4% Court 2012 13% 46% 28% 25%	2006 16% 44% 60% 24% 12% 4% 2013 8% 33% 41% 28% 28%	2007 17% 46% 63% 23% 10% 4% 2014 8% 29% 37% 28% 32%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2015 7% 38% 45% 28% 25%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3%  2016 9% 40% 49% 28% 21%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4%  2017 11% 36% 47% 27% 22%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4% 2018 6% 40% 46% 26% 24%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4% 2019 10% 46% 56% 23%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2021 7% 35% 42% 36%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9% 4%  2022 11% 42% 53% 28% 15%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3% 2023 12% 42% 54% 28%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3% 2024 10% 36% 46% 33% 17%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 100% Average 9% 36% 28%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7%  Maximum 13% 46% 56% 36%	1: 44 55 10 44 3  Minin 6 2: 3 2
end Analysic ellent d r 't Know end Analysic cellent od Good r or	s - Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 22% 3% s - Deta 2007	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 24% 11% 3% iled R 2008	2002 17% 47% 64% 9% 4% 23% 9% 4% 2009 8% 30% 38% 27% 31%	2003 17% 46% 463% (23% 23% 210% 33% 242% 28% 227% 3	2004 116% 147% 53% 225% 9% 44% 2011 6% 31% 37% 21%	2005 15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 4% Court 2012 13% 33% 46% 28%	2006 16% 44% 60% 24% 4% 2013 8% 33% 41% 28%	2007 17% 46% 63% 23% 10% 4% 2014 8% 29% 37% 28%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2015 7% 38% 45% 28%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3% 2016 9% 40% 49% 28%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4%  2017 11% 36% 47% 27%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4% 2018 6% 40% 46% 26%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4% 2019 10% 46% 56% 23% 18%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2021 7% 35% 42% 36% 19%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9% 4% 2022 11% 42% 53% 28%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3% 2023 12% 42% 54% 28% 16%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3% 2024 10% 36% 46% 33%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 40% Average 9% 36% 45% 28% 24%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7%   Maximum 13% 46% 56% 36% 37%	1 4 5 1 4 3 <b>Mini</b> 6 2 3 2 1
end Analysical ellent od ellent or e	s - Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 22% 7% 3% s - Deta 2007	iled R 2001 179% 469% 633% 249% 111% 33% iled R 2008	2002 17% 47% 64% 9% 44% 23% 9% 44% 2009 8% 30% 38% 27% 31% 5%	2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010	2004 116% 147% 533% 225% 9% 44% 2011 66% 331% 337% 221% 55%	2005 15% 46% 46% 26% 4% 2012 13% 33% 46% 28% 2%	2006 16% 44% 60% 12% 4% 2013 8% 33% 41% 28% 38%	2007 177% 467% 637% 237% 47% 297% 377% 287% 327% 327% 327%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 4% 2015 7% 38% 45% 28% 2%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3% 2016 9% 40% 49% 21% 2%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4%  2017 11% 36% 47% 27% 22%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4% 2018 6% 40% 46% 26% 24%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4% 2019 10% 46% 56% 23% 18%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2021 7% 35% 42% 36% 19%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9% 4%  2022 11% 42% 53% 28% 15%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3% 2023 12% 42% 54% 28% 16%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3% 2024 10% 36% 46% 33% 17%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 400% Average 9% 36% 45% 28% 24% 3% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7%   Maximum 13% 46% 56% 36% 37% 5%	1: 4: 5: 1: 4: 3: <b>Minin</b> 6: 2: 3: 2: 1: 2:
rend Analysis cellent or or or rend Analysis cellent ood +Good ir oor on't know	s - Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 7% 3% s - Deta 2007 	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 24% 11% 3% iled R 2008	2002 17% 47% 64% 23% 9% 44% 2009 8% 30% 38% 27% 31% 5%	2010 2 2010 2	2004 116% 447% 953% 225% 99% 44% 2011 66% 331% 221% 337% 55%	2005 15% 46% 46% 26% 10% 4% 2012 13% 33% 46% 28% 2%	2006 16% 44% 60% 12% 4% 2013 8% 33% 41% 28% 33%	2007 17% 46% 63% 23% 10% 4% 29% 37% 28% 32% 33%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2015 7% 38% 45% 28% 25% 2%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3% 2016 9% 40% 22% 2024	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4%  2017 11% 36% 47% 27% 22%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4% 2018 6% 40% 46% 26% 24%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4% 2019 10% 46% 56% 23% 18%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2021 7% 35% 42% 36% 19%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9% 4%  2022 11% 42% 53% 28% 15%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3% 2023 12% 42% 54% 28% 16%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3% 2024 10% 36% 46% 33% 17%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 4% 100%  Average 9% 36% 45% 28% 3% 100%  Average	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7%  Maximum 13% 46% 56% 36% 37% 5%	19 42 57 16 4 3 <b>Minin</b> 6 29 37 22 19 2
end Analysis ellent  d +Good - r r it Know end Analysis cellent od +Good r or n't know end Analysis	s - Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 22% 3% s - Deta 2007	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 24% 11% 3% iiled R 2008 iiled R 2016 37%	2002 17% 47% 64% 9% 4% 23% 9% 4% 2002 8% 30% 31% 5% 2002 31% 5%	2003 17% 46% 463% 123% 23% 23% 23% 242% 28% 227% 33% 26 for SE 2018 229% 329% 329% 33%	2004 116% 447% 953% 225% 99% 44% 2011 66% 331% 211% 55% 211% 211% 211% 211% 211% 211	2005 15% 46% 46% 26% 4% 2012 13% 33% 46% 28% 2%	2006 16% 44% 60% 224% 4% 2013 8% 33% 41% 28% 33%	2014 8% 2017 17% 46% 63% 23% 10% 4% 2014 8% 29% 37% 28% 32% 32% 32% 32% 28%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2015 7% 38% 45% 28% 2% 2023 25%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3% 2016 9% 22% 2024 26%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4%  2017 11% 36% 47% 27% 22%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4% 2018 6% 40% 46% 26% 24%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4% 2019 10% 46% 56% 23% 18%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2021 7% 35% 42% 36% 19%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9% 4%  2022 11% 42% 53% 28% 15%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3% 2023 12% 42% 54% 28% 16%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3% 2024 10% 36% 46% 33% 17%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 100% Average 9% 36% 28% 24% 3% 100% Average 30%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7%  Maximum 13% 46% 56% 36% 37% 5%  Maximum 37%	1114 444 555 114 44 3 3 3 4 Minining 25 4 Minining 25 4 4 4 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
end Analysis cellent od +Good or or or ottknow  rend Analysis cellent ood ir or or it know  rend Analysis cellent ood cellent ood cellent ood cellent	s - Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 22% 3% s - Deta 2007	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 24% 11% 3% iiled R 2008 iiled R 2016 37% 35%	2002 17% 47% 64% 9% 4% 23% 9% 4% 2002 8% 30% 31% 5% 2017 32% 39%	2003 17% 46% 463% 123% 110% 33% 120% 130% 130% 130% 120% 120% 120% 120% 120% 120% 120% 12	16% 16% 17% 53% 225% 9% 4% 2011 6% 337% 21% 537% 21% 337% 21% 337%	2005 15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 4% 2012 13% 33% 46% 25% 2%	2006 16% 44% 60% 24% 12% 4% 28% 33% 41% 28% 3% 6e Co 2021 32% 39%	2014 8% 2017 17% 46% 63% 23% 10% 4% 2014 8% 29% 37% 28% 32% 32% 32% 34% 47%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2015 7% 38% 45% 28% 22% 22% 223 25% 45%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3% 2016 9% 40% 49% 22% 2024 26% 44%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4%  2017 11% 36% 47% 27% 22%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4% 2018 6% 40% 46% 26% 24%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4% 2019 10% 46% 56% 23% 18%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2021 7% 35% 42% 36% 19%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9% 4%  2022 11% 42% 53% 28% 15%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3% 2023 12% 42% 54% 28% 16%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3% 2024 10% 36% 46% 33% 17%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 46 100% Average 9% 36% 45% 24% 3% 100% Average 30% 43%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know  Execulent Good Fair Poor Don't know	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7%  Maximum 13% 46% 56% 36% 37% 5%  Maximum 37% 47%	15 41 577 16 4 4 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
end Analysic ellent od +Good er end Analysic cellent od +Good ir or or n't know end Analysic cellent od end Analysic collent od +Good	s - Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 22% 7% 3% s - Deta 2007 5 - Deta 2015 32% 45% 77%	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 24% 11% 3% iled R 2008	2002 17% 47% 64% 9% 44% 23% 9% 4% 2009 8% 30% 38% 27% 31% 5% 2017 32% 39% 71%	for Je  2003  17%  46%  46%  40%  40%  2010  201	16% 16% 17% 53% 225% 9% 4% 2011 6% 337% 21% 537% 5. Lav 2019 333% 447%	2005 15% 46% 46% 26% 40% 2012 13% 33% 46% 22% wrenc 2020	2006 16% 44% 60% 12% 44% 2013 8% 33% 41% 28% 28% 28% 33% 28% 39% 71%	2014 2014	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2015 7% 38% 45% 28% 25% 2% 45% 2%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3% 2016 9% 40% 49% 22% 2024 26% 44% 70%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4%  2017 11% 36% 47% 27% 22%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4% 2018 6% 40% 46% 26% 24%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4% 2019 10% 46% 56% 23% 18%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2021 7% 35% 42% 36% 19%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9% 4%  2022 11% 42% 53% 28% 15%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3% 2023 12% 42% 54% 28% 16%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3% 2024 10% 36% 46% 33% 17%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 4% 100% Average 36% 45% 24% 3% 100% Average 30% 43% 73%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7%   Maximum 13% 46% 56% 36% 37% 5%   Maximum 37% 47% 80%	15 41 57 16 4 4 3 3 6 6 2 5 3 5 2 1 1 5 2 2 6 6 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
end Analysical ellent od e	s - Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 7% 3% s - Deta 2007 5 - Deta 2015 32% 45% 77% 16%	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 63% 11% 3% iled R 2008 illed R 2016 37% 35% 72% 19%	2002 17% 47% 64% 23% 9% 4% 4% 2009 8% 30% 30% 31% 5% 2017 32% 32% 31% 5%	for Je  2003  177%  46%  46%  46%  46%  37%  423%  2010  218  228%  228%  228%  228%  228%  42%  42	######################################	2005 15% 46% 46% 61% 26% 40% 2012 13% 33% 46% 22% 22%	2006 16% 44% 60% 24% 41% 2013 8% 33% 41% 28% 28% 3% 3% 60 CC CO 2021 32% 39% 71%	2014 8% 29% 37% 32% 29% 37% 32% 28% 37% 228% 37% 32% 47% 47% 47%	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 4%  2015 7% 38% 45% 228% 25% 45% 70% 21%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3% 2016 9% 40% 49% 221% 22% 2024 44% 70% 19%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4%  2017 11% 36% 47% 27% 22%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4% 2018 6% 40% 46% 26% 24%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4% 2019 10% 46% 56% 23% 18%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2021 7% 35% 42% 36% 19%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9% 4%  2022 11% 42% 53% 28% 15%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3% 2023 12% 42% 54% 28% 16%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3% 2024 10% 36% 46% 33% 17%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 400% Average 9% 36% 45% 28% 20% 3% 100% Average 30% 43% 73% 18%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7%   Maximum 13% 46% 56% 36% 37% 5%   Maximum 37% 47% 80% 21%	156 437 166 4 4 3 3 3 4 4 4 3 4 5 6 6 6 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7
	s - Deta 2000 19% 49% 68% 22% 7% 3% s - Deta 2007 5 - Deta 2015 32% 45% 77%	iled R 2001 17% 46% 63% 24% 11% 3% iled R 2008	2002 17% 47% 64% 9% 44% 23% 9% 4% 2009 8% 30% 38% 27% 31% 5% 2017 32% 39% 71%	for Je  2003  177%  46%  46%  46%  37%  10%  33%  42%  22%  23%  23%  28%  22%  27%  3%  for St  2098  29%  17%  46%  17%  6%	16% 16% 17% 53% 225% 9% 4% 2011 6% 337% 21% 537% 5. Lav 2019 333% 447%	2005 15% 46% 46% 26% 40% 2012 13% 33% 46% 22% wrenc 2020	2006 16% 44% 60% 12% 44% 2013 8% 33% 41% 28% 28% 28% 33% 28% 39% 71%	2014 2014	2008 18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2015 7% 38% 45% 28% 25% 2% 45% 2%	16% 45% 61% 25% 11% 3% 2016 9% 40% 49% 22% 2024 26% 44% 70%	17% 46% 63% 23% 11% 4%  2017 11% 36% 47% 27% 22%	15% 44% 59% 25% 13% 4% 2018 6% 40% 46% 26% 24%	16% 45% 61% 24% 10% 4% 2019 10% 46% 56% 23% 18%	16% 44% 60% 24% 11% 5%	18% 47% 65% 22% 9% 4% 2021 7% 35% 42% 36% 19%	17% 41% 58% 29% 9% 4%  2022 11% 42% 53% 28% 15%	19% 48% 67% 27% 4% 3% 2023 12% 42% 54% 28% 16%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 3% 2024 10% 36% 46% 33% 17%	24% 51% 75% 16% 6%	21% 46% 67% 25% 5%	- - -	23% 46% 69% 21% 6%	16% 44% 60% 24% 10%	16% 41% ### 27% 9%	17% 47% 64% 25% 7%	Average 18% 46% 64% 24% 9% 4% 100% Average 36% 45% 24% 3% 100% Average 30% 43% 73%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	24% 51% 75% 29% 13% 7%   Maximum 13% 46% 56% 36% 37% 5%   Maximum 37% 47% 80%	15 41 57 16 4 3

100%

## Table 15 - Public Outdoor Recreational Opportunities

Trend Analysi	s – Deta	iled R	esults	for J	leffer	son C	ount	<u>v:</u>																					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Average		Maximum	Minimum
Excellent	17%	15%	15%	15%				16%		14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	16%	16%	24%	27%	27%					20%		18%	Excellent	27%	13%
Good	48%	46%	46%	48%		48%	46%			47%	42%	46%	48%	46%	48%	53%	40%	41%	41%			40%		41%	_	45%	Good	53%	37%
Ex+Good Fair	65% 22%	61%	61% 24%	63% 23%		62% 24%				61% 25%	56% 24%		62% 24%	60% 25%	64% 22%	69% 21%	64% 22%	68% 23%	68%		-	59% 27%	60%	26%	66%	63% 23%	Ex+Good Fair	69% 27%	56% 21%
Poor	12%	14%	14%	12%		11%	13%		12%	13%	16%	14%	12%	14%	11%	9%	12%	8%	7%		<del></del>	9%	16%	_	9%	12%	Poor	16%	7%
Don't Know	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	:-		5%	3%	1%	1%	2%	Don't know	5%	1%
201111111011	170	270	270	1 70	270	270	270	270	2 /0	1 /0	070	270	1 70	1 70	070	1 /0	270	170	1 2 /0			0 70	070	1 /0	1 /0	100%	2011 ( 11.1011	370	170
Trend Analysi	s – Deta	iled R	esults	for L	.ewis	Cour	nty:												_							200/0			
	2007								2015			2018		2020	2021		2023	2024								Average		Maximum	Minimum
Excellent	46%	42%	41%		28%	30%	36%			36%	38%	-	32%	-	30%	31%	32%	29%	ı							35%	Excellent	46%	28%
Good	32%	38%	37%	36%	47%	53%	38%	40%	36%	36%	36%		39%	-	37%	38%	46%	38%	ı							39%	Good	53%	32%
Ex+Good	78%	80%	78%	70%	75%	83%	74%	76%	71%	72%	74%	-	71%	-	67%	69%	78%	67%	ı							74%	Ex+Good	83%	67%
Fair Poor	17% 5%	12% 6%	14%	22%	16%	9% 7%	16%	11%	18%	20%	17%	-	19%	-	20%	20% 10%	15% 8%	24% 8%	ı							17%	Fair Poor	24%	9%
Don't know	0%	3%	8% 1%	7% 2%	7% 2%	1%	9% 2%	12%	9% 1%	6% 2%	7% 1%		9% 1%	-	11% 2%	1%	0%	1%	ı							8% 1%	Don't know	12% 3%	5% 0%
JOH E KHOW	0 /8	3/0	1 /0	2 /0	2 /0	1 /0	2 /0	1 /0	1 /0	2 /0	1 /0	_	1 /0		2 /0	1 /0	0 /6	1 /0								100%	Don't know	370	070
Trend Analysi	s – Deta	iled R	esults	for S	St. La	wrenc	e Co	unty:																		100%			
	2015							2022	2023	2024																Average		Maximum	Minimum
Excellent	32%	23%	21%	30%	-	-	19%	27%	18%	19%																24%	Excellent	32%	18%
Good	34%	37%	46%	36%	-	-	39%	32%	37%	36%																37%	Good	46%	32%
Ex+Good	66%	60%	67%	66%	-	-	58%	59%	55%	54%																61%	Ex+Good	67%	54%
air	22%	27%	21%	20%	-	-	25%	27%	29%	33%																26%	Fair	33%	20%
Poor	11%	11%	11%	14%	-	-	16%	14%	_	11%																13%	Poor	16%	11%
Don't know	1%	2%	1%	1%	_	_	1%	1%	3%	1%																1% 100%	Don't know	3%	1%
I UDIC 10	– Qu	ality	of	the	En	viro	nm	ent																					
		•																											
		•	esults		leffer	son C	ount			2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Average		Maximum	Minimum
Trend Analysis	s – Deta 2000 10%	iled R 2001 9%	esults 2002 9%	2003 9%	2004 10%	son C 2005 9%	2006 9%	<u>2007</u>	2008	9%	9%	8%	9%	9%	9%	11%	14%	18%	19%	16%	24%	-	21%	17%	21%	12%	Excellent	24%	<b>Minimum</b> 8%
rend Analysis	s – Deta 2000 10% 43%	iled R 2001 9% 43%	esults 2002 9% 44%	2003 9% 41%	2004 10% 46%	2005 9% 44%	2006 9% 41%	2007 9% 41%	2008 9% 40%	9% 40%	9% 42%	8% 40%	9% 44%	9% 43%	9% 42%	11% 41%	14% 59%	18% 50%	19% 48%	16% 49%	24% 47%	-	21% 44%	17% 48%	21% 51%	12% 45%	Good	24% 59%	8% 40%
rend Analysis  xcellent  ood  ix+Good	2000 10% 43% 53%	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52%	9% 44% 53%	9% 41% 50%	2004 10% 46% 56%	9% 44% 53%	2006 9% 41% 50%	9% 41% 50%	2008 9% 40% 49%	9% 40% 49%	9% 42% 51%	8% 40% 48%	9% 44% 53%	9% 43% 52%	9% 42% 51%	11% 41% 52%	14% 59% 73%	18% 50% 68%	19% 48% 67%	16% 49% 65%	24% 47% 71%	- - -	21% 44% 65%	17% 48% ###	21% 51% 72%	12% 45% 57%	Good Ex+Good	24% 59% 73%	8% 40% 48%
rend Analysic xcellent ood ix+Good	2000 10% 43% 53% 33%	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34%	9% 44% 53% 34%	9% 41% 50% 33%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33%	2007 9% 41% 50% 33%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33%	9% 40% 49% 34%	9% 42% 51% 32%	8% 40% 48% 34%	9% 44% 53% 34%	9% 43% 52% 33%	9% 42% 51% 33%	11% 41% 52% 38%	14% 59% 73% 21%	18% 50% 68% 25%	19% 48% 67% 25%	16% 49% 65% 26%	24% 47% 71% 25%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26%	17% 48% ### 26%	21% 51% 72% 21%	12% 45% 57% 31%	Good Ex+Good Fair	24% 59% 73% 38%	8% 40% 48% 21%
rend Analysic xcellent cood ix+Good air coor	2000 10% 43% 53% 33% 13%	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34% 13%	esults 2002 9% 44% 53% 34% 11%	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14%	2007 9% 41% 50% 33% 15%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	24% 59% 73% 38% 16%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3%
rend Analysic xcellent ood ix+Good air oor	2000 10% 43% 53% 33%	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34%	9% 44% 53% 34%	9% 41% 50% 33%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33%	2007 9% 41% 50% 33%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33%	9% 40% 49% 34%	9% 42% 51% 32%	8% 40% 48% 34%	9% 44% 53% 34%	9% 43% 52% 33%	9% 42% 51% 33%	11% 41% 52% 38%	14% 59% 73% 21%	18% 50% 68% 25%	19% 48% 67% 25%	16% 49% 65% 26%	24% 47% 71% 25%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26%	17% 48% ### 26%	21% 51% 72% 21%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2%	Good Ex+Good Fair	24% 59% 73% 38%	8% 40% 48% 21%
Excellent Good Ex+Good air oor	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 53% 33% 13% 1%	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34% 13% 1%	9% 44% 53% 34% 11%	9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 3%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 3%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2%	2007 9% 41% 50% 33% 15%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	24% 59% 73% 38% 16%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 53% 33% 13% 1%	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34% 13% 1%	9% 44% 53% 34% 11%	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 3%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 3%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2%	2007 9% 41% 50% 33% 15%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 2%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	24% 59% 73% 38% 16%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0%
Trend Analysi  Excellent  Sood  Ex+Good  Fair  Poor  Don't Know  Trend Analysi	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 53% 33% 13% 11% s - Deta	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34% 13% 1%	2002 9% 44% 53% 34% 11% 1%	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2% for L	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 3%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 3%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2%	2007 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 3%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 2%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16% 2%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16% 2%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14% 2%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9% 0%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7% 1%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	24% 59% 73% 38% 16% 3%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysia  Excellent Good	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 53% 33% 13% 1% s - Deta 2007	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34% 13% 1% iled R 2008 39% 50%	esults 2002 9% 44% 53% 34% 11% 1% 2009 35% 55%	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2% 6 for L 2010 34% 55%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 3% ewis 2011 30% 56%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 3% Court 2012 37% 55%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2% 2% 2013 35% 49%	2007 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 3%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 2% 2015 36% 53%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16% 2% 2016 32% 52%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3% 2017 33% 52%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16% 2% 2018 27% 61%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2% 2020 40% 46%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14% 2% 2021 28% 51%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9% 0% 2022 25% 53%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0% 2023 31% 54%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7% 1% 2024 30% 51%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2% 100% Average 33% 52%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	24% 59% 73% 38% 16% 3% <i>Maximum</i> 40% 61%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0% <i>Minimum</i> 25% 46%
Trend Analysis  Excellent  Good  Ex+Good  Fair  Poor  Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent  Good	s – Deta 2000 10% 43% 53% 13% 1% s – Deta 2007 37%	iled R. 2001 9% 43% 52% 34% 13% 1% illed R. 2008	9% 44% 53% 34% 11% 1% esults	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2% 2010 34%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 3% ewis 2011 30%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 3% Court 2012 37% 55% 92%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2% 2013 35%	2007 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 3%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 2%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16% 2% 2016 32% 52% 84%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16% 2% 2018	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14% 2%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9% 0% 2022 25% 53% 78%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0% 2023 31% 54% 85%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7% 1% 2024 30% 51% 80%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2% 100% Average 33%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	24% 59% 73% 38% 16% 3% <i>Maximum</i> 40%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0% <i>Minimum</i> 25%
Excellent Good Correct Know  Frend Analysia  Excellent Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 53% 33% 13% 1% s - Deta 2007 37% 46% 83% 15%	illed R.  2001  9%  43%  52%  34%  13%  1%  illed R.  2008  39%  50%  89%  7%	2002 9% 44% 53% 34% 11% 11% 2009 35% 55% 90% 9%	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2% 6 for L 2010 34% 89% 8%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 3% 2011 30% 56% 86% 12%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 3% Courr 2012 37% 55% 92% 8%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2% 2013 35% 49% 84% 14%	2007 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 37% 48% 85% 9%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 2% 2015 36% 53% 89% 9%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16% 2% 2016 32% 52% 84% 13%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3%  2017 33% 52% 85% 13%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16% 2% 2018 27% 61% 88% 10%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2%  2020 40% 46% 86% 13%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14% 2%  2021 28% 51% 79% 18%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9% 0% 2022 25% 53% 78% 19%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0% 2023 31% 54% 85% 14%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7% 1% 2024 30% 51% 80% 16%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2% 100% Average 33% 52% 85% 12%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	24% 59% 73% 38% 16% 3% Maximum 40% 61% 92% 19%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0% <i>Minimum</i> 25% 46% 78%
Excellent Good Good Firend Analysi Coor Coor Coor Coor Coor Coor Coor Coo	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 53% 33% 13% 1% s - Deta 2007 37% 46% 83% 15% 3%	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34% 13% 1% iled R 2008 39% 50% 89% 7% 3%	2002 9% 44% 53% 34% 11% 1% 2009 35% 55% 90% 1%	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2% 2010 34% 85% 89% 89% 8% 2%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 2011 30% 56% 86% 12% 2%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 3% Court 2012 37% 55% 92% 8% 1%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2% 2013 35% 49% 84% 14% 2%	2007 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 3% 2014 37% 48% 85% 9% 4%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 2% 2015 36% 53% 89% 9% 1%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16% 2% 2016 32% 52% 84% 13% 3%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3%  2017 33% 52% 85% 13% 2%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16% 2% 2018 27% 61% 88% 10% 2%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2%  2020 40% 46% 86% 13% 1%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14% 2%  2021 28% 51% 79% 18% 2%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9% 0% 2022 25% 53% 78% 19% 3%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0% 2023 31% 54% 85% 14% 2%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7% 1% 2024 30% 51% 80% 16% 3%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2% 100% Average 33% 52% 85% 12% 2%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	24% 59% 73% 38% 16% 3%  Maximum 40% 61% 92% 19% 4%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0% <b>Minimum</b> 25% 46% 78% 78%
Excellent Good Good Firend Analysi Coor Coor Coor Coor Coor Coor Coor Coo	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 53% 33% 13% 1% s - Deta 2007 37% 46% 83% 15%	illed R.  2001  9%  43%  52%  34%  13%  1%  illed R.  2008  39%  50%  89%  7%	2002 9% 44% 53% 34% 11% 11% 2009 35% 55% 90% 9%	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2% 6 for L 2010 34% 89% 8%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 3% 2011 30% 56% 86% 12%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 3% Courr 2012 37% 55% 92% 8%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2% 2013 35% 49% 84% 14%	2007 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 37% 48% 85% 9%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 2% 2015 36% 53% 89% 9%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16% 2% 2016 32% 52% 84% 13%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3%  2017 33% 52% 85% 13%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16% 2% 2018 27% 61% 88% 10%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2%  2020 40% 46% 86% 13%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14% 2%  2021 28% 51% 79% 18%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9% 0% 2022 25% 53% 78% 19%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0% 2023 31% 54% 85% 14%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7% 1% 2024 30% 51% 80% 16%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2% 100% Average 33% 52% 85% 12% 2% 1%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	24% 59% 73% 38% 16% 3% Maximum 40% 61% 92% 19%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0% <i>Minimum</i> 25% 46% 78%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Peor Excellent Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Poor Don't know	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 533% 13% 13% 1% s - Deta 2007 37% 46% 83% 15% 33% 0%	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34% 13% 1% iled R 2008 39% 50% 89% 7% 3% 1%	2002 9% 44% 53% 34% 11% 2009 35% 55% 90% 90% 14% 00%	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 2% 2010 34% 55% 88% 2% 1%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 3% 2011 30% 56% 86% 12% 2% 1%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 37% 2012 37% 55% 8% 1% 0%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2% 2013 35% 49% 49% 44% 14% 1%	2007 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 3% 2014 37% 48% 85% 9% 4% 1%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 2% 2015 36% 89% 9% 1% 1%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16% 2% 2016 32% 52% 84% 13% 3%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3%  2017 33% 52% 85% 13% 2%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16% 2% 2018 27% 61% 88% 10% 2%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2%  2020 40% 46% 86% 13% 1%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14% 2%  2021 28% 51% 79% 18% 2%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9% 0% 2022 25% 53% 78% 19% 3%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0% 2023 31% 54% 85% 14% 2%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7% 1% 2024 30% 51% 80% 16% 3%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2% 100% Average 33% 52% 85% 12% 2%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	24% 59% 73% 38% 16% 3%  Maximum 40% 61% 92% 19% 4%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0% <b>Minimum</b> 25% 46% 78% 78%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Frend Analysi Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Frend Analysi Excellent Good Ex+Good Foir Foor Poor't know	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 533% 13% 13% 1% s - Deta 2007 37% 46% 83% 15% 33% 0%	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34% 13% 1% iled R 2008 89% 7% 3% 1%	2002 9% 44% 53% 34% 11% 2009 35% 55% 90% 90% 1% 0%	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2% 2010 34% 55% 8% 2% 1%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 2011 30% 56% 8% 12% 2% 1%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 3% 2012 37% 55% 92% 8% 1% 0%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2% 2013 35% 49% 84% 14% 2% 14%	2007 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 3% 2014 37% 48% 85% 9% 4% 1%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 2% 2015 36% 53% 89% 19% 11%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16% 2% 2016 32% 52% 84% 13% 3% 1%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3%  2017 33% 52% 85% 13% 2%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16% 2% 2018 27% 61% 88% 10% 2%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2%  2020 40% 46% 86% 13% 1%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14% 2%  2021 28% 51% 79% 18% 2%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9% 0% 2022 25% 53% 78% 19% 3%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0% 2023 31% 54% 85% 14% 2%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7% 1% 2024 30% 51% 80% 16% 3%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2% 100%  Average 33% 52% 85% 12% 2% 1% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	24% 59% 73% 38% 16% 3%  Maximum 40% 61% 92% 19% 4% 1%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0% <b>Minimum</b> 25% 46% 78% 1% 0%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysia  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 53% 13% 11% s - Deta 2007 37% 46% 83% 15% 3% 0% s - Deta 2015	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34% 1% illed R 2008 7% 3% 1%	2002 9% 44% 53% 34% 11% 1% 2009 35% 90% 1% 0%	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2% 2010 34% 55% 8% 8% 2% 1% 6 for S 2018	2004 10% 46% 56% 8% 33% 2011 30% 56% 21% 12% 11%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 2012 37% 55% 92% 8% 1% 0%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2% 2013 35% 49% 84% 14% 2% 14%	2017 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 3% 2014 37% 48% 85% 9% 4% 1%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 2% 2015 36% 53% 89% 19% 11%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16% 2% 2016 32% 52% 33% 13% 31%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3%  2017 33% 52% 85% 13% 2%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16% 2% 2018 27% 61% 88% 10% 2%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2%  2020 40% 46% 86% 13% 1%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14% 2%  2021 28% 51% 79% 18% 2%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9% 0% 2022 25% 53% 78% 19% 3%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0% 2023 31% 54% 85% 14% 2%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7% 1% 2024 30% 51% 80% 16% 3%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2% 100% Average 33% 52% 85% 12% 100% Average	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	24% 59% 73% 38% 16% 3%  Maximum 40% 61% 92% 19% 4% 1%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0% <i>Minimum</i> 25% 46% 78% 1% 0%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Trend Analysis Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Don't Know Trend Analysis Excellent Trend Analysis Excellent Trend Analysis	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 53% 13% 11% s - Deta 2007 37% 46% 83% 15% 3% 0% s - Deta	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34% 13% 1% iled R 2008 89% 7% 3% 1%	2002 9% 44% 53% 34% 11% 2009 35% 55% 90% 90% 1% 0%	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2% 2010 34% 55% 8% 2% 1%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 2011 30% 56% 8% 12% 2% 1%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 3% 2012 37% 55% 92% 8% 1% 0%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2% 2013 35% 49% 84% 14% 2% 14%	2017 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 3% 2014 37% 48% 85% 9% 4% 1%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 2% 2015 36% 53% 89% 19% 11%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16% 2% 2016 32% 52% 84% 13% 3% 1%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3%  2017 33% 52% 85% 13% 2%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16% 2% 2018 27% 61% 88% 10% 2%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2%  2020 40% 46% 86% 13% 1%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14% 2%  2021 28% 51% 79% 18% 2%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9% 0% 2022 25% 53% 78% 19% 3%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0% 2023 31% 54% 85% 14% 2%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7% 1% 2024 30% 51% 80% 16% 3%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2% 100%  Average 33% 52% 85% 12% 2% 1% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	24% 59% 73% 38% 16% 3%  Maximum 40% 61% 92% 19% 4% 1%  Maximum 27%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0% <b>Minimum</b> 25% 46% 78% 1% 0%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 53% 13% 1% s - Deta 2007 37% 46% 83% 15% 3% 0% s - Deta 2015 27%	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34% 1% illed R 2003 39% 89% 1% iiled R 2016 20%	2002 9% 44% 53% 34% 11% 1% 2009 35% 90% 1% 0% 2017 19%	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2% 2010 34% 55% 8% 2% 1% 6 for S 2018 21%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 3% 2011 30% 56% 86% 12% 21% 2019 20%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 3% 2012 37% 55% 92% 8% 1% 0% wrence 2020 22%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 2% 2013 35% 49% 24% 14% 2% 14% 2% 14%	2017 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 3% 2014 37% 48% 85% 9% 4% 1% 2022 27%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 2% 2015 36% 53% 89% 1% 1% 2023 17%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16% 2% 2016 32% 52% 33% 13% 31% 2024 19%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3%  2017 33% 52% 85% 13% 2%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16% 2% 2018 27% 61% 88% 10% 2%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2%  2020 40% 46% 86% 13% 1%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14% 2%  2021 28% 51% 79% 18% 2%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9% 0% 2022 25% 53% 78% 19% 3%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0% 2023 31% 54% 85% 14% 2%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7% 1% 2024 30% 51% 80% 16% 3%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 110% 2% 100% Average 33% 52% 85% 12% 2% 100% Average 21%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	24% 59% 73% 38% 16% 3%  Maximum 40% 61% 92% 19% 4% 1%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0% Minimum 25% 46% 78% 7% 1% 0%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 53% 33% 1% s - Deta 2007 37% 46% 83% 15% 3% 0% s - Deta 2015 27% 46%	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 13% 1% iled R 2003 39% 50% 89% 7% 3% 1% iled R 2016 20% 47%	2002 9% 44% 53% 11% 11% 2003 35% 90% 1% 90% 1% 90% 1% 90%	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2% 2010 34% 55% 8% 2% 1% 1% 2010	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 3% 2011 30% 56% 86% 12% 21% 2019 20% 56%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 3% 2012 37% 55% 92% 8% 1% 0% 2020 22% 49%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2% 2013 35% 49% 84% 1% 100 2021	2014 15% 33% 15% 33% 15% 37% 48% 85% 4% 1% 2022 27% 46%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 2% 2015 36% 53% 89% 1% 1% 17% 46%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16% 2% 2016 32% 52% 84% 13% 3% 1%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3%  2017 33% 52% 85% 13% 2%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16% 2% 2018 27% 61% 88% 10% 2%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2%  2020 40% 46% 86% 13% 1%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14% 2%  2021 28% 51% 79% 18% 2%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9% 0% 2022 25% 53% 78% 19% 3%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0% 2023 31% 54% 85% 14% 2%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7% 1% 2024 30% 51% 80% 16% 3%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2% 100%  Average 33% 52% 12% 2% 1% 100%  Average 21% 48%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know  Exted the	24% 59% 73% 38% 16% 3%  Maximum 40% 61% 92% 19% 4% 1%  Maximum 27% 56%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0% Minimum 25% 46% 78% 7% 11% 0% Minimum 17% 45%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 33% 13% 11% s - Deta 2007 37% 46% 83% 15% 0% s - Deta 2015 27% 46% 73%	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34% 13% 11% iled R 2008 89% 7% 3% 11% iiled R 2016 20% 47% 67%	2002 9% 44% 53% 34% 11% 2009 35% 55% 9% 9% 1 % 0 %	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2% 2010 34% 55% 8% 2% 1% 2010 2018 21% 50% 71%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 33% 2011 30% 56% 86% 12% 20% 56, Lat 2019 20% 56%	2005 9% 44% 53% 33% 11% 37% 55% 1% 92% 1% 0% 2020 22% 49% 71%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2% 2013 35% 49% 14% 14% 1% 100 2021	2014 37% 41% 50% 41% 50% 33% 15% 37% 48% 48% 48% 1% 2014 46% 1% 2022 27% 46% 73%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 22% 2015 36% 53% 89% 1% 1% 17% 46% 63%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16% 2% 2016 32% 52% 84% 13% 3% 1%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3%  2017 33% 52% 85% 13% 2%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16% 2% 2018 27% 61% 88% 10% 2%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2%  2020 40% 46% 86% 13% 1%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14% 2%  2021 28% 51% 79% 18% 2%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9% 0% 2022 25% 53% 78% 19% 3%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0% 2023 31% 54% 85% 14% 2%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7% 1% 2024 30% 51% 80% 16% 3%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2% 100%  Average 33% 52% 85% 12% 2% 1% 100%  Average 21% 48% 70%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	24% 59% 73% 38% 16% 3%  Maximum 40% 61% 92% 19% 4% 1%  Maximum 27% 56% 76%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0%  Minimum 25% 46% 78% 79% 1% 0%  Minimum 17% 45% 63%
Trend Analysis Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Food Fair	s - Deta 2000 10% 43% 33% 13% 1% s - Deta 2007 37% 46% 83% 15% 3% 0% s - Deta 2015 27% 46% 46% 46% 46% 73% 20%	iled R 2001 9% 43% 52% 34% 1% 2008 39% 50% 67% 2016 67% 26%	2002 9% 44% 53% 34% 11% 2009 35% 55% 90% 1% 0% 2017 19% 55% 2017 19% 2017	2003 9% 41% 50% 33% 15% 2% 6 for L 2010 34% 89% 89% 1% 2018 21% 50% 71% 22%	2004 10% 46% 56% 33% 8% 3% 2011 30% 56% 2% 12% 2019 20% 56% 15%	2012 37% 33% 111% 33% 2012 37% 55% 8% 10% 22% 49% 71% 24%	2006 9% 41% 50% 33% 14% 2% 2013 35% 49% 14% 2% 14% 1% 100 2021 	2014 37% 48% 55% 33% 15% 37% 48% 85% 4% 1% 2022 27% 46% 73% 22%	2008 9% 40% 49% 33% 16% 2% 2015 36% 89% 1% 1% 2023 17% 46% 63% 26%	9% 40% 49% 34% 16% 2% 2016 32% 52% 43% 13% 3% 1% 2024 19% 45% 64% 31%	9% 42% 51% 32% 14% 3%  2017 33% 52% 85% 13% 2%	8% 40% 48% 34% 16% 2% 2018 27% 61% 88% 10% 2%	9% 44% 53% 34% 12% 2%	9% 43% 52% 33% 12% 2%  2020 40% 46% 86% 13% 1%	9% 42% 51% 33% 14% 2%  2021 28% 51% 79% 18% 2%	11% 41% 52% 38% 9% 0% 2022 25% 53% 78% 19% 3%	14% 59% 73% 21% 5% 0% 2023 31% 54% 85% 14% 2%	18% 50% 68% 25% 7% 1% 2024 30% 51% 80% 16% 3%	19% 48% 67% 25% 7%	16% 49% 65% 26% 6%	24% 47% 71% 25% 3%	- - -	21% 44% 65% 26% 6%	17% 48% ### 26% 8%	21% 51% 72% 21% 6%	12% 45% 57% 31% 11% 2% 100%  Average 33% 52% 85% 12% 2% 1% 100%  Average 21% 48% 70% 23%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	24% 59% 73% 38% 16% 3%  Maximum 40% 61% 92% 19% 4% 1%  Maximum 27% 56% 76% 31%	8% 40% 48% 21% 3% 0%  Minimum 25% 46% 78% 7% 1% 0%  Minimum 17% 45% 63% 15%

Table 17 - County Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)

Set of the property of the pro	Table 17		ilad D		. for	laffar.																								
Section 1	TTETIU Alialysis									2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Average		Maximum	Minimun
Marine   M	Excellent		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_					-		_		_	_	_	_						Excellent	7%	2%
New York   1	Good	-							-							-									_			Good		26%
Transfer   1	x+Good	-						-			-					-		45%	41%	41%	35%	6	36%	30%	###	34%	37%	Ex+Good		30%
Trend Analysis — Petitive of Results for Leving Country:  **Roof Analysis — Petitive of Results for	air	-														-		33%	36%	35%	36%	6	35%	35%	36%	34%	35%	Fair	36%	33%
Second	oor	-									-					-		12%	12%	13%	15%	6	17%	20%	19%	19%	16%	Poor	20%	12%
Maximum	on't Know	-										-				-		10%	11%	11%	14%	6	11%	15%	13%	13%		Don't know	15%	10%
Second	rend Analysis	s – Deta	iled Re	esults	for L	.ewis	Cour	nty:																			100%			
Marie   Mari		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015				2019	2020	_											Average		Maximum	Minimur
Application		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					-	-															
The content of the co		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					-															31%
Second Content		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					-															
Proof Analysis — Detailed Results for Jefferson County:  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  **Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey		-	-				-								-															34%
Transport Analysis — Detailed Results for St. Lawrence Country:    2015   2016   2017   2018   2018   2017   2018	oor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			14%	-	-	23%	18%		21%									Poor		11%
Second Analysis Detailed Results for St. Lawrence County:   Maximum Minimum Minim	on't know			-			_	_	-		8%	8%	7%	_	-	4%	9%	8%	7%									Don't know	9%	4%
Marie																											100%			
Second   4 %   2 %   2 %   2 %   3 %   3 %   3 %   3 %   3 %   3 %   3 %   3 %   2 %   3 %   3 %   2 %   3	end Analysis										2024	1															A.v			
29%   29%   29%   29%   39%		_		-	_	_	2020																				_	Eventlant		
Second   S							-																							
38											Y.																			
20% Poor 27% 12% 27% 21% 28% 12% - 20% 23% 14% 24% - 21% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24																														
## 10%   9%   10%   3%   7%   8%   - 8%   7%   9%   9																														
Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)  Find Analysis — Detailed Results for Jefferson County:    200																														
Table 18 — Your City, Town, or Village Government Government (preceding 2016, "Local Government" was the survey question)	n't know	9%	10%	3%	7%	8%		5%	7%	9%	7%																7%	Don't know	10%	2%
Collect			ır C	ity,								nme	ent (	Gov	ern	men	ı <b>t</b> (pr	eced	ing 2	016,	"Lo	cal G	iovei	nme	nt" v	vas t	100%		10/3	370
Cool	able 18	– Yoı		•	Τον	wn,	or '	Villa	age			nme	ent (	Gov	ern	men	i <b>t</b> (pr	eced	ing 2	016,	"Lo	cal G	iovei	nme	nt" v	vas t	100%		20%	370
## dood	able 18	– You	iled R	esults	To\	wn,	or '	Villa	age	Go	veri																100% the surve			
The contribution of the co	able 18	– You	iled R	esults	To\	wn,	or '	Villa	age	Go	veri						2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	100% the surve	ey question)	Maximum	Minimur
or it Know	able 18 end Analysis	– You	iled R	esults	To\	wn,	or '	Villa County 2006	age v: 2007	Go 2008 	veri			2012		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023 5%	2024 5%	the surve	ey question)	Maximum 8%	Minimur
nt know	able 18 end Analysis	- You	2001 	2002 	To\	wn,	or '	Villa count 2006	age v: 2007	Go 2008 	veri			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 5% 43%	2017 8% 38%	2018 8% 37%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29%	2023 5% 26%	2024 5% 31%	100% the surve  Average 6% 34%	ey question)  Excellent Good	<b>Maximum</b> 8% 43%	<i>Minimur</i> 5%
end Analysis – Detailed Results for Lewis County:    2007   2008   2009   2010   2011   2012   2013   2014   2015   2016   2017   2018   2019   2020   2021   2022   2023   2024	able 18 end Analysis cellent od +Good	- You	2001 	2002 	To\ ifor J 2003	WN,	or \ son 0 2005	Villa 2006	age <u>y:</u> 2007  	2008  	2009  	2010		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 5% 43% 48%	2017 8% 38% 46%	2018 8% 37% 45%	2019	2020	2021	5% 29% 34%	2023 5% 26% ###	2024 5% 31% 36%	100%  the surve  Average 6% 34% 40%	ey question)  Excellent Good Ex+Good	<b>Maximum</b> 8% 43% 48%	<b>Minimur</b> 5% 26%
Proof Analysis — Detailed Results for Lewis County:    2007   2008   2009   2010   2011   2012   2013   2014   2015   2016   2017   2018   2019   2020   2021   2022   2023   2024	able 18 end Analysis cellent od r	- YOU	2001    	2002   	To\ i for J 2003	wn, leffers 2004	or 'son C	Villa 2006	age V: 2007	2008  	2009  	2010	2011   	2012   	2013	2014	2015	2016 5% 43% 48% 35%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32%	2019	2020	2021   	2022 5% 29% 34% 36%	2023 5% 26% ### 35%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33%	100%  the surve  Average 6% 34% 40% 34%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	Maximum 8% 43% 48% 36%	<b>Minimui</b> 5% 26% 31%
2007   2008   2009   2010   2011   2012   2013   2014   2015   2016   2017   2018   2019   2020   2021   2022   2023   2024	Table 18 rend Analysis cellent rood +Good ir or	- YOU 2000	2001	2002   	To\ : for J 2003	vn, leffers 2004	or \ son 0 2005	Villa 2006	2007	2008   	2009   	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014   	2015	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 6% 34% 40% 34% 16%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	Maximum 8% 43% 48% 36% 21%	Minimun 5% 26% 31% 32% 11%
Collect	able 18 end Analysis cellent ood +Good ir	- YOU 2000	2001	2002   	To\ : for J 2003	vn, leffers 2004	or \ son 0 2005	Villa 2006	2007	2008   	2009   	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014   	2015	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 6% 34% 40% 34% 16% 11%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	Maximum 8% 43% 48% 36% 21%	Minimui 5% 26% 31% 32% 11%
Second   Cond	rend Analysis cellent ood +Good ir or n't Know	- YOU 2000	2001 iiled Re	2002 esults	Tov	vn,	Or \\ son C 2005 Cour	Villa  country:	2007    	2008    	2009    	2010    	2011	2012    	2013	2014	2015	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 6% 34% 40% 34% 16% 11% 100%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	Maximum 8% 43% 48% 36% 21% 14%	Minimun 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6%
The stand   The	cellent cod +Good ir or rin't Know	- YOU 2000	2001 iiled Re	2002 esults	Tov	vn,	Or \\ son C 2005 Cour	Villa  country:	2007    	2008    	2009      2016	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 6% 34% 40% 34% 11% 100%  Average	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	Maximum 8% 43% 48% 36% 21% 14%	Minimus 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6%
1	cellent ood +Good ir oor on't Know	- YOU 2000	2001 iiled Re	2002     2009	Tov 2003	wn, 2004 ewis 2011	Or \\ son C \\ 2005 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ 2012 \\ \\	Villa  County  2006  2013	2014	2008    	2009	2010       7%	2011	2012      2019 4%	2013	2014      2021 5%	2015	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 6% 34% 40% 34% 16% 110% Average 5%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	Maximum	Minimur 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6% Minimur 3%
Note	rend Analysis collent sod c+Good ir son't Know rend Analysis	- YOU 2000	2001 iiled Ro	2002	Tov 2003    2010 -	wn, 2004	Or \\ son C \\ 2005 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ 2012 \\ - \\ - \\ -	Villa 2006 2019:	2014	2008     2015 	2009	2010       7% 43%	2011	2012      2019 4% 51%	2013	2014       5% 34%	2015       2022 4% 38%	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6% 2023 3% 42%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9% 2024 3% 38%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  the surve  Average 6% 34% 40% 34% 16% 11% 100%  Average 5% 42%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good	Maximum	Minimur. 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6%  Minimur. 3% 34%
n't know	rend Analysis cellent ood +Good int oor on't Know cellent ood +Good	- YOU 2000	2001	2002 2009	Tov 2003	wn, 2004	Or \\ son C \\ 2005 \\ \\ \\ \\ Count \\ 2012 \\	Villa 2006 2019:	2014	2008 2015	2009	2010       7% 43% 50%	2011	2012       2019 4% 51%	2013     2020  	2014       5% 34% 39%	2015       2022 4% 38% 42%	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6% 2023 3% 42% 45%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9% 2024 3% 38% 41%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 6% 34% 40% 34% 16% 11% 100%  Average 5% 42% 47%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good	Maximum 8% 43% 488 36% 21% 14%  Maximum 7% 51% 55%	Minimum. 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6% Minimum. 3% 34% 39%
100%   100%	rable 18 rend Analysis collent ood +Good ir or n't Know rend Analysis collent ood +Good ir	- YOU 2000	2001	2002	Tov 2003	ewis	Or \\ son C \\ 2005 \\ -	Villa 2006	2014	2008	2009 	2010       7% 43% 50% 37%	2011	2012         51% 55% 29%	2013       	2014	2015         4% 38% 42% 40%	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6% 2023 3% 42% 45% 33%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9% 2024 3% 38% 41% 34%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 6% 34% 40% 34% 16% 11% 100%  Average 5% 42% 47% 35%	Excellent Good Ex-Good Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex-Good Fair	Maximum	Minimum 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6%  Minimum 3% 34% 39% 29%
Part   Analysis - Detailed Results for St. Lawrence County:   Second Analysis - Detailed Results for St. Lawrence County   Second Analysis - Detailed Results for St. Lawrence County   Second Analysis - Detailed Results for St. Lawrence County   Second Analysis - Detailed Results for St. Lawrence County   Se	cellent cod collent cod	- YOU 2000	2001	2002	Tov	ewis	Or \\ son C \\ 2005 \\ -	Villa 2006	2014	2008	2009 	2010          -	2011	2012       51% 55% 29% 10%	2013       	2014	2015          4% 38% 42% 40% 13%	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6% 2023 3% 42% 45% 33% 12%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9% 2024 3% 38% 41% 34% 117%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 6% 34% 40% 349% 111% 100%  Average 5% 42% 47% 355% 12%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	Maximum  8%  43%  48%  36%  21%  14%   Maximum  7%  51%  55%  41%  17%	Minimun. 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6%  Minimun. 3% 34% 39% 9%
cellent         -         6%         4%         5%         -         -         -         8%         2%           sod         -         33%         34%         -         -         -         30%         32%           +Good         -         39%         38%         -         -         -         38%         Ex+Good         39%         34%           ir         -         31%         37%         -         -         -         33%         34%           or         -         24%         25%         21%         -         -         21%         27%	rend Analysis cellent cod +Good ir cor ort Know cellent cod +Good +Good ir	- YOU 2000	2001	2002	Tov	ewis	Or \\ son C \\ 2005 \\ -	Villa 2006	2014	2008	2009 	2010          -	2011	2012       51% 55% 29% 10%	2013       	2014	2015             	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6% 2023 3% 42% 45% 33% 12%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9% 2024 3% 38% 41% 34% 117%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 6% 34% 40% 349 11% 100%  Average 5% 42% 47% 35% 12% 7%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	Maximum  8%  43%  48%  36%  21%  14%   Maximum  7%  51%  55%  41%  17%	Minimur 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6% Minimur 3% 34% 39% 99%
vod     -     33%     34%     34%     -     -     -     30%     36%     30%       +Good     -     39%     38%     Ex+Good     39%     34%       ir     -     31%     37%     -     -     -     38%     Ex+Good     39%     34%       ir     -     31%     37%     -     -     -     33%     34%       or     -     24%     25%     21%     -     -     21%     27%     21%	cellent ood +Good ir oor on't Know cellent ood +Good ir or	- You 2000	iled Re 2001	2002	2003	wn, 2004	Or \\ 2005 \\ \	Villa 2006 2019:	2014	2008 	2009 	2010          -	2011	2012       51% 55% 29% 10%	2013       	2014	2015             	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6% 2023 3% 42% 45% 33% 12%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9% 2024 3% 38% 41% 34% 117%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 6% 34% 40% 349 11% 100%  Average 5% 42% 47% 35% 12% 7%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	Maximum  8%  43%  48%  36%  21%  14%   Maximum  7%  51%  55%  41%  17%	Minimur 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6% Minimur 3% 34% 39% 99%
vod     -     33%     34%     34%     -     -     -     30%     36%     30%       +Good     -     39%     38%     Ex+Good     39%     34%       ir     -     31%     34%     37%     -     -     -     33%     34%       or     -     24%     25%     21%     -     -     21%     27%     21%	cellent oor orin't Know end Analysis cellent ood end Analysis cellent ood +Good ir or orin't Know	- You 2000	iled Re	2002	Tov 2003 2010 a for S	wn, 2004	Or \\ 2005 \\ \	Villa 2006 2013	2014	2008 	2009	2010          -	2011	2012       51% 55% 29% 10%	2013       	2014	2015             	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6% 2023 3% 42% 45% 33% 12%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9% 2024 3% 38% 41% 34% 117%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 6% 34% 40% 34% 16% 1100%  Average 5% 42% 47% 35% 12% 7% 100%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	Maximum 8% 43% 48% 36% 21% 14%  Maximum 7% 51% 55% 41% 17% 9%	Minimun. 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6%  Minimun. 3% 34% 39% 29% 9%
+Good     -     39%     38%     88%     98%     -     -     38%     38%     824%     34%       ir     -     31%     34%     37%     -     -     -     33%     34%       or     -     24%     25%     21%     -     -     21%     27%	end Analysis cellent ior ior ior ior't Know cellent ood +Good ir oor ior't Know cellent ood cor ior't know	- You 2000	iled Re 2001	2002	TOV 2003	wn, 2004	Or \\ 2005 \\ \	Villa 2006	2014	2008    2015   	2009 	2010          -	2011	2012       51% 55% 29% 10%	2013       	2014	2015             	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6% 2023 3% 42% 45% 33% 12%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9% 2024 3% 38% 41% 34% 117%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 6% 34% 40% 34% 16% 11% 100%  Average 5% 42% 47% 35% 12% 7% 100%  Average	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Food Don't know	Maximum  8%  43%  48%  36%  21%  14%   Maximum  7%  51%  55%  41%  17%  9%  Maximum	Minimur 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6% 6% Minimur 3% 39% 29% 9% 5%
ir - 31% 34% 37% 33% 33% 34% or - 24% 25% 21% 21% 21% 27% 37% 21% 23% Poor 27% 21%	end Analysis collent ood +Good ir or n't Know end Analysis collent ood +Good ir or or n't know	- You 2000	iled Re 2001	2002	TOV 2003	effer:  2004	Or \\ 2005 2012 wrence 2020	Villa 2006	2014	2008 2015 2023 3%	2009	2010          -	2011	2012       51% 55% 29% 10%	2013       	2014	2015             	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6% 2023 3% 42% 45% 33% 12%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9% 2024 3% 38% 41% 34% 117%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 6% 34% 40% 34% 16% 11% 100%  Average 5% 42% 47% 35% 12% 7% 100%  Average 5%	Excellent Good Ex-Good Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex-Good Fair Foor Don't know	Maximum	Minimur 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6% Minimur 34% 34% 39% 9% 5%
or -   24%   25%   21%   -   -   -   21%   21%   27%   21%   27%   21%	cellent cod cellent cor cern't know	- You 2000	iled Re 2001	2002 	TOV 2003	effer:  2004	Or \\ son C 2005 2012 2020	Vill: 2006	2014	2008 2015 2023 3% 36%	2008 	2010      7% 43% 50% 37% 9%	2011	2012       51% 55% 29% 10%	2013       	2014	2015          4% 38% 42% 40% 13%	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6% 2023 3% 42% 45% 33% 12%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9% 2024 3% 38% 41% 34% 117%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 6% 34% 40% 349 11% 100%  Average 5% 42% 7% 100%  Average 5% 33%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	Maximum  8%  43%  48%  36%  21%  14%   Maximum  7%  51%  55%  41%  17%  9%   Maximum  8%  36%	Minimum 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6%  Minimum 3% 34% 39% 29% 9% 5%
	cellent cod cellent cod cor ir cor in't Know cellent cod cellent	- You 2000	iled Re 2001 iiled Re 2008	2002	TOV 2003         	effer:  2004	Or \\ 2005 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ 2020 \\ 2020 \\	Villa 2006	2014	2008 2015 2023 3% 36% 39%	2009	2010      7% 43% 50% 37% 9%	2011	2012       51% 55% 29% 10%	2013       	2014	2015          4% 38% 42% 40% 13%	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6% 2023 3% 42% 45% 33% 12%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9% 2024 3% 38% 41% 34% 117%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Che surve  Average 6% 34% 40% 349 11% 100%  Average 5% 42% 47% 100%  Average 5% 33% 38%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know	Maximum 8% 43% 48% 36% 21% 14%  Maximum 7% 51% 41% 17% 9%  Maximum 8% 36% 39%	Minimur. 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6%  Minimur. 3% 34% 29% 5%  Minimur. 2% 30% 34%
on't know   -   6%   2%   3%   -   -   -   8%   7%   5%   5%   5%   5%   5%   5%   5	rend Analysis cellent cod c+Good ir con't Know rend Analysis cellent cod c+Good ir con't know rend Analysis cellent cod checked cod ir cod checked che	- You 2000	iled Re 2001 iiled Re 2008 iiled Re 33% 33% 33% 31%	2002	TOV 2003   2010          -	ewis 2011	Or \\ 2005 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ 2020 \\ 2020 \\	villa 2006	2014	2008	2009 	2010      7% 43% 50% 37% 9%	2011	2012       51% 55% 29% 10%	2013       	2014	2015          4% 38% 42% 40% 13%	2016 5% 43% 48% 35% 13% 6% 2023 3% 42% 45% 33% 12%	2017 8% 38% 46% 34% 11% 9% 2024 3% 38% 41% 34% 117%	2018 8% 37% 45% 32% 14%	2019	2020	2021	2022 5% 29% 34% 36% 16%	2023 5% 26% ### 35% 21%	2024 5% 31% 36% 33% 19%	100%  Average 5% 42% 47% 318% 100%  Average 5% 42% 47% 35% 38% 34%	Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	Maximum	Minimun. 5% 26% 31% 32% 11% 6%  Minimun. 3% 34% 39% 29% 5%  Minimun. 2% 30% 34% 31%

## Table 19 - Real Estate Taxes

Trend Analys	is – Deta	iled R	esults	for J	effers	son C	ount	<u>v:</u>																					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021		2023	2024	Average		Maximum	Minimum
Excellent	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	3%	5%	3%		2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	Excellent	5%	0%
Good	13%	10%	9%	8%	10%	11%	9%	7%	9%	9%	9%	10%	10%	11%	8%	11%	11%	17%	18%	14%	-	18%			13%	11%	Good	18%	7%
Ex+Good	15%	11%	10%	9%			10%		10%	10%	10%	12%	11%	12%	9%	11%	11%	20%	23%	17%	-	20%			16%	13%	Ex+Good	23%	8%
Fair Poor	35% 36%	32% 45%	32% 42%		32% 40%				31% 48%	31% 49%	31% 39%	31% 49%	34% 43%	35% 40%	31% 50%	37% 43%	34% 37%	33% 30%	32%	36% 31%	-	35% 31%	34% 35%	_	33%	33%	Fair Poor	37%	29%
Poor Don't Know	13%	12%	16%	44% 17%	17%		47% 12%		11%	10%	19%	9%	12%	12%	11%	9%	17%	17%	30% 15%	17%	I	14%		16%	38%	40% 14%	Poor Don't know	50% 19%	30% 9%
DOII CKIIOW	13/6	12 /0	10 /6	17 /0	17 /0	19/6	12 /0	14 /0	11/0	10 /6	19/0	3 /0	12 /0	12 /0	11/6	3 /6	17 /0	17 /0	15/6	17 /6	_	14 /0	10 /6	10 /	13/0	100%	Don't know	15/0	3/0
Trend Analys	is – Deta	iled R	esults	for L	ewis	Coun	itv:																			100%			
	2007			_				2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	1							Average		Maximum	Minimum
Excellent	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	-	-	3%	2%	2%	1%								2%	Excellent	3%	0%
Good	23%	19%	17%	17%	18%	26%	21%	16%	19%	19%	25%	23%	-	-	15%	15%	11%	17%								19%	Good	26%	11%
Ex+Good	25%	22%	18%	19%	19%	26%	23%	17%	21%	21%	28%	25%	-	-	18%	17%	13%	18%								21%	Ex+Good	28%	13%
Fair	37%	36%	36%	33%	37%	32%	32%	38%	38%	39%	38%	37%	-	-	36%	35%	34%	34%								<i>36%</i>	Fair	39%	32%
Poor	33%	37%	42%				38%			35%	24%	31%	-	-	40%	42%	42%	43%								37%	Poor	43%	24%
Don't know	5%	6%	5%	8%	7%	7%	8%	6%	8%	5%	10%	8%	-	-	6%	6%	10%	4%								7%	Don't know	10%	4%
							_																			100%			
Frend Analys	2015									2024																			
Para ella set			2017			2020																				Average	Eventlant	Maximum	Minimum
Excellent Good	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	- 1	2%	2%	2%	1%																2%	Excellent Good	3%	1%
500a Ex+Good	19%	16%	17%	17%	12% 15%		11%	11%	14%	12%																14% 16%	Ex+Good	19% 22%	11% 13%
air	32%	28%	32%	35%	42%		41%		32%	38%																35%	Fair	42%	13% 28%
Poor	35%	45%	38%	38%	34%		39%	43%	45%	46%																35% 40%	Poor	46%	34%
Don't know	11%	8%	11%	7%	9%		8%	9%	7%	3%																8%	Don't know	11%	3%
Join Chillon	1170	0 70	1170	1 /0	3 /0		0 /0	3 70	1 /0	0 70																	2011 ( 11.1011	11/0	3,0
Table 20	– Pol	icin	g aı	nd (	Crin	ne C	Con	itrol	I																	100%			
	is – Deta	iled R	esults	for J	effers	son C	ount	<u>y:</u>																					
Trend Analys	sis – Deta 2000	iled Ro 2001	esults	of for J	effers	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	_			2013		2015		2017		2019				2023		Average		Maximum	Minimum
Frend Analys	2000 16%	iled Ro 2001 17%	2002 14%	for J 2003 16%	effers 2004 14%	2005 13%	2006 17%	<u>2007</u>	2008	14%	16%	15%	15%	15%	15%	18%	14%	12%	16%	2019	26%	-	9%	10%	9%	Average 15%	Excellent	26%	9%
Frend Analys  Excellent  Good	2000 16% 50%	2001 17% 49%	2002 14% 50%	2003 16% 49%	2004 14% 50%	2005 13% 45%	2006 17% 47%	2007 16% 45%	2008 18% 47%	14% 50%	16% 47%	15% 46%	15% 49%	15% 44%	15% 48%	18% 43%	14% 58%	12% 47%	16% 51%	-	26% 43%	-	9% 40%	10% 36%	9% 37%	Average 15% 47%	Good	26% 58%	9% 36%
Frend Analys  Excellent  Good  Ex+Good	2000 16% 50% 66%	iled Ro 2001 17% 49% 66%	2002 14% 50% 64%	2003 16% 49% 65%	2004 14% 50% 64%	2005 13% 45% 58%	2006 17% 47% 64%	2007 16% 45% 61%	2008 18% 47% 65%	14% 50% 64%	16% 47% 63%	15% 46% 61%	15% 49% 64%	15% 44% 59%	15% 48% 63%	18% 43% 61%	14% 58% 72%	12% 47% 59%	16% 51% 67%	 	26% 43% 69%	 	9% 40% 49%	10% 36% ###	9% 37% 47%	Average 15% 47% 62%	Good Ex+Good	26% 58% 72%	9% 36% 46%
Trend Analys  Excellent  Good  Ex+Good  Fair	2000 16% 50% 66% 25%	2001 17% 49% 66% 24%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25%	2003 16% 49% 65% 24%	effers 2004 14% 50% 64% 25%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24%	2007 16% 45% 61% 26%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23%	14% 50% 64% 26%	16% 47% 63% 25%	15% 46% 61% 26%	15% 49% 64% 25%	15% 44% 59% 27%	15% 48% 63% 26%	18% 43% 61% 29%	14% 58% 72% 21%	12% 47% 59% 26%	16% 51% 67% 23%	- - -	26% 43% 69% 22%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36%	10% 36% ### 37%	9% 37% 47% 30%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26%	Good Ex+Good Fair	26% 58% 72% 37%	9% 36% 46% 21%
Trend Analys  Excellent  Good  Ex+Good  Fair  Poor	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 8%	2001 17% 49% 66% 24% 8%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8%	2003 16% 49% 65% 24% 7%	2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 7%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 10%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 9%	2007 16% 45% 61% 26% 10%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9%	18% 43% 61% 29% 9%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	 	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	 	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9%	Good Ex+Good	26% 58% 72%	9% 36% 46%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	2000 16% 50% 66% 25%	2001 17% 49% 66% 24%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25%	2003 16% 49% 65% 24%	effers 2004 14% 50% 64% 25%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24%	2007 16% 45% 61% 26%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23%	14% 50% 64% 26%	16% 47% 63% 25%	15% 46% 61% 26%	15% 49% 64% 25%	15% 44% 59% 27%	15% 48% 63% 26%	18% 43% 61% 29%	14% 58% 72% 21%	12% 47% 59% 26%	16% 51% 67% 23%	  	26% 43% 69% 22%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36%	10% 36% ### 37%	9% 37% 47% 30%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	26% 58% 72% 37% 20%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 8% 2%	2001 17% 49% 66% 24% 8% 3%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 3%	2003 16% 49% 65% 24% 7% 3%	2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 7% 4%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 10% 4%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 9% 3%	2007 16% 45% 61% 26% 10%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9%	18% 43% 61% 29% 9%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 3%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	26% 58% 72% 37% 20%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 8% 2%	2001 17% 49% 66% 24% 8% 3%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 3%	2003 16% 49% 65% 24% 7% 3%	2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 7% 4%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 10% 4%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 9% 3%	2007 16% 45% 61% 26% 10% 4%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 2%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3%	18% 43% 61% 29% 9% 2%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 3%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	26% 58% 72% 37% 20%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5%
Trend Analysi  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 8% 2%	2001 17% 49% 66% 24% 8% 3%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 3%	2003 16% 49% 65% 24% 7% 3%	2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 7% 4% ewis 2011 14%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 10% 4% Coun	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 9% 3%	2007 16% 45% 61% 26% 10% 4%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8% 3%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 2%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2019	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3%	18% 43% 61% 29% 9% 2%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2% 2023	12% 47% 59% 26% 10% 4%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 3% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	26% 58% 72% 37% 20% 6%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5% 2%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi Excellent Good	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 8% 2%	iled Ro 2001 17% 49% 66% 24% 8% 3% illed Ro 2008 23% 54%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2009 16% 53%	2003 16% 49% 65% 24% 7% 3% if or L 2010 18% 60%	effers 2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 7% 4% ewis 2011 14% 60%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 10% 4% Coun 2012 20% 55%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 9% 3% 15% 53%	2007 16% 45% 61% 26% 10% 4%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8% 3% 2015 14% 52%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 2% 2016 20% 52%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4% 2017 18% 46%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2019 15% 59%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3% 2020 23% 52%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3% 2021 11% 52%	18% 43% 61% 29% 9% 2% 2022 10% 46%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2% 2023 12% 50%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10% 4% 2024 11% 44%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 3% 100% Average 16% 53%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	26% 58% 72% 37% 20% 6% <b>Maximum</b> 23% 60%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5% 2%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 8% 2% 2007 19% 51% 70%	iled Ri 2001 17% 49% 66% 24% 8% 3% illed Ri 2008 23% 54% 77%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2009 16% 53% 69%	2003 16% 49% 65% 24% 7% 3% 2010 18% 60% 78%	effers 2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 7% 4% ewis 2011 14% 60%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 10% 4% Coun 2012 20% 55% 75%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 9% 3% ity: 2013 15% 68%	2007 16% 45% 61% 26% 10% 4%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8% 3% 2015 14% 52% 66%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 2% 2016 20% 52%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4% 2017 18% 46%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2019 15% 59% 74%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3% 2020 23% 52% 75%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3% 2021 11% 52% 63%	18% 43% 61% 29% 9% 2% 2022 10% 46% 56%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2% 2023 12% 50% 62%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10% 4% 2024 11% 44% 55%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 3% 100% Average 16% 53% 69%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good	26% 58% 72% 37% 20% 6% <b>Maximum</b> 23% 60% 78%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5% 2% <i>Minimum</i> 10% 44% 55%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 8% 2% 2% 29 19% 51% 70% 23%	iled Ri 2001 17% 49% 66% 24% 8% 3% illed Ri 2008 23% 54% 77% 16%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2009 16% 53% 69% 21%	16% 49% 65% 24% 7% 3% 160r L 2010 18% 60% 78%	effers 2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 4% ewis 2011 14% 60% 74% 18%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 10% 4% Count 2012 20% 55% 75%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 9% 3% 15% 2013 15% 68% 26%	2007 16% 45% 61% 26% 10% 4%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8% 3% 2015 14% 52% 66% 23%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 2% 2016 20% 52% 72% 20%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4% 2017 18% 46% 64% 27%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3% 2018 - - -	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2019 15% 59% 74% 17%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3%  2020 23% 52% 75% 18%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3% 2021 11% 52% 63% 28%	18% 43% 61% 29% 9% 2% 2022 10% 46% 56% 28%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2% 2023 12% 50% 62% 24%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10% 4% 2024 11% 44% 55% 27%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 100% Average 16% 53% 69% 22%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	26% 58% 72% 37% 20% 6% <b>Maximum</b> 23% 60% 78% 28%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5% 2% <i>Minimum</i> 10% 44% 55% 16%
Excellent Good Fair Con't Know  Frend Analys  Excellent Good Ext-Good Fair Food	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 2% 2% 2007 19% 51% 70% 23% 6%	iled Ro 2001 17% 49% 66% 24% 8% 3% illed Ro 2008 23% 54% 77% 16% 7%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2009 16% 53% 69% 21%	2003 16% 49% 65% 24% 3% 50r L 2010 18% 60% 78% 16% 4%	effers 2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 7% 4% ewis 2011 14% 60% 74% 18% 7%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 10% 4% 2012 20% 55% 75% 17% 7%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 9% 3% 2013 15% 68% 26% 4%	2007 16% 45% 61% 26% 10% 4%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8% 3% 2015 14% 66% 23% 12%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 2% 2016 20% 52% 72% 20% 7%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4% 2017 18% 46% 64% 27% 7%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2019 15% 59% 74% 17% 8%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3%  2020 23% 52% 75% 18% 5%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3% 2021 11% 52% 63% 28% 9%	18% 43% 61% 29% 9% 2% 2022 10% 46% 56% 28% 12%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2% 2023 12% 50% 62% 24% 10%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10% 4% 2024 11% 44% 55% 27% 16%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 300% 100% Average 16% 53% 69% 22% 8%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	26% 58% 72% 37% 20% 6% <b>Maximum</b> 23% 60% 78% 28% 16%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5% 2% Minimum 10% 44% 55% 16% 4%
Frend Analysics  Excellent  Good  Ex+Good  Fair  Con't Know  Frend Analysics  Excellent  Good  Ex+Good  Ex+Good  Ex+Good  Ex+Good  Ext-Good	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 8% 2% 2% 29 19% 51% 70% 23%	iled Ri 2001 17% 49% 66% 24% 8% 3% illed Ri 2008 23% 54% 77% 16%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2009 16% 53% 69% 21%	16% 49% 65% 24% 7% 3% 160r L 2010 18% 60% 78%	effers 2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 4% ewis 2011 14% 60% 74% 18%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 10% 4% Count 2012 20% 55% 75%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 9% 3% 15% 2013 15% 68% 26%	2007 16% 45% 61% 26% 10% 4%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8% 3% 2015 14% 52% 66% 23%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 2% 2016 20% 52% 72% 20%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4% 2017 18% 46% 64% 27%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3% 2018 - - -	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2019 15% 59% 74% 17%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3%  2020 23% 52% 75% 18%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3% 2021 11% 52% 63% 28%	18% 43% 61% 29% 9% 2% 2022 10% 46% 56% 28%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2% 2023 12% 50% 62% 24%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10% 4% 2024 11% 44% 55% 27%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 300% 100% Average 16% 53% 69% 22% 8% 1%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	26% 58% 72% 37% 20% 6% <b>Maximum</b> 23% 60% 78% 28%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5% 2% <i>Minimum</i> 10% 44% 55% 16%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Con't Know  Frend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Fair Food Food Food Food Food Food Food Foo	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 8% 2% 26is - Deta 2007 19% 51% 70% 23% 6% 1%	iled R. 2001 17% 49% 66% 24% 8% 3% iled R. 2008 23% 54% 77% 16% 7%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 2009 16% 53% 69% 21% 0%	2003 16% 49% 65% 224% 3% 2010 18% 60% 78% 16% 4% 2%	effers 2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 4% 4% ewis 2011 14% 60% 74% 18% 19%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 40% 4% 2012 20% 55% 75% 17% 17%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 9% 3% 15% 53% 68% 4% 3%	2007 16% 45% 61% 26% 10% 4%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8% 3% 2015 14% 66% 23% 66% 23% 0%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 2% 2016 20% 52% 72% 20% 7%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4% 2017 18% 46% 64% 27% 7%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2019 15% 59% 74% 17% 8%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3%  2020 23% 52% 75% 18% 5%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3% 2021 11% 52% 63% 28% 9%	18% 43% 61% 29% 2% 2% 2022 10% 46% 56% 28% 12%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2% 2023 12% 50% 62% 24% 10%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10% 4% 2024 11% 44% 55% 27% 16%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 300% 100% Average 16% 53% 69% 22% 8%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	26% 58% 72% 37% 20% 6% <b>Maximum</b> 23% 60% 78% 28% 16%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5% 2% Minimum 10% 44% 55% 16% 4%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	2000 16% 50% 8% 25% 8% 2% 19% 51% 70% 23% 6% 1%	iled Re 2001 17% 49% 66% 8% 3% illed Re 2008 23% 77% 16% 7% 1%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 2009 16% 53% 69% 21% 0%	2003 16% 49% 65% 224% 3% 2010 18% 60% 4% 2%	2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 4% 2011 14% 60% 74% 18% 79 19%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 10% 4% 2012 20% 55% 17% 77% 1%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 3% 2013 15% 53% 68% 46% 47% 38	2014 14% 2014 21% 21% 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8% 3% 2015 14% 52% 66% 23% 12% 0%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 2% 2016 20% 52% 72% 1%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4% 2017 18% 46% 64% 27% 7%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2019 15% 59% 74% 17% 8%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3%  2020 23% 52% 75% 18% 5%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3% 2021 11% 52% 63% 28% 9%	18% 43% 61% 29% 2% 2% 2022 10% 46% 56% 28% 12%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2% 2023 12% 50% 62% 24% 10%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10% 4% 2024 11% 44% 55% 27% 16%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 3% 100%  Average 16% 53% 69% 22% 8% 1% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	26% 58% 72% 37% 20% 6%  Maximum 23% 60% 78% 28% 16% 4%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5% 2% Minimum 10% 44% 55% 16% 4% 0%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysi Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysi	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 8% 2% 2% 2007 19% 51% 70% 23% 6% 1% 1% 2015	iled R: 2001 17% 49% 66% 24% 8% 3% iled R: 2008 7% 16% 7% 1%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2009 16% 69% 21% 10% 0%	2003 16% 49% 65% 24% 3% 1 for L 2010 18% 60% 4% 2% 16% 4% 2%	2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 4% 2011 14% 60% 18% 17%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 10% 4% 2012 20012 20% 75% 17% 7% 1%	2006 17% 47% 64% 9% 3% 15% 53% 68% 26% 4% 3%	2017 16% 45% 61% 26% 10% 4% 2014 14% 59% 6% 6% 0%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8% 3% 2015 14% 66% 23% 66% 12% 0%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 2% 2016 20% 72% 20% 7% 1%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4% 2017 18% 46% 64% 27% 7%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2019 15% 59% 74% 17% 8%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3%  2020 23% 52% 75% 18% 5%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3% 2021 11% 52% 63% 28% 9%	18% 43% 61% 29% 2% 2% 2022 10% 46% 56% 28% 12%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2% 2023 12% 50% 62% 24% 10%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10% 4% 2024 11% 44% 55% 27% 16%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 3% 100%  Average 16% 53% 69% 22% 8% 1% 100%  Average	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	26% 58% 72% 37% 20% 6% <b>Maximum</b> 23% 60% 78% 28% 16% 4%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5% 2% Minimum 10% 44% 55% 16% 4% 0%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 8% 2% 2% 2% 19% 66% 11% 2007 19% 66% 11% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21	iled R:  2001  17% 49% 66% 8% 3%  iled R:  2008 21% 77% 16% 7% 1%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2009 16% 53% 69% 21% 0% 21% 10% 0%	2003 16% 49% 65% 24% 7% 3% 2010 18% 60% 4% 2% 4% 2% 16% 4% 2%	effers 2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 4% 2011 14% 60% 74% 18% 17% 11%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 40% 4% 2012 20% 55% 75% 17% 77% 11%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 9% 3% 2013 15% 53% 68% 4% 3%	2014 16% 45% 61% 26% 10% 4% 2014 14% 59% 6% 0%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8% 3% 2015 14% 66% 22% 0% 2023 8%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 2% 2016 20% 72% 20% 7% 1%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4% 2017 18% 46% 64% 27% 7%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2019 15% 59% 74% 17% 8%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3%  2020 23% 52% 75% 18% 5%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3% 2021 11% 52% 63% 28% 9%	18% 43% 61% 29% 2% 2% 2022 10% 46% 56% 28% 12%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2% 2023 12% 50% 62% 24% 10%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10% 4% 2024 11% 44% 55% 27% 16%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 100% Average 16% 53% 69% 22% 8% 100% Average 13%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	26% 58% 72% 37% 20% 6%  **Maximum 23% 60% 78% 28% 16% 4%  **Maximum 19%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5% 2%    **Minimum 10% 44% 455% 16% 4% 0% **  **Minimum 7% **
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 8% 2% 2% 2007 19% 51% 70% 23% 6% 1% 1% 2015	iled R: 2001 17% 49% 66% 24% 8% 3% iled R: 2008 7% 16% 7% 1%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2009 16% 69% 21% 10% 0%	2003 16% 49% 65% 24% 7% 3% 2010 18% 60% 78% 46% 2% 2018 19% 46%	effers 2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 4% 2011 14% 60% 74% 18% 77% 11%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 10% 4% 2012 20% 55% 75% 17% 17% 18 2020 13% 47%	2006 17% 47% 64% 9% 3% 15% 53% 68% 26% 4% 3%	2007 16% 45% 61% 26% 10% 4% 2014 14% 59% 6% 0% 21% 21% 21% 29% 36%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8% 3% 2015 14% 52% 66% 23% 12% 0%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 2% 2016 20% 52% 7% 1% 2024 7% 30%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4% 2017 18% 46% 64% 27% 7%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2019 15% 59% 74% 17% 8%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3%  2020 23% 52% 75% 18% 5%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3% 2021 11% 52% 63% 28% 9%	18% 43% 61% 29% 2% 2% 2022 10% 46% 56% 28% 12%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2% 2023 12% 50% 62% 24% 10%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10% 4% 2024 11% 44% 55% 27% 16%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 100% Average 16% 53% 69% 22% 8% 100% Average 13% 42%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know	26% 58% 72% 37% 20% 6%   **Maximum 23% 60% 78% 28% 16% 4%   **Maximum 19% 50%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5% 2%  Minimum 10% 44% 55% 46% 0%  Minimum 7% 30%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Foor Don't know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 8% 2% 2is - Deta 2007 19% 51% 6% 1% 1% 16% 50%	iled Re 2001 17% 49% 49% 66% 8% 3% iled Re 2003 23% 54% 77% 16% 11% 43% 43%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2009 16% 53% 69% 21% 10% 0% 21% 14% 14%	2003 16% 49% 65% 24% 7% 3% 2010 18% 60% 4% 2% 4% 2% 16% 4% 2%	effers 2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 4% 2011 14% 60% 74% 18% 17% 11%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 40% 4% 2012 20% 55% 75% 17% 77% 11%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 9% 3% 2013 15% 53% 68% 4% 3%	2014 16% 45% 61% 26% 10% 4% 2014 14% 59% 6% 0%	2008 18% 47% 65% 23% 8% 3% 2015 14% 66% 22% 0% 2023 8%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 2% 2016 20% 72% 20% 7% 1%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4% 2017 18% 46% 64% 27% 7%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2019 15% 59% 74% 17% 8%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3%  2020 23% 52% 75% 18% 5%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3% 2021 11% 52% 63% 28% 9%	18% 43% 61% 29% 2% 2% 2022 10% 46% 56% 28% 12%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2% 2023 12% 50% 62% 24% 10%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10% 4% 2024 11% 44% 55% 27% 16%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 100% Average 16% 53% 69% 22% 8% 100% Average 13%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	26% 58% 72% 37% 20% 6%  **Maximum 23% 60% 78% 28% 16% 4%  **Maximum 19%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5% 2%    **Minimum 10% 44% 455% 16% 4% 0% **  **Minimum 7% **
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	16% - Deta 2000 16% - 50% - 66% 25% - 8% - 2% 2007 19% - 51% - 70% 23% - 6% 1% 105 - Deta 2015 16% - 50% - 66%	iled Re 2001 17% 49% 49% 66% 8% 3% iled Re 2003 23% 77% 16% 7% 1% iled Re 2016 11% 43% 54%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2009 16% 53% 69% 21% 10% 0% 21% 46% 64%	2003 16% 49% 65% 24% 7% 3% 2010 18% 60% 4% 2% 2018 19% 46% 65%	effers 2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 4% 2011 14% 60% 7% 18% 7% 19%	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 40% 44% 2012 20% 75% 17% 10% 13% 47% 60%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 9% 3% 15% 53% 4% 3% 2013 15% 4% 3% 4% 2013 15% 68% 4% 3%	2007 16% 45% 61% 26% 10% 4% 2014 14% 59% 6% 6% 0% 2022 9% 36% 45%	2008 18% 47% 65% 8% 3% 2015 14% 52% 66% 23% 66% 23% 66% 22% 66% 44%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 2% 2016 20% 52% 7% 1% 2024 7% 30% 37%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4% 2017 18% 46% 64% 27% 7%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2019 15% 59% 74% 17% 8%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3%  2020 23% 52% 75% 18% 5%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3% 2021 11% 52% 63% 28% 9%	18% 43% 61% 29% 2% 2% 2022 10% 46% 56% 28% 12%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2% 2023 12% 50% 62% 24% 10%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10% 4% 2024 11% 44% 55% 27% 16%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 3% 100% Average 16% 53% 69% 22% 8% 100% Average 13% 42% 54%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	26% 58% 72% 37% 20% 6%   **Maximum 23% 60% 78% 28% 16% 4%   **Maximum 19% 50% 66%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5% 2%  Minimum 10% 44% 55% 4% 0%  Minimum 7% 30% 37%
Ex+Good Fair	2000 16% 50% 66% 25% 8% 2% 28 28 29 2007 19% 51% 70% 23% 6% 1% 2015 16% 50% 66% 28%	iled R.  2001 17% 49% 66% 66% 3% iled R  2008 23% 16% 7% 16% 11% 11% 11% 43% 33%	2002 14% 50% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2009 16% 53% 69% 21% 10% 0% 221% 10% 0% 46% 46% 46% 44%	160 J 2003 16% 49% 65% 49% 65% 24% 3% 16% 49% 60% 78% 16% 49% 2% 16% 65% 22% 65% 22%	2004 14% 50% 64% 25% 4% 2011 14% 60% 77% 118% 77% 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2005 13% 45% 58% 28% 10% 44%  Coun 2012 20% 55% 17% 7% 1%  wrenc 2020 13% 47% 60% 31%	2006 17% 47% 64% 24% 9% 3% 15% 53% 4% 3% 2013 15% 4% 3% 4% 2013 15% 68% 4% 3%	2014 16% 45% 61% 26% 10% 4% 2014 14% 59% 6% 6% 0% 20% 21% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6	2008 18% 47% 65% 8% 3% 2015 14% 52% 66% 23% 12% 0% 2023 8% 36% 44% 33%	14% 50% 64% 26% 8% 22% 2016 20% 52% 72% 11% 2024 77% 30% 37% 34%	16% 47% 63% 25% 9% 4% 2017 18% 46% 64% 27% 7%	15% 46% 61% 26% 10% 3%	15% 49% 64% 25% 8% 3% 2019 15% 59% 74% 17% 8%	15% 44% 59% 27% 12% 3%  2020 23% 52% 75% 18% 5%	15% 48% 63% 26% 9% 3% 2021 11% 52% 63% 28% 9%	18% 43% 61% 29% 2% 2% 2022 10% 46% 56% 28% 12%	14% 58% 72% 21% 5% 2% 2023 12% 50% 62% 24% 10%	12% 47% 59% 26% 10% 4% 2024 11% 44% 55% 27% 16%	16% 51% 67% 23% 5%	  	26% 43% 69% 22% 6%	- - -	9% 40% 49% 36% 10%	10% 36% ### 37% 11%	9% 37% 47% 30% 20%	Average 15% 47% 62% 26% 9% 100% Average 16% 53% 69% 22% 1% 100%  Average 13% 42% 54% 30%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	26% 58% 72% 37% 20% 6%   Maximum 23% 60% 78% 28% 16% 4%   Maximum 19% 50% 66% 36%	9% 36% 46% 21% 5% 2%  Minimum 10% 44% 55% 4% 0%  Minimum 7% 30% 37% 22%

# Table 21 – Availability of Good Jobs

Trend Analys	is – Deta 2000	iled R		2003			2006		2008	2009	2010	2044	2042	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2049	2019	2020	2024	2022	2022	2024	A			
Excellent		_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_	_		_	_	_			_	_	_		_			Average	F	Maximum	Minimun
Good	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	5%	5%	5%	9%	3%	8%	7%	4%	2%	Excellent	9%	0%
	16%	7%	9%	10%		14%		24%	19%	9%	13%	11%	14%	14%	12%	17%	13%	18%	23%	20%				25%	_	17%	Good	27%	7%
Ex+Good	17%	7%	9%	10%		15%		25%	_	9%	14%	11%	15%	15%	13%	18%	17%	23%	28%				32%		26%	19%	Ex+Good	32%	7%
Fair	30%	25%	27%	27%		31%		32%	_	27%	28%	28%	29%	30%	28%	35%	38%	38%	35%	36%			33%	_	36%	31%	Fair	38%	25%
Poor	51%	66%	60%	60%		52%		39%		61%	54%	59%	51%	52%	55%	43%	43%	32%	29%	32%			27%	_	29%	45%	Poor	66%	27%
Don't Know	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	6%	8%	8%	5%	7%	8%	9%	10%	5% 100%	Don't know	10%	2%
Trend Analys	is – Deta	iled R	esults	for	Lewis	Cour	ty:																						
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024								Average		Maximum	Minimun
Excellent	2%	1%	2%	3%	0%	0%	3%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	3%	10%	6%	6%	4%								3%	Excellent	10%	0%
Good	15%	12%	9%	11%	10%	13%	12%	16%	13%	14%	22%	25%	22%	22%	26%	30%	30%	25%								18%	Good	30%	9%
Ex+Good	17%	13%	11%	14%	10%	13%	15%	16%	15%	16%	24%	26%	26%	25%	36%	36%	36%	29%								21%	Ex+Good	36%	10%
Fair	41%	40%	31%	28%	29%	43%	29%	30%	36%	41%	39%	40%	43%	44%	31%	35%	33%	32%								36%	Fair	44%	28%
Poor	41%	45%	56%	55%	57%	44%	53%	53%	48%	43%	34%	32%	29%	27%	31%	25%	27%	37%								41%	Poor	57%	25%
Don't know	2%	3%	2%	4%	4%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%	4%	4%	2%								2%	Don't know	4%	1%
																										100%			
Trend Analys																													
	2015				2019					2024																Average		Maximum	Minimun
Excellent	1%	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%	7%	6%	1%	1%																3%	Excellent	7%	1%
Good	9%	8%	12%	13%	14%	12%		22%	15%	11%																13%	Good	22%	8%
Ex+Good	10%	12%	15%	15%	16%	13%	25%	28%	16%	12%																16%	Ex+Good	28%	10%
Fair	32%	26%	27%	33%	41%	34%	32%	30%	36%	37%																33%	Fair	41%	26%
Poor	56%	62%	58%	52%	42%	52%	43%	41%	46%	49%																<i>50%</i>	Poor	62%	41%
Don't know	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%																1%	Don't know	2%	1%
Table 22	– She	oppi	ing	Ор	port	tuni	ties	;			•															101%			
			•	-	-																					101%			
Table 22			•	for .	-	son C		<u>/:</u>	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	101%  Average		Maximum	Minimum
	is – <i>Deta</i>	iled R	esults	2003	Jeffer 2004	son C	2006	2007			_	_				_				2019	2020		_	_	_	Average	Excellent		
Trend Analys	is – Deta 2000 15%	iled Ro 2001 13%	2002	2003	Jeffer: 2004 12%	2005	2006 22%	2007 23%	23%	15%	17%	17%	18%	19%	19%	25%	16%	19%	15%	-	-	13%	10%	11%	10%	Average 16%	<b>Excellent Good</b>	25%	10%
Trend Analys Excellent Good	is – Deta 2000 15% 41%	2001 13% 38%	2002 10% 36%	2003 12% 36%	Jeffer: 2004 12% 40%	2005 14% 43%	2006 22% 47%	2007 23% 48%	23% 48%	15% 42%	17% 42%	17% 45%	18% 46%	19% 45%	19% 44%	25% 42%	16% 48%	19% 43%	15% 47%			13% 37%	10% 35%	11% 35%	10% 35%	Average 16% 42%	Good	25% 48%	10% 35%
Trend Analys  Excellent  Good  Ex+Good	is – Deta 2000 15% 41% 56%	2001 13% 38% 51%	2002 10% 36% 46%	2003 12% 36% 48%	2004 12% 40% 52%	2005 14% 43% 57%	2006 22% 47% 69%	2007 23% 48% 71%	23% 48% 71%	15% 42% 57%	17% 42% 59%	17% 45% 62%	18% 46% 64%	19% 45% 64%	19% 44% 63%	25% 42% 67%	16% 48% 64%	19% 43% 62%	15% 47% 62%	 	 	13% 37% 50%	10% 35% 45%	11% 35% ###	10% 35% 45%	Average 16% 42% 58%	Good Ex+Good	25% 48% 71%	10% 35% 45%
Trend Analys  Excellent  Good  Ex+Good  Fair	is – Deta 2000 15% 41% 56% 28%	2001 13% 38% 51% 30%	esults 2002 10% 36% 46% 32%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30%	Jeffer 2004 12% 40% 52% 29%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28%	2006 22% 47% 69% 22%	2007 23% 48% 71% 21%	23% 48% 71% 21%	15% 42% 57% 28%	17% 42% 59% 26%	17% 45% 62% 27%	18% 46% 64% 26%	19% 45% 64% 24%	19% 44% 63% 24%	25% 42% 67% 21%	16% 48% 64% 29%	19% 43% 62% 29%	15% 47% 62% 26%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31%	10% 35% 45% 34%	11% 35% ### 36%	10% 35% <i>45%</i> 36%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28%	Good Ex+Good Fair	25% 48% 71% 36%	10% 35% 45% 21%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	15% 41% 56% 28% 14%	2001 13% 38% 51% 30% 18%	2002 10% 36% 46% 32% 21%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21%	2004 12% 40% 52% 29% 15%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12%	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 7%	2007 23% 48% 71% 21% 6%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6%	15% 42% 57% 28% 14%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	25% 48% 71% 36% 21%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6%
Trend Analys  Excellent  Good  Ex+Good  Fair	is – Deta 2000 15% 41% 56% 28%	2001 13% 38% 51% 30%	esults 2002 10% 36% 46% 32%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30%	Jeffer 2004 12% 40% 52% 29%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28%	2006 22% 47% 69% 22%	2007 23% 48% 71% 21%	23% 48% 71% 21%	15% 42% 57% 28%	17% 42% 59% 26%	17% 45% 62% 27%	18% 46% 64% 26%	19% 45% 64% 24%	19% 44% 63% 24%	25% 42% 67% 21%	16% 48% 64% 29%	19% 43% 62% 29%	15% 47% 62% 26%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31%	10% 35% 45% 34%	11% 35% ### 36%	10% 35% <i>45%</i> 36%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28%	Good Ex+Good Fair	25% 48% 71% 36%	35% 45% 21%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	is - Deta 2000 15% 41% 56% 28% 14% 1%	2001 13% 38% 51% 30% 18% 1%	2002 10% 36% 46% 32% 21% 2%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1%	2004 12% 40% 52% 29% 15% 4%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3%	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 7% 1%	2007 23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 2%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 1%	15% 42% 57% 28% 14% 1%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 2%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	25% 48% 71% 36% 21%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys	is - Deta 2000 15% 41% 56% 28% 14% 1%	2001 13% 38% 51% 30% 18% 1%	2002 10% 36% 46% 32% 21% 2% esults	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1%	Jeffer. 2004 12% 40% 52% 29% 15% 4%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3% Court	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 7% 1%	2007 23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 2%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 1%	15% 42% 57% 28% 14% 1%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 2%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	25% 48% 71% 36% 21%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know	is – Deta 2000 15% 41% 56% 28% 14% 1%	iled Ro 2001 13% 38% 51% 30% 18% 1% illed Ro 2008	2002 10% 36% 46% 32% 21% 2%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1%	Jeffer: 2004 12% 40% 52% 29% 15% 4%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3%	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 7% 1%	2007 23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 2%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 1%	15% 42% 57% 28% 14% 1%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10% 3%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 2% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	25% 48% 71% 36% 21% 4%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys	2000 15% 41% 56% 28% 14% 1% is – Deta	2001 13% 38% 51% 30% 18% 1%	2002 10% 36% 46% 32% 21% 2% esults	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1%	Jeffer. 2004 12% 40% 52% 29% 15% 4% Lewis 2011	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3% Court	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 7% 1% 0ty:	2007 23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 2%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 1%	15% 42% 57% 28% 14% 1%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10% 3%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 2% 100% Average	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	25% 48% 71% 36% 21% 4%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys Excellent	2000 15% 41% 56% 28% 14% 1% is – Deta	iled Ro 2001 13% 38% 51% 30% 18% 1% illed Ro 2008	esults 2002 10% 36% 46% 32% 21% 2% esults 2009 6%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1% 5 for 1	Jeffer. 2004 12% 40% 52% 29% 15% 4% Lewis 2011	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3% Court	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 7% 1% 0ty:	2007 23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 2%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 1%	15% 42% 57% 28% 14% 1%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1% 2019	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10% 3%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2% 2024	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 2% 100% Average 6%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	25% 48% 71% 36% 21% 4% <b>Maximum</b> 12%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1% <i>Minimum</i> 3%
Trend Analys  Excellent  Good  Ex+Good  Fair  Poor  Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent  Good	is - Deta 2000 15% 41% 56% 28% 14% 1% is - Deta 2007 7% 29%	iled Ro 2001 13% 38% 51% 30% 18% 1% iled Ro 2008	esults 2002 10% 36% 46% 32% 21% 2% esults 2009 6% 29%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1% 2010 3% 29%	Jeffer: 2004 12% 40% 52% 29% 15% 4% Lewis 2011 3% 25% 28%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3% Cour. 2012 5% 33%	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 1% 2013 9% 22% 31%	2007 23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 2% 2014 5% 31%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 1% 2015 5% 29%	15% 42% 57% 28% 14% 1% 2016 8% 28%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2% 2017 6% 33%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1% 2019 7% 27%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10% 3%  2021 5% 23%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2% 2022 6% 22%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1% 2023 5% 34%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2% 2024 3% 26%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 2% 100% Average 6% 28%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good	25% 48% 71% 36% 21% 4% <b>Maximum</b> 12% 34%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1% <b>Minimum</b> 3% 22%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good	is - Deta 2000 15% 41% 56% 28% 14% 1% is - Deta 2007 7% 29% 36%	illed Ri 2001 13% 38% 51% 30% 18% 1% illed Ri 2008 12% 28% 40%	2002 10% 36% 46% 32% 21% 2% 2009 6% 29% 35%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1% 2010 3% 29% 32%	Jeffer: 2004 12% 40% 52% 29% 4% Lewis 2011 3% 25% 42%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3% Cour. 2012 5% 33%	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 7% 1% 2013 9% 22% 31% 46%	2007 23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 2% 2014 5% 31% 36%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 1% 2015 5% 29% 34%	15% 42% 57% 28% 14% 1% 2016 8% 28% 36%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%  2017 6% 33% 39%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1% 2019 7% 27% 34%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10% 3%  2021 5% 23% 28%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2% 2022 6% 22% 28%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1% 2023 5% 34% 39%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2%  2024 3% 26% 29%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 2% 100% Average 6% 28% 34%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good	25% 48% 71% 36% 21% 4% <b>Maximum</b> 12% 34% 40%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1% Minimum 3% 22% 28%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	is - Deta 2000 15% 41% 56% 28% 14% 1% is - Deta 2007 7% 29% 33% 31%	iled Ro 2001 13% 38% 51% 30% 18% 1% iled Ro 2008 12% 28% 40% 34% 26%	2002 10% 36% 46% 32% 21% 22% 2009 6% 29% 35% 38% 26%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1% 2010 3% 29% 32% 35% 32%	Jeffer.  2004  12% 40% 52% 29% 15% 4%  Lewis 2011 3% 25% 28% 42% 29%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3% Court 2012 5% 33% 38% 38% 24%	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 1% 2013 9% 22% 31% 46% 22%	2007 23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 2% 2014 5% 31% 36% 37% 27%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 1% 2015 5% 29% 34% 38% 28%	15% 42% 57% 28% 14% 1%  2016 8% 28% 36% 36% 26%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%  2017 6% 33% 39% 40% 21%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1%  2019 7% 27% 34% 40% 24%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10% 3%  2021 5% 23% 28% 39% 32%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2% 2022 6% 22% 28% 46% 26%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1% 2023 5% 34% 39% 22%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2%  2024 3% 26% 29% 46% 24%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 20% Average 6% 28% 34% 39% 26%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	25% 48% 71% 36% 21% 4% <b>Maximum</b> 12% 34% 40%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1% Minimum 3% 22% 28% 33%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	is - Deta 2000 15% 41% 56% 28% 14% 1% is - Deta 2007 7% 29% 36% 33%	illed Ri 2001 13% 38% 51% 30% 18% 1% illed Ri 2008 12% 28% 40% 34%	2002 10% 36% 46% 32% 21% 22% 2009 6% 29% 35% 38%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1% 2010 3% 32% 35%	Jeffer: 2004 12% 40% 52% 29% 4% Lewis 2011 3% 25% 42%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3% Courn 2012 5% 33% 38% 38%	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 1% 21% 2013 9% 22% 31% 46%	2007 23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 2% 2014 5% 31% 36% 37%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 1% 2015 5% 29% 34% 38%	15% 42% 57% 28% 14% 1%  2016 8% 28% 36% 38%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%  2017 6% 33% 40%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1%  2019 7% 27% 34% 40%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10% 3%  2021 5% 23% 28% 39%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2% 2022 6% 22% 28% 46%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1% 2023 5% 34% 39%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2%  2024 3% 26% 29% 46%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 2% 100% Average 6% 28% 34% 39%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Poor	25% 48% 71% 36% 21% 4%   Maximum 12% 34% 40% 46% 32%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1% Minimum 3% 22% 28% 33% 21%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	is - Deta 2000 15% 41% 56% 28% 14% 1% is - Deta 2007 7% 29% 36% 33% 31% 1%	iled Revenue and the state of t	2002 10% 36% 46% 21% 21% 2009 6% 35% 38% 26% 1%	2033 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1% 2010 3% 32% 32% 0%	Jeffer.  2004 12% 40% 40% 52% 29% 4%  Lewis 2011 3% 25% 42% 29% 0%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3% Court 2012 5% 33% 38% 24% 1%	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 1% 2013 9% 22% 31% 46% 22% 0%	2007 23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 22% 2014 5% 31% 36% 37% 0%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 1% 2015 5% 29% 34% 38% 0%	15% 42% 57% 28% 14% 1% 2016 8% 28% 36% 38% 0%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%  2017 6% 33% 39% 40% 21%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1%  2019 7% 27% 34% 40% 24%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10% 3%  2021 5% 23% 28% 39% 32%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2% 2022 6% 22% 28% 46% 26%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1% 2023 5% 34% 39% 22%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2%  2024 3% 26% 29% 46% 24%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 2% 100%  Average 6% 28% 34% 39% 16% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Poor	25% 48% 71% 36% 21% 4%   Maximum 12% 34% 40% 46% 32% 2%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1% <b>Minimun</b> 3% 22% 28% 33% 21% 0%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analys	is - Deta 2000 15% 411% 56% 28% 14% 1% is - Deta 2007 7% 29% 36% 331% 11% is - Deta 2015	iled R: 2001 13% 38% 51% 30% 18% 11% iled R: 208% 40% 34% 26% 11%	2002 10% 46% 46% 21% 21% 20% 21% 2003 6% 35% 38% 26% 1%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1% 2010 3% 29% 32% 32% 0%	Jeffer.  2004  12% 40% 40% 52% 29% 4%  Lewis  2011 3% 25% 42% 29% 0%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3% Court 2012 5% 33% 38% 38% 14%	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 1% 2013 9% 22% 0% 22% 0%	2007 23% 48% 71% 6% 221% 5% 31% 36% 37% 0%	23% 48% 71% 6% 11% 2015 5% 29% 38% 0%	15% 42% 42% 57% 14% 11% 2016 8% 28% 36% 36% 0%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%  2017 6% 33% 39% 40% 21%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1%  2019 7% 27% 34% 40% 24%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10% 3%  2021 5% 23% 28% 39% 32%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2% 2022 6% 22% 28% 46% 26%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1% 2023 5% 34% 39% 22%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2%  2024 3% 26% 29% 46% 24%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 2% 100%  Average 6% 28% 34% 39% 16% 100%  Average	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	25% 48% 71% 36% 21% 4%  Maximum 12% 34% 40% 46% 32% 2%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1% Minimum 3% 22% 28% 33% 21% 0%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	is - Deta 2000 15% 41% 56% 28% 14% 1% is - Deta 2007 7% 29% 36% 33% 31% 1%	iled Revenue and the state of t	2002 10% 36% 46% 21% 21% 2009 6% 35% 38% 26% 1%	2033 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1% 2010 3% 32% 32% 0%	Jeffer.  2004 12% 40% 40% 52% 29% 4%  Lewis 2011 3% 25% 42% 29% 0%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3% Court 2012 5% 33% 38% 38% 14%	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 1% 2013 9% 22% 31% 46% 22% 0%	2007 23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 22% 2014 5% 31% 36% 37% 0%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 1% 2015 5% 29% 34% 38% 0%	15% 42% 57% 28% 14% 1% 2016 8% 28% 36% 38% 0%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%  2017 6% 33% 39% 40% 21%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1%  2019 7% 27% 34% 40% 24%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10% 3%  2021 5% 23% 28% 39% 32%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2% 2022 6% 22% 28% 46% 26%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1% 2023 5% 34% 39% 22%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2%  2024 3% 26% 29% 46% 24%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 2% 100%  Average 6% 28% 34% 39% 16% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Poor	25% 48% 71% 36% 21% 4%   Maximum 12% 34% 40% 46% 32% 2%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1% <b>Minimun</b> 3% 22% 28% 33% 21% 0%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analys	is - Deta 2000 15% 411% 56% 28% 14% 1% is - Deta 2007 7% 29% 36% 331% 11% is - Deta 2015	iled R: 2001 13% 38% 51% 30% 18% 11% iled R: 208% 40% 34% 26% 11%	2002 10% 46% 46% 21% 21% 20% 21% 2003 6% 35% 38% 26% 1%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1% 2010 3% 29% 32% 32% 0%	Jeffer.  2004 12% 40% 40% 52% 29% 4%  Lewis 2011 3% 25% 42% 29% 0%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3% Court 2012 5% 33% 38% 38% 14%	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 1% 2013 9% 22% 0% 22% 0%	2007 23% 48% 71% 6% 221% 5% 31% 36% 37% 0%	23% 48% 71% 6% 11% 2015 5% 29% 38% 0%	15% 42% 42% 57% 14% 11% 2016 8% 28% 36% 36% 0%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%  2017 6% 33% 39% 40% 21%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1%  2019 7% 27% 34% 40% 24%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10% 3%  2021 5% 23% 28% 39% 32%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2% 2022 6% 22% 28% 46% 26%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1% 2023 5% 34% 39% 22%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2%  2024 3% 26% 29% 46% 24%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 2% 100%  Average 6% 28% 34% 39% 16% 100%  Average	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	25% 48% 71% 36% 21% 4%  Maximum 12% 34% 40% 46% 32% 2%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1% Minimum 3% 22% 28% 33% 21% 0%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analys  Trend Analys  Excellent Good	is - Deta 2000 15% 411% 56% 28% 14% 1% is - Deta 2007 7% 29% 36% 33% 31% 1% is - Deta 2015 5%	iled R: 2001 13% 38% 51% 30% 18% 11% illed R: 208% 28% 26% 1%	2002 10% 36% 46% 32% 21% 2% 2009 6% 29% 35% 1% 20017 5%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1% 2010 3% 32% 32% 32% 32% 0%	2004 12% 40% 52% 29% 15% 4%  Lewis 2011 3% 42% 29% 0%	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3%  Count 2012 5% 38% 38% 1%  wrenc 2020 -	22% 47% 69% 22% 1% 1% 2013 9% 22% 31% 46% 0% 22% 0%	2007 23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 2% 2014 5% 31% 36% 37% 0%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 11% 2015 5% 29% 38% 0%	15% 42% 42% 57% 28% 14% 1% 2016 8% 28% 36% 0% 2024 0%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%  2017 6% 33% 39% 40% 21%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1%  2019 7% 27% 34% 40% 24%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10% 3%  2021 5% 23% 28% 39% 32%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2% 2022 6% 22% 28% 46% 26%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1% 2023 5% 34% 39% 22%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2%  2024 3% 26% 29% 46% 24%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 20% 100%  Average 6% 28% 39% 26% 1% 100%  Average 4%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	25% 48% 71% 36% 21% 4%   Maximum 12% 34% 40% 46% 32% 2%  Maximum 11%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1% Minimum 3% 22% 28% 33% 21% 0% Minimum 0%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analys	is - Deta 2000 15% 41% 56% 28% 14% 1% is - Deta 2007 7% 29% 38% 31% 1% is - Deta 2015 5% 16%	iled Re 2001 13% 38% 51% 18% 18% 2003 12% 28% 40% 34% 14% 11% 2016 3% 17%	2002 10% 36% 46% 32% 21% 2% 2009 6% 29% 35% 1% 2017 5% 13%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 2010 3% 2010 3% 32% 32% 32% 0% 29% 0% 2018 44% 84% 84%	Jeffer.  2004 12% 40% 52% 29% 4% Lewis 25% 225% 225% 0%  St. La 2019	2005 14% 43% 57% 28% 12% 3%  Count 2012 5% 38% 24% 1%  wrenc 2020	22% 47% 69% 22% 1% 2013 9% 22% 31% 46% 22% 0% 221 11% 17%	2007 23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 2% 2014 5% 31% 36% 37% 0% 2022 5% 16%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 1% 2015 5% 29% 34% 38% 28% 0% 2023 2% 15%	15% 42% 42% 57% 28% 14% 1% 2016 8% 28% 36% 36% 0% 2024 0% 11%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%  2017 6% 33% 39% 40% 21%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1%  2019 7% 27% 34% 40% 24%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10% 3%  2021 5% 23% 28% 39% 32%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2% 2022 6% 22% 28% 46% 26%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1% 2023 5% 34% 39% 22%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2%  2024 3% 26% 29% 46% 24%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 2% 100% Average 6% 28% 34% 39% 26% 1% 100% Average 4% 14%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good	25% 48% 71% 36% 21% 4%   Maximum 12% 40% 46% 32% 2%  Maximum 11% 17%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1% Minimum 3% 22% 28% 33% 21% 0% Minimum 0% 8%
Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analys  Excellent Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Ex+Good	is - Deta 2000 15% 41% 56% 28% 14% 1% is - Deta 2007 7% 29% 33% 1% 1% is - Deta 2015 5% 16% 21%	iled Re 2001 13% 38% 51% 18% 18% 1% iled Re 2003 12% 40% 34% 26% 11% iiled Re 2016 3% 17% 20%	2002 10% 36% 46% 22% 21% 22% 29% 35% 35% 26% 1% 2017 5% 13% 18%	2003 12% 36% 48% 30% 21% 1% 2010 3% 29% 32% 35% 32% 0% 5 for 2018 4% 8% 12%	Jeffer. 2004 12% 40% 52% 40% 55% 29% 4% Lewis 2011 3% 42% 0% St. Lat 2019	2005 14% 43% 43% 57% 28% 12% 33%  Count 55% 33% 43% 1%  wrenc 2020	2006 22% 47% 69% 22% 1% 2013 9% 22% 22% 0% 22% 11% 11% 11% 28%	2007 23% 48% 71% 6% 22% 2014 5% 31% 0% 27% 0%	23% 48% 71% 21% 6% 1% 2015 5% 34% 38% 28% 0% 2023 2% 15% 17% 32%	15% 42% 42% 57% 28% 14% 1% 2016 8% 28% 36% 38% 0% 26% 0% 11% 11%	17% 42% 59% 26% 13% 2%  2017 6% 33% 39% 40% 21%	17% 45% 62% 27% 11% 1%	18% 46% 64% 26% 9% 1%  2019 7% 27% 34% 40% 24%	19% 45% 64% 24% 9% 2%	19% 44% 63% 24% 10% 3%  2021 5% 23% 28% 39% 32%	25% 42% 67% 21% 10% 2% 2022 6% 22% 28% 46% 26%	16% 48% 64% 29% 6% 1% 2023 5% 34% 39% 22%	19% 43% 62% 29% 8% 2%  2024 3% 26% 29% 46% 24%	15% 47% 62% 26% 11%	  	  	13% 37% 50% 31% 16%	10% 35% 45% 34% 17%	11% 35% ### 36% 16%	10% 35% 45% 36% 18%	Average 16% 42% 58% 28% 13% 2% 100%  Average 6% 28% 34% 39% 26% 1% 100%  Average 4% 14% 18%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	25% 48% 71% 36% 21% 4%   Maximum 12% 34% 40% 46% 32% 2%   Maximum 11% 17% 28%	10% 35% 45% 21% 6% 1% Minimum 3% 22% 28% 33% 21% 0% Minimum 0% 8% 11%

## Table 23 - Quality of K-12 Education

Table 23		-																											
Trend Analysis	s – Deta	iled R	esults	s for .	Jeffer	son C	ount	tv:																					
	2000	2001	2002			_	2006		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Average		Maximun	Minimum
Excellent	18%	15%	15%	15%	14%	14%	15%	16%	17%	14%	15%	13%	14%	13%	15%	11%	15%	20%	18%	17%	18%	-	10%	13%	13%	15%	Excellent	20%	10%
Good	45%	43%	46%	40%	44%	44%	41%	43%	46%	46%	42%	42%	40%	39%	40%	38%	51%	47%	47%	44%	41%		42%	37%	39%	43%	Good	51%	37%
Ex+Good	63%	58%	61%	55%	58%	58%	56%	59%	63%	60%	57%	55%	54%	52%	55%	49%	66%	67%	65%	61%	59%	-	52%	###	52%	58%	Ex+Good	67%	49%
Fair	20%	24%	22%				21%		21%	25%		27%	26%	26%	27%		22%	15%	18%					25%	26%	23%	Fair	31%	15%
Poor	5%	7%	5%	7%	4%	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%	7%	8%	8%	8%	9%	11%	5%	5%	4%	6%	9%		11%		8%	7%	Poor	11%	4%
Don't Know	13%	11%	12%	16%	18%	15%	16%	15%	11%	9%	11%	10%	12%	13%	9%	10%	7%	13%	13%	13%	12%	-	14%	17%	14%	13%	Don't know	18%	7%
T	. 5.4.																									100%			
Trend Analysis	s – Deta 2007							2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024								Average		Maximun	Minimum
Excellent	37%	33%	39%	_						34%	31%	27%	1010	32%	23%	20%	19%	16%								28%	Excellent	39%	16%
Good	45%	51%	46%			63%	46%		53%	51%	49%	52%		46%	53%	45%	56%	49%								50%	Good	63%	45%
Ex+Good	82%	84%	85%	85%	80%	87%	75%	74%	83%	85%	80%	79%	_	78%	76%	65%	75%	66%								79%	Ex+Good	87%	65%
Fair	12%	11%	6%	8%	10%	10%	13%	21%	10%	9%	12%	10%	_	14%	13%	21%	11%	25%								13%	Fair	25%	6%
Poor	3%	1%	2%	1%	4%	1%	5%	3%	5%	3%	3%	6%	-	3%	6%	9%	7%	4%								4%	Poor	9%	1%
Don't know	3%	4%	7%	7%	6%	2%	7%	2%	3%	3%	5%	5%		4%	5%	5%	6%	6%								5%	Don't know	7%	2%
																			_							100%			
Trend Analysis	s – Deta	iled R	esults	s for S	St. La	wrenc	ce Co	unty:																					
	2015	2016					2021	2022																		Average		Maximun	Minimum
Excellent	13%	18%	20%		13%		-	10%		13%																14%	Excellent	20%	6%
Good	52%	49%	52%			48%	-	39%		42%																49%	Good	59%	39%
Ex+Good	65%	67%	72%	69%	72%	60%	-	49%	56%	55%																63%	Ex+Good	72%	49%
Fair Poor	24%	21%	18%				-	34%	24%	30%																24%	Fair Poor	34%	17%
Don't know	4% 6%	6% 6%	8% 2%	6% 6%	7% 5%	6% 8%	-	11% 6%	13% 6%	9% 6%																8% 6%	Don't know	13% 8%	4% 2%
DOI! CKIIOW	0 /0	0 /0	2 /0	0 /0	3 /6	0 /0	_	0 /0	0 /0	0 /0																100%	DOII t KIIOW	870	270
Table 24	– Ov	eral	l Sta	ate	of t	he l	Loc	al E	cor	nom	V																		
Table 24  Trend Analysis									cor	nom	у																		
Trend Analysis			esults		Jeffer	son C	Count					2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Average		Maximun	Minimum
Trend Analysis	2000 3%	iled R 2001 2%	2002 2%	2003 2%	Jeffer:	2005	2006 4%	2007 4%	2008	2009	2010	2%	2%	2013	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	6%	3%	2%	2%	2024	Average 3%	Excellent	<b>Maximun</b> 6%	Minimum 2%
Trend Analysis  Excellent  Good	2000 3% 25%	2001 2% 14%	2002 2% 16%	2003 2% 16%	2004 2% 18%	2005 2% 22%	2006 4% 25%	2007 4% 27%	2008 3% 21%	2009 2% 13%	2010 2% 17%	2% 17%	2% 21%	3% 20%	3% 20%	3% 29%	3% 20%	4% 32%	4% 32%	3% 29%	6% 29%	3% 25%	2% 22%	2% 18%	4% 23%	3% 22%	Good	6% 32%	2% 13%
Trend Analysis  Excellent  Good  Ex+Good	2000 3% 25% 28%	2001 2% 14% 16%	2002 2% 16% 18%	2003 2% 16% 18%	2004 2% 18% 20%	2005 2005 2% 22% 24%	2006 4% 25% 29%	2007 4% 27% 31%	2008 3% 21% 24%	2009 2% 13% 15%	2010 2% 17% 19%	2% 17% 19%	2% 21% 23%	3% 20% 23%	3% 20% 23%	3% 29% 32%	3% 20% 23%	4% 32% 36%	4% 32% 36%	3% 29% 32%	6% 29% 35%	3% 25% 28%	2% 22% 24%	2% 18% ###	4% 23% 28%	3% 22% 25%	Good Ex+Good	6% 32% 36%	2% 13% 15%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	2000 3% 25% 28% 40%	2001 2% 14% 16% 36%	2002 2% 16% 18% 37%	2003 2003 2% 16% 18% 37%	2004 2% 18% 20% 39%	2005 2005 2% 22% 24% 41%	2006 4% 25% 29% 40%	2007 4% 27% 31% 41%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38%	2% 17% 19% 38%	2% 21% 23% 39%	3% 20% 23% 39%	3% 20% 23% 39%	3% 29% 32% 45%	3% 20% 23% 54%	4% 32% 36% 41%	4% 32% 36% 40%	3% 29% 32% 39%	6% 29% 35% 43%	3% 25% 28% 45%	2% 22% 24% 39%	2% 18% ### 44%	4% 23% 28% 38%	3% 22% 25% 40%	Good Ex+Good Fair	6% 32% 36% 54%	2% 13% 15% 36%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	2000 3% 25% 28% 40% 30%	iled R 2001 2% 14% 16% 36% 47%	2002 2% 16% 18% 37% 43%	2003 2% 16% 18% 37% 43%	2004 2% 18% 20% 39% 38%	2005 2005 22% 22% 24% 41% 32%	2006 4% 25% 29% 40% 30%	2007 4% 27% 31% 41% 26%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	6% 32% 36% 54% 48%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	2000 3% 25% 28% 40%	2001 2% 14% 16% 36%	2002 2% 16% 18% 37%	2003 2003 2% 16% 18% 37%	2004 2% 18% 20% 39%	2005 2005 2% 22% 24% 41%	2006 4% 25% 29% 40%	2007 4% 27% 31% 41%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38%	2% 17% 19% 38%	2% 21% 23% 39%	3% 20% 23% 39%	3% 20% 23% 39%	3% 29% 32% 45%	3% 20% 23% 54%	4% 32% 36% 41%	4% 32% 36% 40%	3% 29% 32% 39%	6% 29% 35% 43%	3% 25% 28% 45%	2% 22% 24% 39%	2% 18% ### 44%	4% 23% 28% 38%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 3%	Good Ex+Good Fair	6% 32% 36% 54%	2% 13% 15% 36%
Trend Analysis  Excellent  Good  Ex+Good  Fair  Poor  Don't Know	2000 3% 25% 28% 40% 30% 2%	iled R 2001 2% 14% 16% 36% 47% 1%	2002 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2%	2003 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2%	2004 2% 18% 20% 39% 38% 3%	2005 2005 22% 22% 24% 41% 32% 3%	2006 4% 25% 29% 40% 30% 2%	2007 4% 27% 31% 41% 26%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	6% 32% 36% 54% 48%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	2000 3% 25% 28% 40% 30% 2%	iled R 2001 2% 14% 16% 36% 47% 1%	esults 2002 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2%	2003 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2%	2004 2% 18% 20% 39% 38% 3% Lewis	2005 2% 22% 24% 41% 32% 3%	2006 4% 25% 29% 40% 30% 2%	2007 4% 27% 31% 41% 26%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35% 2%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48% 1%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 3%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	6% 32% 36% 54% 48%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1%
Trend Analysis  Excellent  Good  Ex+Good  Fair  Poor  Don't Know	s - Deta 2000 3% 25% 28% 40% 30% 2%	iled R 2001 2% 14% 16% 36% 47% 1%	esults 2002 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2%	2003 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2%	2004 2% 18% 20% 39% 38% 3% Lewis	2005 2% 22% 24% 41% 32% 3%	2006 4% 25% 29% 40% 30% 2%	2007 4% 27% 31% 41% 26% 2%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35% 2%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48% 1%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21% 1%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17% 6%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 3% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	6% 32% 36% 54% 48% 8%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis	s - Deta 2000 3% 25% 28% 40% 30% 2% s - Deta 2007	iled R 2001 2% 14% 16% 36% 47% 1% illed R 2008	2002 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% esults	2003 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% 2010	Jeffer. 2004 2% 18% 20% 39% 38% 3% Lewis 2011 1%	2005 2005 22% 24% 41% 32% 3% Court	2006 4% 25% 29% 40% 30% 2%	2007 4% 27% 31% 41% 26% 2%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35% 2%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48% 1%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21% 1%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17% 6%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 3% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	6% 32% 36% 54% 48% 8%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis Excellent	2000 3% 25% 28% 40% 30% 2% s - Deta	iled R 2001 2% 14% 16% 36% 47% 1% iled R 2008	2002 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% esults 2009	2003 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% 2010	Jeffer. 2004 2% 18% 20% 39% 38% 3% Lewis 2011 1%	2005 2005 22% 24% 41% 32% 3% Country 2012 1%	2006 4% 25% 29% 40% 30% 2% 2013 4%	2007 4% 27% 31% 41% 26% 2%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35% 2% 2015 3%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48% 1%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1% 2019	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2020	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21% 1%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17% 6%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 3% 100% Average 2%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	6% 32% 36% 54% 48% 8% <i>Maximun</i> 5%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1% Minimum 0%
Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis Excellent Good	2000 3% 25% 40% 30% 2% s - Deta 2007 2% 33%	iled R 2001 2% 14% 16% 36% 47% 1% illed R 2008 0% 21% 42%	esults 2002 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% esults 2009 1% 20%	2003 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% 2010 1% 22% 23%	2004 2% 18% 20% 39% 38% 3% Lewis 2011 1% 18%	2005 2005 22% 24% 41% 32% 3% Country 10% 2012 1% 30%	2006 4% 25% 29% 40% 30% 2% 2013 4% 15%	2007 4% 27% 31% 41% 26% 2% 2014 2% 22% 24%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35% 2% 2015 3% 28%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48% 1% 2016 4% 27%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2% 2017 5% 31%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2% 2018 2% 43%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1% 2019 2% 33%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2020 3% 34%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2021 1% 28%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21% 1% 2022 2% 18%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3% 2023 4% 25%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17% 6%  2024 0% 22% 40%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 3% 100% Average 2% 26%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good	6% 32% 36% 54% 48% 8% <b>Maximun</b> 5% 43%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1% <b>Minimum</b> 0% 15%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	s - Deta 2000 3% 25% 28% 40% 30% 2% s - Deta 2007 2% 33% 35% 44% 19%	iled R 2001 2% 14% 36% 47% 1% iled R 2008 0% 21% 42% 34%	esuits 2002 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% 2009 1% 2009 1% 21% 35% 44%	2003 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% 2010 1% 22% 23% 35% 41%	Jeffer.  2004  2%  18%  20%  39%  38%  3%  Lewis  2011  1%  18%  19%  43%	2005 2% 22% 24% 41% 32% 33% Courr 2012 1% 30% 31% 38% 30%	2006 4% 25% 29% 40% 30% 2%  2013 4% 15% 19% 51% 30%	2007 4% 27% 31% 41% 26% 2% 2014 2% 22% 24% 48% 26%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35% 2% 2015 3% 28% 31% 38% 29%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48% 1%  2016 4% 27% 31% 44% 24%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2% 2017 5% 31% 36% 43% 20%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2% 2018 2% 43% 45% 34% 20%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1% 2019 2% 33% 35% 48% 15%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2020 3% 34% 37% 43% 18%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2021 1% 28% 29% 45% 24%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21% 1% 2022 2% 18% 20% 43% 32%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3% 2023 4% 25% 29% 39% 28%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17% 6%  2024 0% 22% 40% 35%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 3% 100%  Average 2% 26% 28% 42% 28%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	6% 32% 36% 54% 48% 8% <b>Maximun</b> 5% 43% 45% 51%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1% Minimum 0% 15% 19% 34% 15%
Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	s - Deta 2000 3% 25% 28% 40% 30% 2%  s - Deta 2007 2% 33% 35% 44%	iled R 2001 2% 14% 16% 36% 47% 1% illed R 2008 0% 21% 42%	2002 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% 2009 1% 2009 1% 20% 21% 35%	2003 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% 2010 1% 22% 23% 35%	Jeffer. 2004 2% 18% 20% 39% 38% 3% Lewis 2011 1% 18% 37%	2005 2% 22% 24% 41% 32% 33% Courr 2012 1% 30% 31% 38%	2006 4% 25% 29% 40% 30% 2% 2013 4% 15% 51%	2007 4% 27% 31% 41% 26% 2% 2014 2% 22% 24% 48%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 25% 27% 2015 3% 28% 31% 38%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48% 1% 2016 4% 27% 31% 44%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2% 2017 5% 31% 36% 43%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2% 2018 2% 43% 45% 34%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1% 2019 2% 33% 35% 48%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2020 3% 34% 37% 43%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2021 1% 28% 45%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21% 1% 2022 2% 18% 20% 43%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3% 2023 4% 25% 29% 39%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17% 6%  2024 0% 22% 40%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 3% 100%  Average 2% 26% 28% 42%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	6% 32% 36% 54% 48% 8% <b>Maximun</b> 5% 43% 45% 51%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1% Minimum 0% 15% 19% 34%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	s - Deta 2000 3% 25% 40% 30% 2% s - Deta 2007 2% 33% 44% 19% 2%	iled R 2001 2% 14% 36% 47% 1% 2008 0% 21% 42% 34% 3%	esults 2002 2% 16% 37% 43% 2%  esults 2009 1% 20% 21% 35% 44% 1%	2003 2% 16% 37% 43% 2% 2010 1% 22% 35% 41% 24%	Jeffer.  2004  2%  18% 20% 39% 38% 3%  Lewis  2011  1% 18% 37% 43% 1%	2005 2% 22% 24% 41% 32% 33% Court 2012 1% 30% 31% 38% 30% 1%	2006 4% 25% 29% 40% 30% 2% 2013 4% 15% 51% 30% 14%	2014 207 31% 41% 26% 22% 2014 22% 24% 48% 26% 3%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35% 2% 2015 3% 28% 31% 38% 29% 29%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48% 1%  2016 4% 27% 31% 44% 24%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2% 2017 5% 31% 36% 43% 20%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2% 2018 2% 43% 45% 34% 20%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1% 2019 2% 33% 35% 48% 15%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2020 3% 34% 37% 43% 18%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2021 1% 28% 29% 45% 24%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21% 1% 2022 2% 18% 20% 43% 32%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3% 2023 4% 25% 29% 39% 28%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17% 6%  2024 0% 22% 40% 35%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 3% 100%  Average 2% 26% 28% 42% 28%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	6% 32% 36% 54% 48% 8% <b>Maximun</b> 5% 43% 45% 51%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1% Minimum 0% 15% 19% 34% 15%
Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	s - Deta 2000 3% 25% 28% 30% 22% s - Deta 2007 2% 33% 35% 44% 19% 2% s - Deta	iled R 2001 2% 14% 36% 47% 1% iled R 2008 21% 42% 34% 3%	esuits 2002 2% 16% 37% 43% 2%  esuits 2009 1% 20% 21% 35% 44% 1%	2003 2% 16% 37% 43% 2% 2010 1% 22% 35% 41% 2%	Jeffer.  2004  2%  18% 20% 39% 3%  **Control of the control of the	2005 2% 22% 24% 41% 32% 33% 2012 1% 30% 31% 38% 30% 1%	2006 4% 25% 40% 30% 2%  2013 4% 15% 30% 1%  ce Co	2014 2014 27% 31% 41% 26% 2% 2014 24% 48% 26% 3%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35% 2% 2015 3% 28% 31% 38% 29% 29%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48% 1% 2016 4% 27% 31% 44% 34%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2% 2017 5% 31% 36% 43% 20%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2% 2018 2% 43% 45% 34% 20%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1% 2019 2% 33% 35% 48% 15%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2020 3% 34% 37% 43% 18%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2021 1% 28% 29% 45% 24%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21% 1% 2022 2% 18% 20% 43% 32%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3% 2023 4% 25% 29% 39% 28%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17% 6%  2024 0% 22% 40% 35%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 33% 100% Average 2% 26% 28% 42% 28% 22% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	6% 32% 36% 54% 48% 8% <b>Maximun</b> 5% 43% 45% 51% 44%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1% <b>Minimum</b> 0% 15% 19% 34% 15% 1%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis	s - Deta 2000 3% 25% 28% 40% 30% 2% s - Deta 2007 2% 44% 19% 2% s - Deta 2015	iled R 2001 2% 14% 36% 47% 1% iled R 2008 21% 34% 3%	esults 2002 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2%  esults 2009 1% 35% 44% 1% esults 2017	2033 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% 2% 2010 1% 22% 41% 2% 2% 2018	2004 2% 18% 20% 39% 38% 3%  Lewis 2011 1% 19% 43% 1%	2005 2% 22% 24% 41% 32% 33% Courr. 2012 1% 30% 31% 38% 1% wrence 2020	2006 4% 25% 40% 30% 2%  2013 4% 15% 19% 51% 30% 1%	2014 2014 27% 31% 41% 26% 2% 2014 24% 24% 26% 36% 36% 30000000000000000000000000000	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35% 2% 2015 3% 28% 31% 38% 29% 2%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48% 1% 2016 4% 27% 31% 44% 3%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2% 2017 5% 31% 36% 43% 20%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2% 2018 2% 43% 45% 34% 20%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1% 2019 2% 33% 35% 48% 15%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2020 3% 34% 37% 43% 18%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2021 1% 28% 29% 45% 24%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21% 1% 2022 2% 18% 20% 43% 32%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3% 2023 4% 25% 29% 39% 28%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17% 6%  2024 0% 22% 40% 35%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 33% 100% Average 2% 26% 28% 42% 100% Average	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	6% 32% 36% 54% 48% 8%  Maximun 5% 43% 45% 51% 44% 4%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1% Minimum 0% 15% 19% 34% 15% 1%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis	s - Deta 2000 3% 25% 28% 40% 30% 2% 2007 2% 33% 35% 44% 19% 2% 5 - Deta 2015	iled R 2001 2% 14% 36% 47% 1% illed R 2008 21% 42% 34% 3% illed R 2016	esults 2002 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% 2009 1% 2009 1% 35% 44% 1% esults 2017 3%	2033 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% 2010 1% 223% 41% 22% 24% 25% 2018 2018	Jeffer.  2004  2%  18%  20%  39%  38%  3%  Lewis  2011  1%  43%  1%  St. Lat  2019  2%	2005 22% 22% 24% 41% 32% 33% 2012 1% 30% 31% 38% 1% wrence 2020 3%	2006 4% 25% 40% 30% 2%  2013 4% 15% 19% 11%	2007 4% 27% 31% 41% 26% 22% 22% 22% 26% 3% 26% 3%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35% 2% 2015 3% 28% 31% 38% 29% 2023 0%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48% 1% 2016 4% 27% 31% 44% 24% 3%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2% 2017 5% 31% 36% 43% 20%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2% 2018 2% 43% 45% 34% 20%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1% 2019 2% 33% 35% 48% 15%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2020 3% 34% 37% 43% 18%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2021 1% 28% 29% 45% 24%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21% 1% 2022 2% 18% 20% 43% 32%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3% 2023 4% 25% 29% 39% 28%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17% 6%  2024 0% 22% 40% 35%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 33% 100% Average 26% 28% 42% 28% 20% 100% Average 2%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	6% 32% 36% 54% 48% 8%  Maximun 5% 43% 45% 51% 44% 4%  Maximun 4%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1% Minimum 0% 15% 19% 34% 15% 1% Minimum 0%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis	s - Deta 2000 3% 25% 30% 28% 40% 30% 2%  Example 2007 2% 44% 19% 2%  S - Deta 2015 1% 17%	iled R 2001 2% 14% 36% 47% 1% iiled R 2008 21% 34% 34% 3% iiled R 2016 1%	2002 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2%  esults 2009 1% 41% 20% 44% 1% 18 2017 3% 18%	2003 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% 5 for I 2010 1% 22% 41% 2% 2018 2%	2004 2% 18% 20% 39% 38% 38% 2011 1% 18% 43% 1% 1% 2019 2% 20%	2005 2% 22% 24% 41% 32% 33% Court 2012 1% 30% 31% 30% 1% wrenc 2020 3% 13%	2006  4% 25% 29% 40% 30% 2%  2013  4% 15% 30% 1%  ce Co 2021  4% 21%	2014 2014 2087 31% 41% 26% 22% 22% 24% 26% 3% 26% 3% 2014 48% 26% 3% 26% 3% 28%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 2% 2015 3% 28% 31% 38% 29% 29% 2023 0% 18%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48% 1% 2016 4% 27% 31% 44% 33% 24% 30% 10%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2% 2017 5% 31% 36% 43% 20%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2% 2018 2% 43% 45% 34% 20%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1% 2019 2% 33% 35% 48% 15%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2020 3% 34% 37% 43% 18%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2021 1% 28% 29% 45% 24%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21% 1% 2022 2% 18% 20% 43% 32%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3% 2023 4% 25% 29% 39% 28%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17% 6%  2024 0% 22% 40% 35%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 33% 100%  Average 26% 28% 42% 28% 20% 100%  Average 2% 16%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Foor Don't know	6% 32% 36% 54% 48% 8%  Maximun 5% 43% 45% 51% 44% 4%  Maximun 4% 21%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1% Minimum 0% 15% 19% 34% 15% 1% Minimum 0% 8%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis	s - Deta 2000 3% 25% 40% 30% 22%  8 - Deta 2007 2% 33% 33% 44% 49% 2%  5 - Deta 19% 2% 11% 18%	iled R 2001 2% 14% 16% 36% 47% 11% iled R 2008 21% 42% 34% 33% iiled R 2016 11% 18%	esults  2002  2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2%  esults  2009 1% 44% 44% 18%  esults  2017 3% 18% 21%	2003 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% 2010 1% 22% 41% 21% 2018 2018 2018 2018	2004 2% 18% 20% 39% 38% 3%  Lewis 2011 1% 18% 43% 19% 43% 1% 2019 2% 20% 22%	2012 24% 41% 32% 33% 2012 1% 30% 31% 30% 1% 1%	2006  4% 25% 29% 40% 30% 2%  2013  4% 15% 51% 30% 1%  ce Co 2021  4% 21%	2014 2014	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35% 2% 2015 3% 28% 31% 38% 29% 29% 2023 0% 18%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48% 1% 2016 4% 27% 31% 24% 3% 2024 0% 10%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2% 2017 5% 31% 36% 43% 20%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2% 2018 2% 43% 45% 34% 20%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1% 2019 2% 33% 35% 48% 15%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2020 3% 34% 37% 43% 18%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2021 1% 28% 29% 45% 24%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21% 1% 2022 2% 18% 20% 43% 32%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3% 2023 4% 25% 29% 39% 28%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17% 6%  2024 0% 22% 40% 35%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 33% 100%  Average 2% 26% 42% 28% 42% 28% 60%  Average 2% 16% 17%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	6% 32% 36% 54% 48% 8%  Maximun 5% 43% 45% 51% 44% 4%  Maximun 4% 21% 25%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1% <i>Minimum</i> 0% 15% 19% 34% 15% 1% <i>Minimum</i> 0% 8% 10%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis	s - Deta 2000 3% 25% 30% 28% 40% 30% 2%  Example 2007 2% 44% 19% 2%  S - Deta 2015 1% 17%	iled R 2001 2% 14% 36% 1% 1% 2018 21% 34% 34% 3% iiled R 2018 1% 2018 1% 37% 37%	2002 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2%  esults 2009 1% 41% 20% 44% 1% 18 2017 3% 18%	2003 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% 5 for 1 2010 1% 22% 23% 35% 41% 2% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16	2004 2% 18% 20% 39% 38% 33% 2011 1% 19% 43% 1% 2019 2% 20% 22% 36%	2005 2% 22% 24% 41% 32% 33% Courr 2012 1% 30% 31% 38% 1% wrenc 2020 31% 43% 43% 43%	2006  4% 25% 29% 40% 30% 2%  2013  4% 15% 19% 2014 4% 2014 4% 2014 4% 40% 40%	2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35% 2% 2015 3% 28% 31% 38% 29% 29% 2023 0% 18% 34% 34%	2009 2% 13% 15% 36% 48% 1% 2016 4% 27% 31% 44% 33% 24% 30% 10%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2% 2017 5% 31% 36% 43% 20%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2% 2018 2% 43% 45% 34% 20%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1% 2019 2% 33% 35% 48% 15%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2020 3% 34% 37% 43% 18%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2021 1% 28% 29% 45% 24%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21% 1% 2022 2% 18% 20% 43% 32%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3% 2023 4% 25% 29% 39% 28%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17% 6%  2024 0% 22% 40% 35%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 36 100%  Average 2% 26% 28% 42% 28% 2% 100%  Average 2% 16% 17% 39%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	6% 32% 36% 54% 48% 8%  Maximun 5% 43% 45% 51% 44% 4%  Maximun 4% 21% 25% 43%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1%  Minimum 0% 15% 19% 34% 15% 1%  Minimum 0% 8% 10% 34%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	s - Deta 2000 3% 25% 40% 30% 22%  s - Deta 2007 2% 33% 35% 44% 19% 27%  s - Deta 2015 1% 17% 18% 41%	iled R 2001 2% 14% 16% 36% 47% 11% iled R 2008 21% 42% 34% 33% iiled R 2016 11% 18%	2002 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2%  esuits 2009 1% 20% 21% 35% 44% 1%  esuits 2017 3% 18% 21% 42%	2003 2% 16% 18% 37% 43% 2% 5 for 1 2010 1% 22% 23% 35% 41% 2% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16	2004 2% 18% 20% 39% 38% 3%  Lewis 2011 1% 18% 43% 19% 43% 1% 2019 2% 20% 22%	2012 24% 41% 32% 33% 2012 1% 30% 31% 31% 31% 31% 31% 31% 31% 13% 14%	2006  4% 25% 29% 40% 30% 2%  2013  4% 15% 51% 30% 1%  ce Co 2021  4% 21%	2014 2014 21% 21% 21% 22% 22% 22% 22% 24% 26% 36 22% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24%	2008 3% 21% 24% 38% 35% 2% 2015 3% 28% 31% 38% 29% 29% 2023 0% 18%	2009 2% 13% 15% 48% 1% 2016 4% 27% 37% 24% 3% 2024 0% 10% 38%	2010 2% 17% 19% 38% 40% 2% 2017 5% 31% 36% 43% 20%	2% 17% 19% 38% 42% 2% 2018 2% 43% 45% 34% 20%	2% 21% 23% 39% 36% 1% 2019 2% 33% 35% 48% 15%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2020 3% 34% 37% 43% 18%	3% 20% 23% 39% 37% 2% 2021 1% 28% 29% 45% 24%	3% 29% 32% 45% 21% 1% 2022 2% 18% 20% 43% 32%	3% 20% 23% 54% 21% 3% 2023 4% 25% 29% 39% 28%	4% 32% 36% 41% 17% 6%  2024 0% 22% 40% 35%	4% 32% 36% 40% 17%	3% 29% 32% 39% 21%	6% 29% 35% 43% 18%	3% 25% 28% 45% 19%	2% 22% 24% 39% 33%	2% 18% ### 44% 30%	4% 23% 28% 38% 30%	3% 22% 25% 40% 32% 33% 100%  Average 2% 26% 42% 28% 42% 28% 60%  Average 2% 16% 17%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	6% 32% 36% 54% 48% 8%  Maximun 5% 43% 45% 51% 44% 4%  Maximun 4% 21% 25%	2% 13% 15% 36% 17% 1% <i>Minimum</i> 0% 15% 19% 34% 15% 1% <i>Minimum</i> 0% 8% 10%

2% Don't know 100%

## Table 25 - Availability of Care for the Elderly

Trend Analysis	s – Deta	iled R	esults	for .	Jeffer	son C	ount	v:																					
}	2000		2002					2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Average		Maximum	Minimum
Excellent	-		-		4%	4%	6%	4%	5%	3%	3%	4%	6%	7%	7%	8%	7%	6%	10%		-	4%	3%	3%	5%	5%	Excellent	10%	3%
Good					30%	32%	35%	32%	33%	29%	28%	32%	37%	39%	38%	34%	36%	33%	29%		-	29%		_	19%	31%	Good	39%	19%
Ex+Good					34%	36%	41%	36%	38%	32%	31%	36%	43%	46%	45%	42%	43%	39%	39%		-	33%	22%	###	24%	36%	Ex+Good	46%	22%
air					24%	26%	25%	26%	25%	29%	28%	30%	28%	26%	27%	26%	26%	27%	31%		-	28%	29%	31%	29%	27%	Fair	31%	24%
Poor					13%	14%	14%	16%	15%	20%	20%	19%	15%	13%	17%	15%	17%	17%	13%		-	17%	24%	27%	24%	17%	Poor	27%	13%
on't Know					28%	24%	20%	22%	22%	19%	21%	14%	14%	15%	12%	17%	15%	17%	17%		-	23%	25%	18%	23%	19%	Don't know	28%	12%
																										100%			
rend Analysis	s – Deta																												
	2007	2008	2009	2010					2015		_	2018		2020		2022	_	2024								Average		Maximum	Minimum
xcellent	12%	18%	12%						10%	11%	9%	-	8%	-	7%	3%	3%	4%								11%	Excellent	18%	3%
ood	43%	46%	50%	49%	52%	52%	39%	51%	47%	46%	45%		38%	-	32%	23%	30%	21%								42%	Good	52%	21%
x+Good	55%	64%	62%	65%	61%	70%	54%	65%	57%	57%	54%	-	46%	-	39%	26%	33%	25%								52%	Ex+Good	70%	25%
air	26%	17%	22%	21%			28%	22%		28%	30%	-	31%	-	31%	36%	34%	40%								27%	Fair	40%	17%
oor	8%	12%	9%	8%	6%	7%	10%	6%	10%	9%	10%	-	16%	-	23%	29%	22%	26%								13%	Poor	29%	6%
on't know	10%	7%	7%	7%	14%	5%	8%	7%	2%	6%	6%		7%		7%	10%	10%	9%								8%	Don't know	14%	2%
																										100%			
rend Analysis									0000	0004																4			
	2015	2016			2019			2022		2024																Average	- " .	Maximum	Minimum
xcellent	10%	7%	2%	5%	-	-	3%	4%	2%	0%																4%	Excellent	10%	0%
ood	35%	31%	39%	31%	i .	-	18%	16%		15%																26%	Good	39%	15%
x+Good	45%	38%	41%	36%	-	-	21%	20%	22%	15%																30%	Ex+Good	45%	15%
air	30%	33%	31%	31%		-				31%																32%	Fair	36%	30%
oor	18%	22%	17%	25%	-	-	32%	36%		49%																30%	Poor	49%	17%
on't know	7%	7%	11%	9%	<b> </b> -	_	11%	11%	5%	4%																8% 100%	Don't know	11%	4%
Table 26  Trend Analysis							-	v:																					
	2000	2001																											
xcellent		2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Average		Maximum	Minimum
		2001	2002	2003	2004					2009 16%	2010 17%	2011 15%	2012 14%	2013 16%	2014 19%	2015	2016 15%	2017 15%	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023 4%	2024	Average 14%	Excellent	<i>Maximum</i> 19%	Minimum 4%
ood	-		2002	2003		11%	13%	2007	16%												_		5%	_	8%	_	Excellent Good		
	Ē	-		-		11% 26%	13% 26%	2007 15%	16%	16%	17%	15%	14%	16%	19%	19%	15%	15%	15%	11%	-		5%	4% 21%	8%	14%		19%	4%
x+Good	_			-	-	11% 26%	13% 26%	2007 15% 31%	16% 34% 50%	16% 39%	17% 40%	15% 36%	14% 34%	16% 40%	19% 45%	19% 44%	15% 51%	15% 43%	15% 43%	11% 40%	-	-	5% 22% 27%	4% 21%	8% 21% 29%	14% 35%	Good	19% 51%	4% 21%
Good Ex+Good air Yoor	-	 	 	 	 	11% 26% 37%	13% 26% 39% 15%	2007 15% 31% 46% 15%	16% 34% 50% 15%	16% 39% 55%	17% 40% 57%	15% 36% 51% 19%	14% 34% 48%	16% 40% 56%	19% 45% 64%	19% 44% 63%	15% 51% 66%	15% 43% 58%	15% 43% 58%	11% 40% 51%	- - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34%	4% 21% ###	8% 21% 29% 35%	14% 35% 49%	Good Ex+Good	19% 51% 66%	4% 21% 25%
x+Good air oor	-	  	  	  	- - -	11% 26% 37% 19%	13% 26% 39% 15%	2007 15% 31% 46% 15%	16% 34% 50% 15%	16% 39% 55% 18%	17% 40% 57% 18%	15% 36% 51% 19%	14% 34% 48% 18%	16% 40% 56% 18%	19% 45% 64% 17%	19% 44% 63% 17%	15% 51% 66% 22%	15% 43% 58% 24%	15% 43% 58% 24%	11% 40% 51% 25%	- - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34%	8% 21% 29% 35%	14% 35% 49% 21%	Good Ex+Good Fair	19% 51% 66% 35%	4% 21% 25% 15%
x+Good air oor	-	  	  	  	- - - -	11% 26% 37% 19% 40%	13% 26% 39% 15% 41%	2007 15% 31% 46% 15% 34%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31%	16% 39% 55% 18% 23%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29%	16% 40% 56% 18% 20%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 23%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	19% 51% 66% 35% 41%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9%
Ex+Good air	   s – Deta	    iled R	    	    	   	11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5%	13% 26% 39% 15% 41% 5%	2007 15% 31% 46% 15% 34% 4%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4%	16% 39% 55% 18% 23% 5%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5%	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 23% 6% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9% 4%
ix+Good air oor on't Know Frend Analysis	- - -	    iled R	   	    	   	11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5%	13% 26% 39% 15% 41% 5%	2007 15% 31% 46% 15% 34% 4%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4%	16% 39% 55% 18% 23% 5%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5%	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 23% 6% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9% 4%
x+Good air oor on't Know rend Analysis	   s – Deta	    iled R	    	    	   	11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5%	13% 26% 39% 15% 41% 5%	2007 15% 31% 46% 15% 34% 4%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4%	16% 39% 55% 18% 23% 5% 2016	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6% 2018	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5%	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 23% 6% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9% 4%
ix+Good air oor on't Know  rend Analysis excellent	   s – Deta	    iled R	    	    	   	11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5%	13% 26% 39% 15% 41% 5%	2007 15% 31% 46% 15% 34% 4%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4% 2015 12% 51%	16% 39% 55% 18% 23% 5% 2016 9% 50%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5% 2017 8% 53%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6% 2018 9% 45%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5%	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4% 2021 5% 37%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7% 2022 4% 22%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4% 2023 4% 32%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8% 2024 1% 27%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 23% 6% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9% 4%
x+Good air oor on't Know rend Analysis xcellent	   s – Deta	    iled R	    	    	      2011	11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5% Coun	13% 26% 39% 15% 41% 5%	2007 15% 31% 46% 15% 34% 4%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4% 2015 12% 51% 63%	16% 39% 55% 18% 23% 5% 2016 9% 50%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5% 2017 8% 53% 61%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6% 2018 9% 45% 54%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5% 2019	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4%  2021 5% 37% 42%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7%  2022 4% 22% 26%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4% 2023 4% 32% 36%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8% 2024 1% 27% 28%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 23% 6% 100% Average 6%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%  Maximum 12% 53% 63%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9% 4%  Minimum 1%
x+Good por port Know rend Analysis xcellent pood x+Good	   s – Deta	     iled Ro	    2009	     2010	     2011	11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5% Coun	13% 26% 39% 15% 41% 5% 0ty:	2007 15% 31% 46% 15% 34% 4%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4% 2015 12% 51% 63% 26%	16% 39% 55% 18% 23% 5% 2016 9% 50% 59% 28%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5% 2017 8% 53% 61% 20%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6% 2018 9% 45% 54% 32%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5% 2019 -	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4%  2021 5% 37% 42% 32%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7%  2022 4% 22% 26% 40%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4% 2023 4% 32% 36% 28%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8% 2024 1% 27% 28% 32%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 23% 6% 100% Average 6% 40%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%  Maximum 12% 53% 63% 40%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9% 4%   Minimum 1% 22% 26% 20%
x+Good sir oor on't Know  Frend Analysis xcellent cood x+Good air	   s – Deta	    iled Ro 2008	    2009	    2010	     2011	11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5% Coun	13% 26% 39% 15% 41% 5% 2013	2007 15% 31% 46% 15% 34% 4%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4% 2015 12% 51% 63% 26% 7%	16% 39% 55% 18% 23% 5% 2016 9% 50% 59% 28% 8%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5% 2017 8% 53% 61% 20% 10%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6% 2018 9% 45% 54%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5% 2019 — —	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4%  2021 5% 37% 42% 32% 18%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7%  2022 4% 22% 26%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4% 2023 4% 32% 36% 28% 27%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8% 2024 1% 27% 28%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 23% 6% 100% Average 6% 40% 46% 30% 17%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%  Maximum 12% 53% 63% 40% 31%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9% 4%  Minimum 1% 22% 26% 20% 7%
cx+Good sir oor on't Know  crend Analysis  cxcellent sood cx+Good air oor	   s – Deta		    2009	    2010		11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5% Coun 2012 - - -	13% 26% 39% 15% 41% 5% 2013 - - -	2007 15% 31% 46% 15% 34% 4%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4% 2015 12% 51% 63% 26%	16% 39% 55% 18% 23% 5% 2016 9% 50% 59% 28%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5% 2017 8% 53% 61% 20%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6% 2018 9% 45% 54% 32%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5% 2019 - - -	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4%  2021 5% 37% 42% 32%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7%  2022 4% 22% 26% 40%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4% 2023 4% 32% 36% 28%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8% 2024 1% 27% 28% 32%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 23% 6% 100% Average 6% 40% 46% 30%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%  Maximum 12% 53% 63% 40%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9% 4%  Minimum 1% 22% 26% 20%
cx+Good air oor on't Know  Frend Analysis  xcellent ood x+Good air oor	s – Deta 2007 – – –	iled Ro	   2009  			11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5% Coun	13% 26% 39% 15% 41% 5% 2013	2007 15% 31% 46% 15% 34% 4%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4% 2015 12% 51% 63% 26% 7%	16% 39% 55% 18% 23% 5% 2016 9% 50% 59% 28% 8%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5% 2017 8% 53% 61% 20% 10%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6% 2018 9% 45% 54% 32% 9%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5% 2019 - - -	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4%  2021 5% 37% 42% 32% 18%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7% 2022 4% 22% 26% 40% 26%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4% 2023 4% 32% 36% 28% 27%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8% 2024 1% 27% 28% 32% 31%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 23% 6% 100% Average 6% 40% 46% 30% 17%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%  Maximum 12% 53% 63% 40% 31%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9% 4%  Minimum 1% 22% 26% 20% 7%
x+Good air oon't Know  Frend Analysis  xcellent ood x+Good air oor oon't know	s - Deta	    iled Ro   	esults			11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5% Count 2012 	13% 26% 39% 15% 41% 5% 2013 	2007 15% 31% 46% 15% 34% 4%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4% 2015 12% 63% 26% 7% 5%	16% 39% 55% 18% 23% 5% 2016 9% 50% 59% 48%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5% 2017 8% 53% 61% 20% 10%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6% 2018 9% 45% 54% 32% 9%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5% 2019 - - -	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4%  2021 5% 37% 42% 32% 18%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7% 2022 4% 22% 26% 40% 26%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4% 2023 4% 32% 36% 28% 27%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8% 2024 1% 27% 28% 32% 31%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 23% 6% 100% Average 6% 40% 46% 30% 17% 7% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%  Maximum 12% 53% 63% 40% 31% 10%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9% 4%  Minimum 1% 22% 26% 20% 4%
x+Good iir on't Know  rend Analysis  xcellent ood x+Good air oor on't know	s - Deta 2007 s - Deta 2015	iled Re 2008				11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5% Count 2012 	13% 26% 39% 15% 41% 5% 2013 	2007 15% 31% 46% 15% 34% 4%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4% 2015 12% 63% 26% 7% 5%	16% 39% 55% 18% 23% 5% 2016 9% 50% 59% 28% 4%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5% 2017 8% 53% 61% 20% 10%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6% 2018 9% 45% 54% 32% 9%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5% 2019 - - -	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4%  2021 5% 37% 42% 32% 18%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7% 2022 4% 22% 26% 40% 26%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4% 2023 4% 32% 36% 28% 27%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8% 2024 1% 27% 28% 32% 31%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 23% 6% 100% Average 6% 40% 46% 30% 17% 100% Average	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%  Maximum 12% 53% 63% 40% 31% 10%	4% 21% 25% 15% 4% 4%  Minimum 1% 22% 26% 20% 7% 4%  Minimum
x+Good iir por't Know  rend Analysis  xcellent ood x+Good air oor on't know  rend Analysis	s - Deta 2007 s - Deta 2015 9%	iled R R 2008				11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5%  Count 2012	13% 26% 39% 15% 41% 5% 2013 	2007 15% 31% 46% 46% 15% 34% 4%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4% 2015 12% 63% 26% 7% 55%	16% 39% 55% 18% 5% 2016 9% 50% 8% 4%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5% 2017 8% 53% 61% 20% 10%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6% 2018 9% 45% 54% 32% 9%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5% 2019 - - -	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4%  2021 5% 37% 42% 32% 18%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7% 2022 4% 22% 26% 40% 26%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4% 2023 4% 32% 36% 28% 27%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8% 2024 1% 27% 28% 32% 31%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 23% 6% 100% Average 6% 40% 46% 30% 17% 7% 100% Average 5%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%  Maximum 12% 53% 63% 40% 31% 10%  Maximum 9%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9% 4%  Minimum 1% 22% 26% 20% 7% 4%  Minimum 1%
x+Good air oon't Know  Frend Analysis  xcellent ood air oor on't know  Frend Analysis  xcellent cood	s - Deta 2007 s - Deta 2015 9% 46%	iled R. 2016 9% 39%				11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5%  Count 2012	13% 26% 39% 15% 41% 5%  2013	2007 15% 31% 46% 46% 15% 34% 4%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4% 2015 12% 63% 26% 7% 55%	16% 39% 55% 18% 5% 2016 9% 50% 8% 4% 2024 1% 18%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5% 2017 8% 53% 61% 20% 10%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6% 2018 9% 45% 54% 32% 9%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5% 2019 - - -	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4%  2021 5% 37% 42% 32% 18%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7% 2022 4% 22% 26% 40% 26%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4% 2023 4% 32% 36% 28% 27%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8% 2024 1% 27% 28% 32% 31%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 6% 100% Average 6% 40% 30% 17% 7% 100% Average 5% 35%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%  Maximum 12% 53% 63% 40% 31% 10%  Maximum 9% 46%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9% 4%  Minimum 1% 22% 26% 20% 7% 4%  Minimum 1% 18%
Ex+Good air oor on't Know  Frend Analysis Excellent Sood air Oor Son't know  Frend Analysis Excellent Sood Extended Exte	s - Deta 2007	iled RR 2008				11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5%  Count 2012 2020	13% 26% 39% 15% 5% 10	2007 15% 31% 46% 15% 34% 4% 2014 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4% 2015 12% 63% 63% 7% 55%	16% 39% 55% 18% 23% 5% 2016 9% 50% 8% 4% 2024 1% 18% 19%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5% 2017 8% 53% 61% 20% 10%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6% 2018 9% 45% 54% 32% 9%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5% 2019 - - -	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4%  2021 5% 37% 42% 32% 18%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7% 2022 4% 22% 26% 40% 26%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4% 2023 4% 32% 36% 28% 27%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8% 2024 1% 27% 28% 32% 31%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 6% 100% Average 6% 40% 46% 30% 17% 7% 100% Average 5% 40%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%  Maximum 12% 53% 63% 40% 31% 10%  Maximum 9% 46% 55%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9% 4%  Minimum 1% 22% 26% 20% 7% 4%  Minimum 1% 188% 19%
extGood air oor on't Know  Frend Analysis excellent ood air oor oon't know  Frend Analysis excellent ood	s - Deta 2007 s - Deta 2015 9% 46%	iled R. 2016 9% 39%				11% 26% 37% 19% 40% 5%  Count 2012	13% 26% 39% 15% 41% 5%  2013	2007 15% 31% 46% 46% 15% 34% 4%	16% 34% 50% 15% 31% 4% 2015 12% 63% 26% 7% 55%	16% 39% 55% 18% 5% 2016 9% 50% 8% 4% 2024 1% 18%	17% 40% 57% 18% 20% 5% 2017 8% 53% 61% 20% 10%	15% 36% 51% 19% 24% 6% 2018 9% 45% 54% 32% 9%	14% 34% 48% 18% 29% 5% 2019 - - -	16% 40% 56% 18% 20% 5%	19% 45% 64% 17% 14% 4%  2021 5% 37% 42% 32% 18%	19% 44% 63% 17% 13% 7% 2022 4% 22% 26% 40% 26%	15% 51% 66% 22% 9% 4% 2023 4% 32% 36% 28% 27%	15% 43% 58% 24% 11% 8% 2024 1% 27% 28% 32% 31%	15% 43% 58% 24% 9%	11% 40% 51% 25% 13%	- - - -	- - -	5% 22% 27% 34% 26%	4% 21% ### 34% 30%	8% 21% 29% 35% 30%	14% 35% 49% 21% 6% 100% Average 6% 40% 30% 17% 7% 100% Average 5% 35%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good	19% 51% 66% 35% 41% 12%  Maximum 12% 53% 63% 40% 31% 10%  Maximum 9% 46%	4% 21% 25% 15% 9% 4%  Minimum 1% 22% 26% 20% 7% 4%  Minimum 1% 18%

5% Don't know

100%

8%

# Table 27 – Availability of Childcare

Tubic 27	~**	ulluk																											
Trend Analysis	– Deta	iled R	esults	for J	effers	son C	Count	v:																					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Average		Maximum	Minimum
Excellent		-					-			-	-				-		5%	8%	8%		-	6%	4%	3%	4%	5%	Excellent	8%	3%
Good	-						-	l		-	-						39%	33%	31%		-	21%	11%	13%		23%	Good	39%	11%
Ex+Good	-						-	l		-	-						44%	41%	39%			27%			15%	28%	Ex+Good	44%	15%
Fair	-									-	-						23%	30%	21%			26%	23%	22%	28%	25%	Fair	30%	21%
Poor	-									-	-						9%	8%	11%			18%	27%	29%	27%	18%	Poor	29%	8%
Don't Know	-									-	-						24%	21%	29%			29%	36%	33%	30%	29%	Don't know	36%	21%
																										100%			
Trend Analysis	– Deta	iled R	esults	for L	ewis	Cour	nty:												_										
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024								Average		Maximum	Minimum
Excellent	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	5%	5%	5%	-	3%	-	3%	1%	3%	1%								3%	Excellent	5%	1%
Good	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39%	38%	37%	-	24%	-	18%	17%	17%	13%								25%	Good	39%	13%
Ex+Good	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44%	43%	42%	-	27%	-	21%	18%	20%	14%								29%	Ex+Good	44%	14%
Fair	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30%	29%	26%	-	22%	-	26%	30%	26%	24%								27%	Fair	30%	22%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	8%	11%	-	22%	-	35%	30%	27%	36%								22%	Poor	36%	8%
Don't know	-	-	<u> </u>	-	-		-	-	15%	21%	20%	_	29%	_	18%	22%	27%	26%								22%	Don't know	29%	15%
																										100%			
Trend Analysis								_																					
	2015	2016	2017		2019	2020																				Average		Maximum	Minimum
Excellent	5%	4%	3%	3%	-	-	3%	2%	1%	0%																3%	Excellent	5%	0%
Good	30%	30%	37%	28%	-	-	18%		12%	12%																23%	Good	37%	12%
Ex+Good	35%	34%	40%	31%	-	-	21%	16%	13%	12%																25%	Ex+Good	40%	12%
Fair	28%	30%	27%	34%	-	-	33%			27%																30%	Fair	34%	27%
Poor	17%	17%	15%	17%	-	-	23%		35%	38%																24%	Poor	38%	15%
Don't know	21%									23%																20%	Don't know	23%	18%
Table 28		18%	18%	18%   / Of	- Be	∟- hav	ior				ı ervi	ices	S													100%			
Table 28	– Ava	ailak	oility	/ of		hav	vior	al H			" ervi	ices	S													100%			
Table 28	– Ava	ailak	oility	/ of		hav	ior Count	al H			ervi			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	100%  Average		Maximum	Minimum
	– Ava	ailak	Dility esults	of for J	effers	hav	ior Count	al H	leal	th S				2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		Excellent		Minimum 4%
Trend Analysis	– Ava	ailak	Dility esults	of for J	effers	hav	ior Count	al H	leal	th S				2013			_			_	2020		4%	_	7%	Average	<b>Excellent Good</b>	Maximum	
Trend Analysis	- Ava	ailak	Dility esults 2002	/ of	effers 2004 	hav	vior	al H	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	-	-	-	4%	8%	7%	-	-	6%	4%	8% 22%	7%	Average 6%		<b>Maximum</b> 8%	4%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good	- Ava - Deta 2000	ailak	esults	/ of	2004  	hav	count	al H	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		-	-	4% 34%	8% 34%	7% 28%		-	6% 27%	4% 20% 24%	8% 22%	7% 20% 27%	Average 6% 26%	Good	<b>Maximum</b> 8% 34%	4% 20%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good	- Ava 2000	ailak	oility	/ of	2004  	hav son C 2005	count	al H	2008  	2009  	2010	2011	2012	 	- -	- - -	4% 34% 38%	8% 34% 42%	7% 28% 35%	 	 	6% 27% 33%	4% 20% 24% 28%	8% 22% ###	7% 20% 27% 31%	Average 6% 26% 33%	Good Ex+Good	<b>Maximum</b> 8% 34% 42%	4% 20% 24%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	- Ava 2000	ailak iiled R 2001  	esults 2002	/ of	2004  	hav son C 2005	2006	al H	2008	2009  	2010	2011	2012   	- - -	- - -		4% 34% 38% 28%	8% 34% 42% 26%	7% 28% 35% 27%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27%	Good Ex+Good Fair	<b>Maximum</b> 8% 34% 42% 31%	4% 20% 24% 22%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know	- Ava 2000	ailak	esults 2002	/ of 2003	2004    	bav 2005	2006	al H	2008	2009  	2010	2011	2012   	- - -	- - -		4% 34% 38% 28% 17%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	Maximum 8% 34% 42% 31% 27%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	- Ava 2000	ailak iiled R 2001	esults 2002 esults	/ of 2003 2003	2004     	hav	2006	al H	2008    	2009   	2010    	2011    	2012    	- - - - -	- - - -		4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	Maximum 8% 34% 42% 31% 27% 23%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis	- Ava 2000	ailak iiled R 2001	esults 2002	/ of 2003 2003	2004     	hav	2006	al H	2008    	2009     	2010	2011    	2012	- - - - -	2021	2022	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100% Average	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	Maximum 8% 34% 42% 31% 27% 23%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent	- Ava 2000	ailak iiled R 2001	poility  esults  2002	/ of 2003	2004      ewis 2011	hav son C 2005 2012	2006	2014	2008             -	2009	2010       7%	2011	2012		     2021	     2022	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100% Average 5%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	Maximum 8% 34% 42% 31% 27% 23% Maximum 8%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14% <i>Minimum</i> 2%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good	- Ava 2000	ailak iiled R 2001	esults 2002 esults	y of 2003	2004 eewis 2011	hav son C 2005 Count 2012	2006	2014	2008             -	2009             	2010      7% 35%	2011	2012         31%	    2020	     2021 2% 25%	     2022 3% 21%	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17% 2023 4% 27%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14% 2024 3% 19%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100% Average 5% 27%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good	Maximum 8% 34% 42% 31% 27% 23% Maximum 8% 35%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14%  Minimum 2% 19%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good	- Ava 2000	ailak  zoot	polity 2002	/ of	2004	hav  son C  2005 2012	2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006	2007	2008             -	2009 2016 8% 28% 36%	2010      7% 35% 42%	2011	2012         31% 35%	    2020	     2021 2% 25% 27%	     2022 3% 21% 24%	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17% 2023 4% 27% 31%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14%  2024 3% 19% 22%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100% Average 5% 27% 32%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good	Maximum 8% 34% 42% 31% 27% 23% Maximum 8% 35% 42%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14% <i>Minimum</i> 2% 19% 22%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	- Ava 2000	ailak 2001	poliity  esults  2002	/ of	2004	hav  son C  2005	2006	2014   2014	2008 	2009 2016 8% 28% 36% 29%	2010      7% 35% 42% 28%	2011	2012       2019 4% 31% 35% 26%	2020 	2021 2021 2% 25% 27% 29%	2022 3% 21% 24% 32%	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17% 2023 4% 27% 31% 30%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14% 2024 3% 19% 22% 32%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100% Average 5% 27% 32% 30%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	Maximum  8%  34%  42%  31%  27%  23%   Maximum  8%  35%  42%  32%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14%   Minimum 2% 19% 22% 26%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	- Ava 2000	ailak  iiled R  2001	esults  2002	/ of stor J 2003	2004	2005	2006	2014 2014	2008 	2009 2016 8% 28% 36% 29% 18%	2010      7% 35% 42% 28% 17%	2011	2012 	    2020	2021 2% 25% 27% 29% 23%	2022 3% 21% 24% 32% 29%	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17% 2023 4% 27% 31% 30% 25%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14% 2024 3% 19% 22% 32% 27%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100% Average 5% 27% 32% 30% 22%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	Maximum 8% 34% 42% 31% 27% 23%  Maximum 8% 35% 42% 32% 29%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14%  Minimum 2% 19% 22% 26% 16%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	- Ava 2000	ailak 2001	poliity  esults  2002	/ of	2004	hav  son C  2005	2006	2014   2014	2008 	2009 2016 8% 28% 36% 29%	2010      7% 35% 42% 28%	2011	2012       2019 4% 31% 35% 26%	2020 	2021 2021 2% 25% 27% 29%	2022 3% 21% 24% 32%	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17% 2023 4% 27% 31% 30%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14% 2024 3% 19% 22% 32%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100% Average 5% 27% 32% 30% 22% 18%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	Maximum  8%  34%  42%  31%  27%  23%   Maximum  8%  35%  42%  32%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14%   Minimum 2% 19% 22% 26%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	Ava 2000	ailak  2001	esults 2002	/ of 2003	2004	2005	2006 2006	2014	2008	2009 2016 8% 28% 36% 29% 18%	2010      7% 35% 42% 28% 17%	2011	2012 	2020 	2021 2% 25% 27% 29% 23%	2022 3% 21% 24% 32% 29%	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17% 2023 4% 27% 31% 30% 25%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14% 2024 3% 19% 22% 32% 27%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100% Average 5% 27% 32% 30% 22%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	Maximum 8% 34% 42% 31% 27% 23%  Maximum 8% 35% 42% 32% 29%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14%  Minimum 2% 19% 22% 26% 16%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor		ailak  2001	esults  2002 esults  2009 esults	/ of s for J. 2003	effers 2004	2005	2006 2006	2014	2008	2009	2010      7% 35% 42% 28% 17%	2011	2012 	2020 	2021 2% 25% 27% 29% 23%	2022 3% 21% 24% 32% 29%	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17% 2023 4% 27% 31% 30% 25%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14% 2024 3% 19% 22% 32% 27%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100%  Average 5% 27% 32% 30% 22% 18% 100%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor	Maximum 8% 34% 42% 31% 27% 23%  Maximum 8% 35% 42% 32% 29% 21%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14%  Minimum 2% 19% 22% 26% 16% 14%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis		ailak  2001	esults  2002 esults  2009	/ of section of the s	effers 2004	2005	2006 2006 2006 2007 2013 2013 2013 2013	2014	2008          -	2009 	2010      7% 35% 42% 28% 17%	2011	2012 	2020 	2021 2% 25% 27% 29% 23%	2022 3% 21% 24% 32% 29%	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17% 2023 4% 27% 31% 30% 25%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14% 2024 3% 19% 22% 32% 27%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100%  Average 5% 27% 32% 30% 100%  Average	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	Maximum 8% 34% 42% 31% 27% 23%  Maximum 8% 35% 42% 32% 29% 21%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14%  Minimum 2% 19% 22% 26% 16% 14%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis		ailak 2001	2002	/ of for J 2003	2004	have son C 2005	2006 2013	2014	2008	2009 	2010      7% 35% 42% 28% 17%	2011	2012 	2020 	2021 2% 25% 27% 29% 23%	2022 3% 21% 24% 32% 29%	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17% 2023 4% 27% 31% 30% 25%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14% 2024 3% 19% 22% 32% 27%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100% Average 5% 30% 22% 180% 100% Average 5%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	Maximum  8%  34%  42%  31%  27%  23%   Maximum  8%  35%  42%  32%  29%  21%  Maximum  7%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14%  Minimum 2% 19% 22% 26% 16% 14%  Minimum 1%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	- Ava = Deta = 2000	ailak 2001	2002	/ of for J 2003	effers 2004	Country	2006	2014	2008	2009 	2010      7% 35% 42% 28% 17%	2011	2012 	2020 	2021 2% 25% 27% 29% 23%	2022 3% 21% 24% 32% 29%	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17% 2023 4% 27% 31% 30% 25%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14% 2024 3% 19% 22% 32% 27%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100% Average 5% 22% 18% 100% Average 5% 24%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't know  Extended Fair Foor Don't know	Maximum 8% 34% 42% 31% 27% 23%  Maximum 8% 35% 42% 32% 29% 21%  Maximum 7% 32%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14%  Minimum 2% 19% 22% 26% 16% 14%  Minimum 1% 188
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis	Av3 Deta 2000 2007 2015 5% 29% 34%	ailak 2001	esults  2002	/ of for J. 2003	effers 2004	Court	2006	2014	2008	2009 	2010      7% 35% 42% 28% 17%	2011	2012 	2020 	2021 2% 25% 27% 29% 23%	2022 3% 21% 24% 32% 29%	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17% 2023 4% 27% 31% 30% 25%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14% 2024 3% 19% 22% 32% 27%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100%  Average 5% 22% 18% 100%  Average 5% 24% 29%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	Maximum 8% 34% 42% 31% 27% 23%  Maximum 8% 35% 42% 29% 21%  Maximum 7% 32% 37%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14%  Minimum 2% 19% 226% 16% 14%  Minimum 1% 188% 19%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis		ailak 2001	esults  2002	/ of for J. 2003	effers 2004	Court 2012 2020	2006 2013 2021 7% 207% 31%	2014	2008	2009	2010      7% 35% 42% 28% 17%	2011	2012 	2020 	2021 2% 25% 27% 29% 23%	2022 3% 21% 24% 32% 29%	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17% 2023 4% 27% 31% 30% 25%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14% 2024 3% 19% 22% 32% 27%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100%  Average 5% 22% 18% 100%  Average 5% 22% 24% 29% 31%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair	Maximum 8% 344% 42% 311% 27% 23%  Maximum 8% 35% 42% 329% 21%  Maximum 7% 32% 32% 37% 35%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14%  Minimum 2% 19% 226% 16% 14%  Minimum 1% 88% 19% 29%
Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't Know  Trend Analysis  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Trend Analysis	Av3 Deta 2000 2007 2015 5% 29% 34%	ailak 2001	esults  2002	/ of for J. 2003	effers 2004	Court	2006	2014	2008	2009 	2010      7% 35% 42% 28% 17%	2011	2012 	2020 	2021 2% 25% 27% 29% 23%	2022 3% 21% 24% 32% 29%	4% 34% 38% 28% 17% 17% 2023 4% 27% 31% 30% 25%	8% 34% 42% 26% 18% 14% 2024 3% 19% 22% 32% 27%	7% 28% 35% 27% 19%	  	- - -	6% 27% 33% 22% 21%	4% 20% 24% 28% 27%	8% 22% ### 29% 24%	7% 20% 27% 31% 23%	Average 6% 26% 33% 27% 21% 18% 100%  Average 5% 22% 18% 100%  Average 5% 24% 29%	Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know  Excellent Good Ex+Good Fair Poor Don't know	Maximum 8% 34% 42% 31% 27% 23%  Maximum 8% 35% 42% 29% 21%  Maximum 7% 32% 37%	4% 20% 24% 22% 17% 14%  Minimum 2% 19% 226% 16% 14%  Minimum 1% 188% 19%

# Table 29 – The Downtown of Watertown

Trend Analysis	<ul><li>Deta</li></ul>	iled Re	esults	for J	leffer	son C	ount	<u>/:</u>																					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Average		Maximum	Minimum
Excellent	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	5%	5%	5%	4%	3%	4%	7%	2%	5%	6%	6%			3%	4%	8%	4%	Excellent	8%	2%
Good	27%	24%	22%	25%	21%	24%	25%	24%	25%	38%	38%	37%	36%	32%	35%	40%	23%	31%	34%	29%			31%	30%	28%	30%	Good	40%	21%
Ex+Good	30%	26%	24%	27%	23%	26%	27%	26%	28%	43%	43%	42%	40%	35%	39%	47%	25%	36%	40%	35%			34%	###	36%	33%	Ex+Good	47%	23%
Fair	34%	34%	34%	34%	34%	34%	34%	33%	33%	33%	34%	35%	36%	36%	35%	32%	43%	40%	35%	43%			39%	40%	39%	36%	Fair	43%	32%
Poor	34%	38%	39%	34%	39%	37%	34%	36%	36%	19%	19%	20%	21%	24%	23%	18%	26%	21%	21%	15%			21%	19%	21%	27%	Poor	39%	15%
Don't Know	2%	2%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	8%			6%	7%	4%	4%	Don't know	8%	2%
																										100%			

# Table 30 - Overall Quality of Life in the Area

	sis – Deta										2010	2011	2010	2010	0011	2015	2010	00.17	20.10	2010		2004		2000	****				
	2000	2001	2002	2003		2005	_	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		2022	_	2024	Average		Maximum	Minimui
xcellent	9%	6%	7%	7%	6%	7%	8%	10%		7%	8%	7%	7%	8%	7%	7%	8%	12%							9%	9%	Excellent	18%	6%
iood	55%	44%	49%	49%	47%	50%	52%	55%	53%	47%	50%	48%	51%	52%	47%	56%	58%	55%	53%	51%	48%	47%	44%	40%	40%	50%	Good	58%	40%
Ex+Good	64%	50%	56%	56%	53%	57%	60%	65%	62%	54%	58%	55%	58%	60%	54%	63%	66%	67%	66%	62%	66%	60%	50%	###	49%	58%	Ex+Good	67%	49%
air	28%	33%	32%	32%	34%	32%	29%	26%	27%	32%	31%	32%	31%	31%	31%	27%	28%	22%	26%	27%	24%	30%	34%	37%	40%	30%	Fair	40%	22%
oor	7%	15%	10%	11%	11%		9%	7%	8%	12%	10%	12%	9%	9%	12%	9%	5%	8%	7%	9%	9%	8%	13%	12%	10%	10%	Poor	15%	5%
Don't Know	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%	Don't know	3%	0%
																										100%			
Trend Analys	sis – Deta	iled R	sults	for L	ewis	Cour	ity:																						
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024								Average		Maximum	Minimur
Excellent	22%	21%	18%	18%	18%	14%	22%	22%	20%	20%	23%	19%	14%	23%	14%	12%	13%	10%								18%	Excellent	23%	10%
Good	52%	61%	55%	61%	55%	64%	49%	53%	57%	61%	54%	60%	60%	55%	52%	42%	55%	49%								55%	Good	64%	42%
Ex+Good	74%	82%	73%	79%	73%	78%	71%	75%	77%	81%	77%	79%	74%	78%	66%	54%	68%	59%								73%	Ex+Good	82%	54%
Fair	21%	13%	20%	19%	20%	20%	25%	17%	21%	17%	17%	18%	22%	17%	27%	37%	26%	34%								22%	Fair	37%	13%
Poor	5%	4%	6%	3%	7%	3%	4%	8%	2%	2%	6%	3%	3%	5%	7%	7%	5%	7%								5%	Poor	8%	2%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%								0%	Don't know	1%	0%
																										100%			
Trend Analys	sis – Deta	iled R	esults	for S	St. La	vrend	e Co	untv :																					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024																Average		Maximum	Minimur
Excellent	15%	13%	12%	16%	12%	11%	12%	6%	4%	5%																11%	Excellent	16%	4%
Good	46%	46%	48%	48%	57%	44%	43%	45%	38%	36%																45%	Good	57%	36%
Ex+Good	61%	59%	60%	64%	69%	55%	55%	51%	42%	41%																56%	Ex+Good	69%	41%
	29%	32%	30%	27%	22%	34%	30%	33%	39%	43%																32%	Fair	43%	22%
air																													
Fair Poor	9%	8%	9%	7%	8%	10%	14%	13%	19%	15%																11%	Poor	19%	7%

100%

# Section 3.2 - Additional Tracked Resident Opinions and Characteristics

## Table 31 - Would you say things in this country are heading in the right or wrong direction?

d Analysis	_ Dota	ilad Pa	aeulte	for I	offor	on C
a Analysis	2020			2023		OII C
ight	33%	26%	17%	15%	17%	
- Wrong	50%	50%	62%	68%	61%	
Don't Know	17%	25%	21%	17%	22%	
rend Analysis	– Deta	iled Re	esults	for L	ewis	Coun
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Right direction	42%	32%	11%	12%	11%	12%
Wrong direction	43%	50%	78%	76%	80%	79%
on't Know	15%	19%	11%	12%	9%	9%
rend Analysis	<ul><li>Deta</li></ul>	iled Re	esults	for S	t. Lav	renc
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Right	39%	27%	33%	12%	13%	13%
Vrong	48%	54%	49%	72%	76%	75%
Don't know	14%	20%	18%	16%	11%	12%

Trend Analysis – Detailed Results for Jefferson County:		
Right         2021         2022         2023         2024           Wrong         55%         62%         62%         64%	Right 22% Wrong 64% Don't Know 23%	Minimum 19% 55% 15%
Wrong direction 75% 77% 79% 76%	Right 16% Wrong 79% Don't Know 10%	<b>Minimum</b> 13% 75% 8%
Wrong 50% 71% 70% 71% 65% 4	Maximum           Right         34%           Wrong         71%           Don't Know         16%	<b>Minimum</b> 17% 50% 11%
Table 33 – Would you say things in your county are heading in the right or wrong direction?		
Wrong 23%   26%   33%   32%   36%   30%	Maximum           Right         43%           Wrong         36%           Don't Know         34%	Minimum 31% 23% 28%
Wrong direction 18% 30% 31% 35% 41% 41% 33% 41% 41%	Maximum           Right         61%           Wrong         41%           Don't Know         34%	Minimum 35% 18% 21%
Wrong 34% 37% 50% 47% 52% 44% U	Maximum Right 39% Wrong 52% Don't Know 30%	<b>Minimum</b> 25% 34% 19%
Table 34 – When considering your family's personal financial situation- has it gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse in the past 12 months?		
Trend Analysis – Detailed Results for Jefferson County:		
Same 43% 45% 50% 52% 64% 50% 52% 49% 56% 56% 54% 49% 66% 62% 42% 48% 41%  Worse 24% 31% 23% 29% 21% 24% 20% 21% 18% 14% 13% 17% 20% 19% 42% 38% 41%	Maximum           Better         33%           Same         66%           Worse         42%           Don't Know         6%	Minimum 10% 41% 13% 0%
Trend Analysis – Detailed Results for Lewis County:  2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024  Average	Maximum	Minimum
Better         12%         11%         12%         14%         18%         13%         18%         17%         21%         19%         11%         12%         11%         10%         15%         16%         16%         16%         14%         14%         13%         15%         15%         15%         15%         15%         15%         15%         15%         15%         15%         15%         15%<	Better         31%           Same         69%           Worse         52%           Don't Know         3%	10% 36% 9% 0%
Trend Analysis – Detailed Results for St. Lawrence County :  2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024  Average	Maximum	Minimum
Better         25%         24%         17%         29%         26%         8%         13%         11%         12%         10%           Same         57%         56%         62%         60%         51%         61%         66%         28%         49%         40%           Worse         18%         19%         11%         21%         31%         19%         60%         38%         50%	Better         29%           Same         66%           Worse         60%           Don't Know         4%	8% 28% 11% 0%

	Tables 35	-36	- Wh	at c	do you think is the single largest issue that is facing residents of the North (	Country	y right now?		
	Trend Analysis -	- Deta	iled Re	sults	for Jefferson County:				
ı		2022			<del></del>	Average		Maximum	Minimum
	nflation/Cost of Living	34%	24%	23%		27%	Inflation/Cost of Living	34%	23%
	Jnemployment/Jobs	5%	10%	4%		6%	Unemployment/Jobs	10%	4%
	Affordable Housing	10%	10%	10%		10%	Affordable Housing	10%	10%
	Homelessness	5%	12%	10%		9%	Homelessness	12%	5%
	Drugs	4%	5%	9%		6%	Drugs	9%	4%
-	Crime	4%	5%	1%		3%	Crime	5%	1%
	Healthcare	6%	5%	4%		5%	Healthcare	6%	4%
	mmigration	0%	0%	2%		1%	Immigration _	2%	0%
- 1	Taxes	0%	0%	6%		2% 6%	Taxes	6% 179/	0%
- 1	The Economy Other Issues	0% 32%	0% 29%	17% 14%		6% 25%	The Economy Other Issues	17% 32%	0% 14%
-	Julier issues	32 /6	29 /6	14 /0		23/0	Other issues	32/0	14/0
	Trend Analysis	– Deta	iled Re	sults	for Lewis County:				
		2022	2023	2024		Average		Maximum	Minimum
	nflation/Cost of Living	55%	38%	28%		40%	Inflation/Cost of Living	55%	28%
	Jnemployment/Job	8%	7%	3%		6%	Unemployment/Jobs	8%	3%
	Affordable Housing	5%	5%	8%		6%	Affordable Housing	8%	5%
	Homelessness	2%	2%	2%		2%	Homelessness	2%	2%
	Drugs	2%	5%	5%		4%	Drugs	5%	2%
- 1	Crime	3%	1%	0%		1%	Crime	3%	0%
	Healthcare	3%	4%	2%		3%	Healthcare	4%	2%
	mmigration -	0%	0%	4%		1%	Immigration	4%	0%
	Гахеs Гhe Economy	0% 0%	0% 0%	6% 27%		2% 9%	Taxes The Economy	6% 27%	0% 0%
	Other Issues	22%		15%		25%	The Economy Other Issues	38%	15%
	Trend Analysis				for St. Lawrence County:				
		_	2023	=		Average		Maximum	Minimum
ı	nflation/Cost of Living	43%		22%		32%	Inflation/Cost of Living	43%	22%
	Jnemployment/Jobs	9%	15%	8%		11%	Unemployment/Jobs	15%	8%
1	Affordable Housing	5%	8%	3%		5%	Affordable Housing	8%	3%
	Homelessness	2%	4%	1%		2%	Homelessness	4%	1%
	Orugs Crime	1% 4%	8% 9%	9% 4%		6% 6%	Drugs Crime	9% 9%	1% 4%
	Healthcare	5%	8%	5%		6%	Healthcare	8%	5%
П	mmigration	0%	0%	9%		3%	Immigration	9%	0%
ŀ	Taxes .	0%	0%	4%		1%	Taxes	4%	0%
ı	The Economy	0%	0%	25%		8%	The Economy	25%	0%
ŀ	Other Issues	31%	19%	10%		20%	Other Issues	31%	10%
	Table 37 –	· Hav	/e v	ou e	ever heard of the Center for Community Studies at JCC before this survey?				
			- ,		<b>,</b> , <b>,</b> , <b>,</b> , <b>,</b>				
i	Trend Analysis -			_	for Jefferson County:				
		2004	_	=	2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024	Average		Maximum	Minimum
- 1	/es			_	33% 37% 32% 46% 40% 37% 36% 44% 38% 50%	38%	Yes	50%	32%
-	No/Not sure	60%	6/%	00%	67% 63% 68% 54% 60% 63% 64% 56% 62% 50%	62%	No/Not sure	68%	50%
	Trend Analysis				for Lewis County:				
			_		2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024	Average		Maximum	
	Yes	30%			37% 42% 46% 67%	44%	Yes	67%	30%
	No/Not sure	70%	58%		63% 58% 54% 33%	56%	No/Not sure	70%	33%
	Trend Analysis -	- Deta	iled Re	sults	for St. Lawrence County:				
			2016	2017	2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024	Average		Maximum	Minimum
	/ne	16%			38%	27%	Yes	38%	16%

16%

Average 27% Yes

73% No/Not sure

16%

62%

38%

Table 39 - Employment Status - Current Occupation

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Retired	17%	18%	18%	19%	17%	21%	17%	17%	17%	22%	19%	18%	25%	23%	21%	22%	21%
Unemployed	8%	11%	12%	8%	4%	8%	4%	2%	4%	1%	4%	3%	6%	4%	2%	1%	2%
Homemaker	8%	6%	8%	6%	6%	5%	7%	6%	5%	4%	3%	4%	4%	6%	7%	5%	3%
Student	3%	8%	5%	10%	5%	6%	15%	7%	7%	3%	3%	3%	9%	2%	3%	1%	1%
Military	6%	7%	12%	3%	9%	5%	2%	16%	9%	20%	20%	18%	7%	19%	20%	20%	22%
Managerial	7%	7%	2%	4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	7%	5%	5%	5%	4%	2%	6%	4%	8%
Medical	7%	6%	6%	5%	3%	6%	9%	7%	5%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%	3%	7%
Professional/Technical	10%	7%	9%	9%	6%	11%	6%	4%	10%	4%	5%	4%	5%	7%	5%	7%	8%
Sales	6%	5%	4%	4%	10%	9%	5%	4%	7%	7%	5%	4%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%
Clerical	3%	2%	2%	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	3%	4%	3%	6%	5%	5%	4%
Service	10%	6%	9%	7%	10%	11%	9%	9%	11%	9%	5%	8%	3%	4%	5%	4%	3%
Blue Collar/Production	8%	12%	8%	12%	13%	6%	15%	15%	5%	6%	11%	10%	7%	5%	5%	5%	4%
Teacher/Education	4%	5%	3%	5%	4%	6%	3%	4%	8%	6%	6%	6%	6%	4%	4%	6%	5%
Self-employed			1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	4%	6%	4%	5%	7%	5%
Disabled				3%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	5%	3%	7%	3%
Not sure	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	3%	2%	1%	0%	0%

Trend Analysis -	Dotailed E	Doculte for	Louis	Country

	-		_	_	_	_	_	_	=		_	_	_		_	=	_
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Retired	21%	21%	22%	21%	23%	24%	23%	25%	24%	24%	33%	29%	27%	27%	28%	29%	31%
Not employed	7%	5%	6%	7%	3%	8%	6%	2%	3%	9%	8%	3%	6%	2%	3%	0%	1%
Homemaker	8%	6%	6%	4%	8%	7%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	5%	5%	3%	6%
Student	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	5%	7%	4%	3%	5%	3%	1%	3%	6%	1%
Military	1%	1%	2%	5%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Managerial	4%	5%	5%	6%	3%	4%	1%	4%	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	6%	4%	2%	6%
Medical	5%	7%	7%	9%	4%	5%	6%	7%	8%	6%	8%	5%	7%	5%	6%	6%	6%
Professional/Techni cal	6%	9%	7%	6%	8%	4%	4%	2%	4%	3%	3%	5%	5%	5%	6%	9%	5%
Sales	4%	3%	6%	3%	2%	2%	4%	8%	3%	3%	3%	6%	2%	4%	5%	6%	2%
Clerical	3%	3%	6%	6%	6%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	5%	3%	3%	3%	6%	2%	5%
Service	6%	6%	3%	4%	6%	4%	2%	6%	3%	5%	4%	3%	4%	5%	2%	5%	4%
Blue Collar	14%	13%	11%	21%	17%	20%	25%	19%	19%	17%	8%	13%	15%	11%	12%	13%	12%
Teacher/Education	7%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	8%	5%	6%	6%	8%	6%	6%	7%	3%	6%	6%
Self-employed	12%	14%	11%	2%	11%	9%	7%	5%	7%	8%	4%	10%	10%	13%	9%	10%	14%
Not sure	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Disabled	0%	0%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	5%	4%	5%	3%	5%	5%	8%	1%	1%

Trend Anal	ysis - Detai	iled Results	for St. L	awrence	County:

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Retired	25%	23%	27%	30%	31%	28%	30%	34%
Unemployed	8%	2%	6%	2%	7%	1%	3%	2%
Homemaker	3%	2%	3%	4%	4%	4%	2%	3%
Student	5%	5%	2%	5%	6%	6%	1%	0%
Military	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Managerial	3%	4%	5%	5%	4%	6%	3%	6%
Medical	6%	6%	5%	8%	6%	6%	10%	6%
Professional/Technical	5%	10%	5%	9%	8%	4%	5%	6%
Sales	4%	7%	8%	2%	3%	2%	3%	8%
Clerical	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%	5%	7%	4%
Service	11%	11%	3%	1%	5%	10%	4%	3%
Blue Collar/Production	10%	11%	12%	12%	9%	9%	17%	11%
Teacher/Education	6%	6%	7%	6%	7%	10%	6%	7%
Self-employed	5%	3%	6%	6%	3%	4%	5%	4%
Disabled	2%	6%	2%	4%	3%	3%	4%	5%
Not sure	0%	1%	4%	0%	0%	2%	0%	2%

Average		Maximum	Minimum
20%	Retired	25%	17%
5%	Unemployed	12%	1%
5%	Homemaker	8%	3%
5%	Student	15%	1%
13%	Military	22%	2%
5%	Managerial	8%	2%
6%	Medical	9%	3%
7%	Professional/Technical	11%	4%
5%	Sales	10%	2%
3%	Clerical	6%	1%
7%	Service	11%	3%
9%	Blue Collar/Production	15%	4%
5%	Teacher/Education	8%	3%
3%	Self-employed	7%	1%
3%	Disabled	7%	0%
1%	Not sure	3%	0%

Average		Maximum	Minimum
25%	Retired	33%	21%
5%	Not employed	9%	0%
5%	Homemaker	8%	3%
3%	Student	7%	1%
1%	Military	5%	0%
4%	Managerial	6%	1%
6%	Medical	9%	4%
5%	Professional/Technical	9%	2%
4%	Sales	8%	2%
4%	Clerical	6%	2%
4%	Service	6%	2%
15%	Blue Collar	25%	8%
6%	Teacher/Education	8%	3%
9%	Self-employed	14%	2%
1%	Not sure	3%	0%
3%	Disabled	8%	0%

Average		Maximum	Minimum
29%	Retired	34%	23%
4%	Unemployed	8%	1%
3%	Homemaker	4%	2%
4%	Student	6%	0%
1%	Military	3%	0%
5%	Managerial	6%	3%
7%	Medical	10%	5%
7%	Professional/Technical	10%	4%
5%	Sales	8%	2%
5%	Clerical	7%	3%
6%	Service	11%	1%
11%	Blue Collar/Production	17%	9%
7%	Teacher/Education	10%	6%
5%	Self-employed	6%	3%
4%	Disabled	6%	2%
1%	Not sure	4%	0%

## Table 40 - Political Beliefs

Trend Analysis - Detailed Results for Jefferson County:

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Very Conservative	6%	8%	9%	3%	6%	3%	6%	6%	5%	6%	4%	2%	9%	6%	9%	7%	5%	4%	7%	6%
Conservative	29%	30%	27%	18%	25%	26%	28%	25%	21%	29%	22%	32%	26%	28%	23%	25%	25%	28%	24%	21%
Middle of the Road	43%	40%	47%	39%	42%	33%	31%	40%	52%	49%	50%	46%	37%	36%	39%	43%	42%	47%	46%	44%
Liberal	18%	15%	13%	14%	17%	11%	16%	11%	10%	8%	10%	10%	9%	10%	10%	13%	11%	9%	7%	11%
Very Liberal	4%	7%	5%	2%	2%	2%	4%	4%	5%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	4%	1%	3%	3%	3%
Don't Know	0%	0%	0%	24%	9%	24%	15%	15%	7%	7%	12%	8%	18%	16%	15%	9%	15%	10%	14%	14%

Trend Analysis - Detailed Results for Lewis County:																	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Very Conservative	8%	6%	9%	7%	7%	6%	7%	4%	8%	9%	5%	6%	7%	9%	6%	7%	8%
Conservative	29%	30%	27%	28%	26%	27%	28%	24%	29%	33%	33%	31%	27%	28%	27%	33%	34%
Middle of the Road	42%	39%	41%	45%	53%	44%	36%	55%	37%	39%	42%	47%	44%	43%	45%	37%	42%
Liberal	11%	10%	9%	10%	6%	11%	8%	9%	12%	8%	7%	9%	9%	8%	8%	12%	10%
Very Liberal	0%	3%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%
Don't Know	9%	11%	12%	8%	5%	12%	20%	8%	12%	10%	12%	6%	9%	10%	13%	8%	5%

Trend Analysis - Detailed Results for St. Lawrence County:										
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Very Conservative	4%	4%	9%	5%	7%	8%	4%	8%	9%	8%
Conservative	22%	23%	27%	26%	23%	25%	26%	25%	24%	22%
Middle of the Road	43%	44%	38%	39%	42%	43%	36%	41%	32%	41%
Liberal	10%	17%	17%	14%	15%	10%	18%	12%	14%	11%
Very Liberal	3%	1%	3%	2%	7%	5%	5%	6%	5%	4%
Don't Know	18%	11%	6%	14%	6%	9%	11%	8%	16%	14%

Average		Maximum	Minimum
6%	Very Conservative	9%	2%
26%	Conservative	32%	18%
42%	Middle of the Road	52%	31%
12%	Liberal	18%	7%
3%	Very Liberal	7%	1%
12%	Don't Know	24%	0%
Average		Maximum	Minimum
_		201	40/
<b>7</b> %	Very Conservative	9%	4%
29%	Conservative	34%	24%
43%	Middle of the Road	55%	36%
9%	Liberal	12%	6%
2%	Very Liberal	3%	0%
10%	Don't Know	20%	5%
Average		Maximum	Minimum
<b>7</b> %	Very Conservative	9%	4%
24%	Conservative	27%	22%
40%	Middle of the Road	44%	32%
14%	Liberal	18%	10%
4%	Very Liberal	7%	1%
11%	Don't Know	18%	6%

# Appendix II Detailed 2024 Demographic Cross-tabulations in Tabular Format Region-wide Combined and County-specific Statistical Tests of Significance Included

Table 10		All North Country Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	iroups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	Anı	nual HH Inc		Active M	Н	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	Par in Y			Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Cultural and entertainment	Excellent	4.8% <sup>1</sup>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>b</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>b</sub>	1.9% <sub>b</sub>	5.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>b</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.3% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>
opportunities	Good	22.8% <sup>1</sup>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	19.8% <sub>b</sub>	24.4%a	16.7% <sub>b</sub>	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	24.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.6%a	25.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.6% <sub>a</sub>	19.0% <sub>b</sub>	24.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	32.3%a	21.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.7% <sub>a</sub>	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.4% <sub>a</sub>	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.4% <sub>b</sub>	20.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	43.4% <sup>1</sup>	38.4%a	47.2% <sub>b</sub>	42.6%a	42.6%a	44.6%a	42.9%a	46.2% <sub>a</sub>	42.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	36.3% <sub>b</sub>	41.2%a	48.6% <sub>b</sub>	34.0%a	37.7%a	44.3%a	44.2%a	40.8%a	34.3%a	44.0% <sub>b</sub>	53.1% <sub>b</sub>	48.9% <sub>b</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	49.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	26.7% <sup>1</sup>	26.3%a	27.5%a	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.1% <sub>b</sub>	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	20.5%a	22.6%a	30.5% <sub>b</sub>	28.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.2%a	34.5% <sub>b</sub>	13.9%a	28.7% <sub>b</sub>	26.5%a	22.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	24.3% <sub>b</sub>	27.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	22.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	2.4%1	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.5% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1783	701	1011	243	586	492	411	332	700	695	431	659	533	138	1561	1576	92	563	745	280	111	708	553

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	oliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Cultural and entertainment	Excellent	5.6% <sup>1</sup>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>b</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.0%a	1.4%a	11.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>
opportunities	Good	29.7% <sup>1</sup>	34.4% <sub>a</sub>	25.8% <sub>b</sub>	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	30.0% <sub>a</sub>	35.0% <sub>a</sub>	33.0%a	28.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.3% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.1% <sub>a</sub>	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	23.3%a	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	15.1% <sub>b</sub>	39.1% <sub>a</sub>	29.9%a	26.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	42.7% <sup>1</sup>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	45.4% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	44.0%a	47.3%a	45.9% <sub>a</sub>	43.4%a	39.6%a	41.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	48.0% <sub>b</sub>	37.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	39.9% <sub>a</sub>	43.3% <sub>a</sub>	43.4% <sub>a</sub>	39.9%a	38.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	43.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	53.0% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>b</sub>	37.3%a	45.6%a
	Poor	19.1% <sup>1</sup>	17.3% <sub>a</sub>	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.3% <sub>a</sub>	28.0% <sub>b</sub>	$16.3\%_{a,b}$	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	14.4%a	22.5% <sub>b</sub>	23.7% <sub>b</sub>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.1% <sub>b</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>a</sub>	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.1% <sub>a</sub>	15.6%a	27.5%a	23.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	2.9%1	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.8% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.7%a	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.0%a	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.6%a	2.5%a	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	746	304	406	160	253	169	139	111	292	312	181	258	242	115	592	620	65	226	315	111	56	268	234

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi	litary in		cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Cultural and entertainment	Excellent	3.2% <sup>1</sup>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	0.0%2	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	4.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.6%a	2.6% <sub>a</sub>
opportunities	Good	22.7% <sup>1</sup>	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.1%a	23.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	16.4% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>b</sub>	25.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	22.6%a	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.4% <sub>a</sub>	22.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	22.9% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	26.8%a	21.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.8%a	27.6%a	22.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	41.0% <sup>1</sup>	42.2% <sub>a</sub>	39.0% <sub>a</sub>	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	35.5% <sub>a</sub>	47.5% <sub>a</sub>	49.0%a	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	49.4% <sub>b</sub>	43.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	41.6% <sub>a</sub>	39.3%a	41.3% <sub>a</sub>	43.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.8% <sub>a</sub>	40.5% <sub>a</sub>	42.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.6%a	43.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.0%a	45.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	31.2% <sup>1</sup>	27.4%a	35.6% <sub>a</sub>	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.3% <sub>a</sub>	19.8% <sub>b</sub>	14.5% <sub>b</sub>	35.6% <sub>a</sub>	24.8% <sub>a</sub>	30.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	30.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.5% <sub>a</sub>	39.3% <sub>a</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	48.3% <sub>b</sub>	51.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.8%a	27.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	1.8%1	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	7.1% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.9%a	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	22.4%a	1.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.0%a	1.9%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	484	173	299	51	130	165	127	124	198	152	112	187	142	8	457	446	18	185	199	60	18	226	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	evel	Anı	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		Participants in Year 2024 Male Fem			18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Cultural and entertainment	Excellent	4.3% <sup>1</sup>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.1%	13.2% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.9% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a
opportunities	Good	15.9% <sup>1</sup>	19.1%a	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>a</sub>	11.1%a	34.9% <sub>b</sub>	16.3% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.7%	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>a</sub>	11.3% <sub>b</sub>	14.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.6%a	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	17.0%a	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	20.4%a	19.5% <sub>a</sub>	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	16.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.6%a
	Fair	44.8% <sup>1</sup>	37.4%a	51.1% <sub>b</sub>	50.7% <sub>a</sub>	43.2% <sub>a</sub>	41.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.4% <sub>a</sub>	52.7% <sub>a</sub>	44.2% <sub>a</sub>	29.3% <sub>b</sub>	46.4%a	51.5% <sub>a</sub>	28.2% <sub>b</sub>	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	46.0% <sub>b</sub>	45.9%a	42.3%a	28.3%a	44.2% <sub>b</sub>	56.4% <sub>b.c</sub>	68.6% <sub>c</sub>	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	54.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	33.1% <sup>1</sup>	35.3%a	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	30.3%a	44.2% <sub>b</sub>	18.9% <sub>a</sub>	28.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.3%a	38.9% <sub>b</sub>	33.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	48.6% <sub>b</sub>	78.0% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>b</sub>	32.4%a	22.6%a	47.0%a	28.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.2% <sub>b</sub>	27.5% <sub>b</sub>	46.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	1.9% <sup>1</sup>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	0.0%2	0.9%a	3.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.8% <sub>b</sub>	4.4%a	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	553	224	306	32	203	158	145	97	210	231	138	214	149	15	512	510	9	152	231	109	37	214	197

Table 11		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	Groups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be			President : Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Cost of energy	Excellent	2.3%1	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>b</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	1.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.7%a	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.1%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.0%a	1.8%a	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>b</sub>	1.8%a	5.9% <sub>b</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	4.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	14.5% <sup>1</sup>	15.5% <sub>a</sub>	13.0% <sub>a</sub>	17.6%a	8.9% <sub>b</sub>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	12.0% <sub>a</sub>	20.4% <sub>b</sub>	14.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.1%a	20.0% <sub>b</sub>	19.6%a	13.6% <sub>b</sub>	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>b</sub>	10.7% <sub>a</sub>	16.3% <sub>b</sub>	16.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	18.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	37.3% <sup>1</sup>	41.1%a	33.6% <sub>b</sub>	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	41.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.1% <sub>a</sub>	36.0% <sub>a</sub>	44.1% <sub>b</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	41.1%a	34.4%a	36.4%a	37.1%a	36.6% <sub>a</sub>	44.4% <sub>b</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.9%a	44.3%a	22.1% <sub>b</sub>	36.7% <sub>a</sub>	41.6%a
	Poor	42.7% <sup>1</sup>	37.4%a	48.5% <sub>b</sub>	34.3%a	54.6% <sub>b</sub>	45.2% <sub>b,c</sub>	37.1% <sub>a,c</sub>	46.3%a	47.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.3% <sub>b</sub>	42.4%a	42.5% <sub>a</sub>	42.7% <sub>a</sub>	21.5%a	46.1% <sub>b</sub>	45.0% <sub>a</sub>	23.8% <sub>b</sub>	51.6%a	38.8% <sub>b</sub>	27.6% <sub>c</sub>	53.8% <sub>a</sub>	51.8% <sub>a</sub>	33.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	3.3% <sup>1</sup>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.2%a	4.5%a	3.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>b</sub>	5.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.3%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1786	705	1010	244	588	493	410	333	703	694	430	662	534	139	1563	1576	95	563	745	282	112	708	554

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age Gr	roups		Edi	ıcation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Cost of energy	Excellent	3.3% <sup>1</sup>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>b</sub>	2.0%a	9.3% <sub>b</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	14.8% <sup>1</sup>	14.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.4% <sub>b</sub>	$9.8\%_{a,b}$	20.4%a	15.0%a	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	19.0% <sub>a</sub>	13.0% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.0% <sub>b</sub>	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	20.7% <sub>b</sub>	10.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	$13.5\%_{a,b}$	26.7% <sub>b</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	41.8% <sup>1</sup>	46.1%a	36.9% <sub>b</sub>	42.1%a	37.1% <sub>a</sub>	44.0%a	48.5% <sub>a</sub>	42.5%a	37.4% <sub>a</sub>	47.9% <sub>a</sub>	41.8% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	47.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	43.8% <sub>a</sub>	41.1%a	43.1%a	39.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	49.2% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.3% <sub>b</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	47.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	34.5% <sup>1</sup>	29.1%a	41.5% <sub>b</sub>	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	54.1% <sub>b</sub>	43.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	28.1% <sub>a,c</sub>	33.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	42.9%a	23.4% <sub>b</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	38.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	17.6%a	40.4% <sub>b</sub>	38.1% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>b</sub>	44.4%	32.6% <sub>b</sub>	31.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	30.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	49.9%a	27.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	5.6% <sup>1</sup>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	1.0% <sub>b</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.4%a	6.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.0% <sub>b</sub>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a,c</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.1% <sub>b</sub>	9.9% <sub>b,c</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	748	307	405	161	253	169	140	111	294	312	181	259	243	116	593	620	67	226	315	112	57	268	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	iroups		Ed	ucation L	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	ilitary in H		cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Cost of energy	Excellent	2.0%1	1.9%a	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.0%a	1.6%a	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.8%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%	9.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	14.9% <sup>1</sup>	17.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.1%a	17.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	29.7% <sub>b</sub>	16.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	16.5%a	26.6%a	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.6%a	17.0% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.0% <sub>a</sub>	14.1% <sub>a</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	38.2% <sup>1</sup>	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	36.6% <sub>a</sub>	50.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.5% <sub>b</sub>	33.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	30.8% <sub>b</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	43.6%a	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	42.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.4%a	16.8%a	37.4%a	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	68.4% <sub>b</sub>	32.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	39.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	48.6%a	13.8% <sub>b</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	40.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	44.0% <sup>1</sup>	42.4% <sub>a</sub>	46.3%a	40.4%a	50.4%a	46.3%a	36.1% <sub>a</sub>	45.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	50.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.6% <sub>b</sub>	46.5%a	41.0% <sub>a</sub>	44.8%a	56.6%a	44.3%a	44.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.0%a	48.7% <sub>a</sub>	42.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.3% <sub>b</sub>	72.1% <sub>a</sub>	49.4%a	29.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	1.0%1	0.2%a	1.8%a	1.5%a	0.8%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.5%a	0.3%a	0.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.1%a	0.0%2	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.0%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3%a	1.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	485	174	299	51	130	166	127	124	199	152	113	187	142	8	458	446	19	185	200	60	18	226	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	r Identity		Age G	iroups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100,000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Cost of energy	Excellent	1.3%1	1.7%a	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	0.3%a	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	1.8%a	1.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.4%a	1.4%a	0.0%2	0.4%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.8%a	4.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	14.1% <sup>1</sup>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.1%a	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>b</sub>	19.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	12.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	22.4% <sub>b</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>b</sub>	24.3%a	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.5% <sub>a</sub>	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>a,c</sub>	20.5% <sub>b</sub>	19.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.5% <sub>c</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	32.6% <sup>1</sup>	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	29.5%a	29.2% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	30.6%a	39.4%a	27.2%a	34.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	40.4% <sub>b</sub>	28.0% <sub>a</sub>	43.6% <sub>b</sub>	21.6%a	40.3%a	32.0% <sub>a</sub>	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	43.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	50.5% <sub>b</sub>	19.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.1% <sub>a</sub>	36.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	50.6% <sup>1</sup>	44.7% <sub>a</sub>	56.0% <sub>b</sub>	50.8% <sub>a</sub>	56.0%a	46.4%a	43.8%a	59.7%a	50.6%a	32.4% <sub>b</sub>	54.4%a	46.0%a	52.2%a	57.2% <sub>a</sub>	50.9% <sub>a</sub>	51.4% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>b</sub>	59.1%a	44.5% <sub>b</sub>	24.3% <sub>c</sub>	74.8% <sub>a</sub>	54.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	1.4%1	0.6%a	1.4%a	0.0%2	1.0%a	2.4%a	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.1%a	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.3%a	1.1%a	1.6%a	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	553	224	306	32	205	158	143	98	210	230	136	216	149	15	512	510	9	152	230	110	37	214	197

Table 12		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	Groups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be			President 2 Choice (am	
1 4 4 5 1 2		in Year 2024 Male 7.9% 11.7% a			18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Health care access	Excellent	7.9%1	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>b</sub>	10.9%a	3.9% <sub>b</sub>	7.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.6%a	5.6% <sub>b</sub>	8.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.9% <sub>b</sub>	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.9%a	20.2% <sub>b</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	30.0% <sup>1</sup>	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.6%a	27.4%a	41.9% <sub>b</sub>	42.8% <sub>b</sub>	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.1% <sub>b</sub>	29.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.5% <sub>b</sub>	27.6%a	19.0%a	31.8% <sub>b</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	28.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	35.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	36.5% <sup>1</sup>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	41.5% <sub>b</sub>	37.2%a	37.5%a	32.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.2% <sub>a</sub>	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.9%a	39.4%a	37.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.8%a	37.8%a	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	37.0% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.9%a	31.1% <sub>b</sub>	43.4%a	40.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.5% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	24.6% <sup>1</sup>	26.0%a	22.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.2%a	30.8%a	18.2% <sub>b</sub>	12.0% <sub>b</sub>	23.0% <sub>a</sub>	28.9% <sub>b</sub>	21.5%a	22.3%a	27.4%a	26.3%a	14.6%a	26.7% <sub>b</sub>	25.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.3% <sub>a</sub>	24.6%a	26.5% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.9%a	29.8%a	21.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	1.0%1	0.8%a	0.9%a	2.0%a	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.5%a	1.4%a	1.0%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.9%a	1.8%a	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	1.1%a	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.1%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1784	704	1010	245	588	493	408	334	701	694	431	661	533	140	1560	1574	95	562	746	280	112	708	553

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age Gr	oups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi		Ra Backę	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Health care access	Excellent	11.2% <sup>1</sup>	17.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>b</sub>	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>b</sub>	8.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	20.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>b</sub>	5.0% <sub>b</sub>	27.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>b</sub>	9.0%a	20.4% <sub>b</sub>	9.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	18.3% <sub>b</sub>	3.8%a	11.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	30.0% <sup>1</sup>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.6%a	24.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	39.0% <sub>b,c</sub>	46.1% <sub>c</sub>	27.4%a	30.4%a	34.0% <sub>a</sub>	26.0% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.3% <sub>a</sub>	18.8%a	33.1% <sub>b</sub>	31.2% <sub>a</sub>	20.0% <sub>b</sub>	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>b</sub>	28.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	37.9% <sup>1</sup>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	45.6% <sub>b</sub>	38.1% <sub>a</sub>	40.8% <sub>a</sub>	35.5% <sub>a</sub>	31.2% <sub>a</sub>	37.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.1%a	39.1% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	37.6%a	44.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	40.2%a	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	43.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	51.3% <sub>b</sub>	35.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	19.0% <sup>1</sup>	21.1% <sub>a</sub>	17.6%a	17.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.8%a	17.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.4% <sub>b</sub>	19.2%	19.6%a	19.0% <sub>a</sub>	19.6%a	20.9% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.5% <sub>a</sub>	22.0% <sub>b</sub>	17.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	22.6%a	20.8% <sub>a</sub>	12.9%a	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	1.9% <sup>1</sup>	1.4%a	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.8%a	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	$1.2\%_{a,b}$	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>b</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.4%a	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.7%a	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	745	304	406	162	253	169	137	111	292	312	180	259	242	117	589	617	67	224	315	111	57	267	234

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	Groups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	litary in		cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	Farticipants			Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Health care access	Excellent	6.1% <sup>1</sup>	6.9%a	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.6%a	9.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	16.2% <sub>b</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.4%a	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	4.3%a	6.3%a	12.1%a	3.4%a	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	43.2% <sup>1</sup>	42.2% <sub>a</sub>	44.6%a	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	44.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	45.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	54.1% <sub>b</sub>	42.7%a	46.5%a	39.4% <sub>a</sub>	43.7% <sub>a</sub>	42.9%a	43.8%a	22.5%a	43.3% <sub>a</sub>	44.1%a	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	48.9%a	46.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.2% <sub>b</sub>	27.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	48.6% <sub>a</sub>	52.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	32.7% <sup>1</sup>	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	41.3% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.1%a	24.6%a	30.1%a	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	41.2% <sub>a</sub>	29.4% <sub>a</sub>	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.4%a	49.7%a	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	45.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.5%a	39.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	67.9% <sub>b</sub>	28.5% <sub>a</sub>	26.9%a
	Poor	17.8% <sup>1</sup>	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.7% <sub>a</sub>	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.0% <sub>a</sub>	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	20.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.0% <sub>a</sub>	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	18.4% <sub>a</sub>	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	17.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.0%a	17.2%a	22.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	0.2%1	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.9%	0.5%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	485	174	299	51	130	166	127	124	199	152	113	187	142	8	458	446	19	185	200	60	18	226	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
					18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Health care access	Excellent	5.2% <sup>1</sup>	6.8%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.6%a	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.3%	10.7% <sub>b</sub>	5.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.3%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.6%a
	Good	26.8% <sup>1</sup>	28.3% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.2% <sub>a,c</sub>	43.4% <sub>b</sub>	37.8% <sub>b,c</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.8% <sub>b</sub>	24.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>b</sub>	20.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.8%a	27.3%a	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	27.1% <sub>a</sub>	27.4%a	22.0%a	33.6% <sub>a</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	36.0% <sup>1</sup>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	40.0%a	35.0% <sub>a</sub>	36.0% <sub>a</sub>	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	38.6% <sub>a</sub>	30.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.9% <sub>a</sub>	39.3% <sub>a</sub>	43.1% <sub>a</sub>	27.7% <sub>b</sub>	34.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	42.6%a	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.3% <sub>a</sub>	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	42.7% <sub>a</sub>	37.4% <sub>a</sub>	32.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	31.8% <sup>1</sup>	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.5% <sub>a</sub>	41.9%a	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	18.9% <sub>b</sub>	16.3% <sub>b</sub>	27.5%a	40.0% <sub>b</sub>	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	26.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.3%a	37.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	15.8% <sub>b</sub>	29.6%a	34.9% <sub>a</sub>	37.0%a	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.0%a
	Don't Know	0.3%1	0.3%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.4%a	0.0%2	0.8%a	0.0%2	0.6%a	0.4%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.9%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.5%a	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	554	226	305	32	205	158	144	99	210	230	138	215	149	15	513	511	9	153	231	109	37	215	197

Table 13		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age Gr	oups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Ince		Active Mi	1 '	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
1 4 5 1 5				Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Health care quality	Excellent	7.2%1	10.3%a	3.7% <sub>b</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.5%a	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>b</sub>	6.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	5.8%a	14.2% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>b</sub>	6.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	33.3% <sup>1</sup>	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	27.2%a	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	46.2% <sub>b</sub>	49.4% <sub>b</sub>	37.6%a	28.4% <sub>b</sub>	34.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	38.8% <sub>b</sub>	30.6% <sub>a</sub>	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	34.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	29.5%a	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.1% <sub>a</sub>	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	46.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	32.9% <sup>1</sup>	29.4%a	36.2% <sub>b</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>b</sub>	31.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.9%a	27.9%a	34.9% <sub>b</sub>	38.1% <sub>b</sub>	29.1% <sub>a</sub>	29.0%a	39.3% <sub>b</sub>	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	44.0%a	30.2% <sub>b</sub>	24.4% <sub>b</sub>	24.1% <sub>b</sub>	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	25.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	25.1% <sup>1</sup>	26.0%a	23.4% <sub>a</sub>	31.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	15.0% <sub>b</sub>	8.8% <sub>b</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	29.3%a	17.8% <sub>b</sub>	27.7% <sub>a</sub>	26.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.1% <sub>a</sub>	26.6% <sub>b</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	22.9%a	18.0%a	27.0% <sub>b</sub>	32.1% <sub>b</sub>	30.7% <sub>b</sub>	28.3% <sub>a</sub>	17.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	1.5% <sup>1</sup>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.8%a	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1784	704	1010	244	587	490	412	332	702	694	431	661	532	139	1561	1574	95	562	744	282	112	707	554

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age Gr	oups		Ed	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc		Active Mi	1 '	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in Yea				18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Health care quality	Excellent	9.7% <sup>1</sup>	14.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>b</sub>	12.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>b</sub>	6.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	18.6% <sub>a</sub>	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.1% <sub>b</sub>	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	19.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.4% <sub>b</sub>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.8%a	14.1%a	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	33.9% <sup>1</sup>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	49.3% <sub>b</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.2% <sub>a</sub>	37.4%a	28.8%a	35.5% <sub>a</sub>	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	26.6% <sub>a</sub>	38.2% <sub>b</sub>	33.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	39.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	35.0% <sub>a</sub>	40.3%a
	Fair	31.4% <sup>1</sup>	29.2%a	32.9%a	30.0% <sub>a</sub>	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	37.4% <sub>a</sub>	24.1%a	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.0% <sub>b</sub>	36.8% <sub>b</sub>	26.6%a	27.8%a	39.0% <sub>b</sub>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.8%a	44.7%	29.3% <sub>b</sub>	25.9% <sub>b,c</sub>	14.8% <sub>c</sub>	32.8% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	22.7% <sup>1</sup>	22.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.6%a	22.6%a	34.1% <sub>b</sub>	15.0% <sub>a,c</sub>	7.2% <sub>c</sub>	26.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.4%a	18.8% <sub>a</sub>	23.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.9%a	18.6% <sub>a</sub>	12.9%a	27.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.0% <sub>a</sub>	27.3%a	20.5%a	22.7%a	27.3%a	29.9%a	28.1% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	2.2%1	1.3%a	3.6% <sub>b</sub>	4.7%a	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	$0.8\%_{a,b}$	1.4%a	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	4.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.3%a	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.6%a	1.7%a	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	748	306	406	161	253	169	140	111	295	311	181	259	243	116	593	620	67	226	315	112	57	268	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	Groups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	ilitary in H		cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
				Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Health care quality	Excellent	6.4% <sup>1</sup>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.4% <sub>b,c</sub>	16.7% <sub>c</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	10.7%a	2.4% <sub>b</sub>	6.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	22.4%a	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.4%a	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	41.7% <sup>1</sup>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	45.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	40.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	50.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	63.6% <sub>c</sub>	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	47.7%a	46.9% <sub>a</sub>	41.0%a	43.2% <sub>a</sub>	40.5%a	28.0%a	41.9% <sub>a</sub>	42.5%a	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	52.3% <sub>a</sub>	35.0% <sub>b</sub>	35.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	18.7% <sub>b</sub>	46.7% <sub>a</sub>	48.9%a
	Fair	35.6% <sup>1</sup>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	49.4%a	39.3%a	21.8% <sub>b</sub>	16.3% <sub>b</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.0% <sub>a</sub>	23.0% <sub>a</sub>	35.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	47.1% <sub>b</sub>	44.3%a	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.6%a	50.3% <sub>a</sub>	29.8%a	42.4%a	41.2%a	36.3%a	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	15.5% <sup>1</sup>	14.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.0%a	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	16.6% <sub>a</sub>	16.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.3% <sub>b</sub>	7.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	18.6%a	6.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	16.0% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>a</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.4%a	17.2% <sub>a.b</sub>	41.5% <sub>b</sub>	14.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.9%a
	Don't Know	0.8%1	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6%a	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4%a	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	482	173	298	51	130	164	126	123	198	151	113	186	140	8	455	443	19	184	198	60	18	224	121

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	r Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100,000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Health care quality	Excellent	4.9% <sup>1</sup>	6.4%a	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.8%a	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.6%a	11.3% <sub>b</sub>	4.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	4.3%a	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>b</sub>	4.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	30.7% <sup>1</sup>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	30.8%a	22.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.0%a	49.4% <sub>b</sub>	46.1% <sub>b</sub>	41.1%a	21.9% <sub>b</sub>	29.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.1% <sub>a</sub>	41.7% <sub>b</sub>	21.1%a	45.8%a	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.0%a	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	28.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.6% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	25.8% <sub>a</sub>	50.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	33.9% <sup>1</sup>	27.5% <sub>a</sub>	40.3% <sub>b</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	42.6% <sub>b</sub>	$30.3\%_{a,b}$	31.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	29.1%a	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.8% <sub>a</sub>	33.6% <sub>a</sub>	28.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.4%a	26.3%a	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	48.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.1% <sub>b</sub>	19.9% <sub>b</sub>	32.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	37.6% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	29.7% <sup>1</sup>	32.0% <sub>a</sub>	25.0%a	45.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.5% <sub>b</sub>	14.6% <sub>c</sub>	11.2% <sub>c</sub>	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.2% <sub>b</sub>	19.1%a	33.6% <sub>a</sub>	27.5% <sub>a</sub>	31.9%a	27.9%a	28.8%a	31.9% a	13.6% <sub>b</sub>	17.5%a	35.2% <sub>b</sub>	39.2% <sub>b</sub>	30.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	0.9%1	1.1%a	0.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4%a	0.0%2	4.4% <sub>b</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.4%a	1.4%a	0.5%a	0.9%a	0.0%2	0.9%a	0.9%a	0.9%a	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.0%2	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	554	225	306	32	204	157	146	98	209	232	137	216	149	15	513	511	9	152	231	110	37	215	198

Table 14		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	iroups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc		Active M	н	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road		Don't Know	Trump	Harris	
Access to higher education	Excellent	20.1% <sup>1</sup>	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	19.4% <sub>a</sub>	18.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	16.3%a	25.7% <sub>b,c</sub>	29.0% <sub>c</sub>	15.5% <sub>a</sub>	19.2%a	32.8% <sub>b</sub>	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	18.7%a	23.0% <sub>a</sub>	26.6%a	19.7% <sub>b</sub>	20.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.0% <sub>b</sub>	15.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>a</sub>	30.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	44.3% <sup>1</sup>	41.9%a	45.0%a	43.1% <sub>a</sub>	41.4%a	47.5%a	48.0%a	45.8% <sub>a</sub>	45.2%a	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	43.9% <sub>a</sub>	41.1%a	44.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.3%a	45.0% <sub>b</sub>	46.3%a	30.5% <sub>b</sub>	45.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	47.5%a	36.5% <sub>b</sub>	39.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	47.6%a	36.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	23.3% <sup>1</sup>	23.9%a	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.5%a	19.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.3% <sub>b</sub>	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.5%a	21.3%a	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.8%a	20.0% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	22.2% <sub>b</sub>	21.3%a	30.2% <sub>b</sub>	22.9%a	21.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.1%a	28.6%a	22.5%a	19.8%a
	Poor	9.0%1	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.5%a	10.1% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	1.9% <sub>b</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>b</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>b</sub>	10.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.4%	10.2% <sub>b</sub>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.4%a	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.8%a	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	11.4%a	8.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	3.3%1	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.9%a	3.1%a	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>a,c</sub>	7.9% <sub>c</sub>	3.1%a	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.3%a	4.3%a	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.4%a	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.1%a	4.5%a	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.6%a	4.5%a	3.0%a	4.9%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1782	701	1012	243	587	492	409	327	703	696	430	661	533	138	1561	1575	93	562	744	282	110	707	553

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age Gr	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in Ye			Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Access to higher education	Excellent	16.9% <sup>1</sup>	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	16.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.7% <sub>b</sub>	18.0%a	17.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.4% <sub>a</sub>	20.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.8% <sub>b</sub>	16.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.5% <sub>b</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	24.7% <sub>b</sub>	10.1%a	17.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.6%a	27.9% <sub>b</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	17.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	46.7% <sup>1</sup>	43.1% <sub>a</sub>	49.5%a	42.0%a	51.5% <sub>a</sub>	51.2% <sub>a</sub>	48.3%a	44.6%a	49.5%a	48.3% <sub>a</sub>	42.7% <sub>a</sub>	45.5% <sub>a</sub>	54.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	51.3% <sub>b</sub>	50.0% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>b</sub>	49.0%a	51.0%a	44.5% <sub>a</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	47.5%a	43.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	25.3% <sup>1</sup>	28.3%a	21.6% <sub>b</sub>	28.4%a	26.1%a	23.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.3% <sub>b</sub>	26.3%a	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	26.8% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.0% <sub>b</sub>	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	22.1% <sub>b</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	24.9%a	27.6%a	21.0%a	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	21.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	6.7% <sup>1</sup>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.6%a	2.6%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	12.0% <sub>b</sub>	6.1% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.6%a	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.4%a	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	4.4%1	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	$3.0\%_{a,b}$	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	4.6%a	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>b</sub>	4.0%a	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	745	304	406	160	252	168	140	107	295	312	181	259	242	115	592	620	65	225	315	112	55	267	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	litary in		cial ground		Political Be			President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Access to higher education	Excellent	10.0% <sup>1</sup>	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.3% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.8% <sub>a</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	12.5%a	7.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	36.0% <sup>1</sup>	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.6%a	26.6%a	35.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	42.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	44.4% <sub>b</sub>	37.6%a	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.2% <sub>b</sub>	30.8% <sub>a</sub>	44.9% <sub>b</sub>	27.0%a	35.1%a	35.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	29.0%a	46.8% <sub>a</sub>	29.9% <sub>b</sub>	27.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	43.9% <sub>a</sub>	26.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	32.6% <sup>1</sup>	27.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.4% <sub>b</sub>	32.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.8% <sub>a</sub>	27.3%a	28.0% <sub>a</sub>	32.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	45.6% <sub>b</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	28.0%a	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	49.7%a	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	54.8% <sub>b</sub>	26.6% <sub>a</sub>	37.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	47.8% <sub>b</sub>	21.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.9%a	40.3%a
	Poor	17.5% <sup>1</sup>	18.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.9%a	28.6% <sub>a</sub>	19.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.6% <sub>b.c</sub>	5.6% <sub>c</sub>	21.4% <sub>a</sub>	11.1% <sub>b</sub>	19.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	22.6% <sub>a</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	18.6%	9.4%a	11.8% <sub>a</sub>	18.2%a	16.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	44.5% <sub>b</sub>	13.8% <sub>a</sub>	21.1%a
	Don't Know	4.0%1	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.0%a	4.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.1% <sub>b</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.3%a	4.9%a	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.1%a	5.8%a	3.8%a	4.3%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	483	173	299	51	130	166	125	122	199	152	112	186	142	8	456	444	19	185	198	60	18	225	121

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ucation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Ince	ome	Active M			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in Y			Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Access to higher education	Excellent	25.7% <sup>1</sup>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.0% <sub>a</sub>	33.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	22.3%a	55.9% <sub>b</sub>	22.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.3% <sub>a</sub>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.6%a	26.1% <sub>a</sub>	26.8% <sub>a</sub>	24.1% <sub>a</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.3% <sub>a</sub>	41.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	21.0% <sub>a</sub>	45.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	43.9% <sup>1</sup>	41.8%a	43.4%a	48.3%a	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	45.9% <sub>a</sub>	48.6%a	49.5%a	42.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	31.8% <sub>b</sub>	48.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.4% <sub>a</sub>	40.5% <sub>a</sub>	24.9%a	42.6%a	45.6%a	22.8% <sub>b</sub>	40.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	48.1%a	30.6% <sub>b</sub>	44.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	49.1% <sub>a</sub>	32.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	19.2% <sup>1</sup>	18.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.1%a	19.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.6% <sub>b</sub>	11.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	24.1%a	19.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.7% <sub>b</sub>	16.6% <sub>a</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.2%a	19.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.4% <sub>b</sub>	17.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	14.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	9.2%1	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.6%a	9.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	16.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	1.1% <sub>b,c</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.6%a	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.3%a	9.5%a	9.0%a	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	12.1%a	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	11.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	16.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.5%a	3.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	2.1% <sup>1</sup>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.0%2	0.6%a	4.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	554	224	307	32	205	158	144	98	209	232	137	216	149	15	513	511	9	152	231	110	37	215	197

Table 15		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Public outdoor recreational	Excellent	23.2% <sup>1</sup>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>b</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.4% <sub>b</sub>	27.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	18.8% <sub>a</sub>	22.1%a	34.6% <sub>b</sub>	19.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.8% <sub>b</sub>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.3% <sub>b</sub>	22.5%a	33.7% <sub>b</sub>	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	27.9% <sub>b</sub>	24.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.0% <sub>c</sub>	19.0%a	28.6% <sub>b</sub>
opportunities	Good	37.9% <sup>1</sup>	36.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.0% <sub>a</sub>	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	44.0% <sub>b</sub>	48.7% <sub>b</sub>	38.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	38.8% <sub>a</sub>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	37.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>b</sub>	40.4%a	37.4%a	36.6% <sub>a</sub>	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.9% <sub>a</sub>	42.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	27.8% <sup>1</sup>	24.3%a	31.6% <sub>b</sub>	29.0%a	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	18.8% <sub>b</sub>	19.7% <sub>b</sub>	31.1%a	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.0% <sub>b</sub>	31.3% <sub>a</sub>	32.8% <sub>a</sub>	19.8% <sub>b</sub>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.0%a	35.9% <sub>b</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	23.8%a	28.6% <sub>a</sub>	48.2% <sub>b</sub>	27.6% <sub>a</sub>	18.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	9.8%1	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>b</sub>	9.6%a	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.0%	7.5%a	9.8% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.8%a	10.5%a	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.8%a	4.1% <sub>b</sub>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.0%a	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	7.5%a	12.8%a	9.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	1.3% <sup>1</sup>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	1.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.4%a	1.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.7% <sub>b</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1786	705	1010	243	588	493	411	333	701	696	430	662	534	138	1564	1578	94	563	747	281	111	709	553

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Public outdoor recreational	Excellent	25.9% <sup>1</sup>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.0% <sub>b</sub>	27.8%a	24.0%a	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	23.1%a	29.1% <sub>a</sub>	20.8%a	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.0% <sub>a</sub>	34.9%a	23.5% <sub>b</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	29.5% <sub>a</sub>	19.0%a	17.3% <sub>a</sub>	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>
opportunities	Good	40.3% <sup>1</sup>	37.4% <sub>a</sub>	41.2% <sub>a</sub>	36.7% <sub>a</sub>	37.8% <sub>a</sub>	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	59.0% <sub>b</sub>	37.5% <sub>a</sub>	41.3%a	40.6% <sub>a</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.6% <sub>a</sub>	46.1% <sub>a</sub>	34.5% <sub>a</sub>	41.2% <sub>a</sub>	40.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	35.5% <sub>a</sub>	39.1%a	44.9% <sub>a</sub>	47.1%a	39.5% <sub>a</sub>	43.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	23.1% <sup>1</sup>	19.7% <sub>a</sub>	27.8% <sub>b</sub>	25.5%a	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>b</sub>	21.8%a	27.0%a	20.0%a	26.1% <sub>a</sub>	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.8%a	22.4%a	21.1%a	29.9% <sub>b</sub>	24.0%a	22.4%a	21.0%a	27.8% <sub>a</sub>	23.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	9.2%1	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	13.3% <sub>b</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>a</sub>	10.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.6%a	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.1%a	4.6%a	4.8%a	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	10.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	1.5%1	0.6%a	2.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.9%a	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	747	306	405	160	253	169	140	111	293	312	180	259	243	115	593	621	66	226	316	111	56	268	234

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	Groups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc		Active M	н	Backs	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in Ye			Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Public outdoor recreational	Excellent	29.3% <sup>1</sup>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	21.0% <sub>b</sub>	27.6%a	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.0%a	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.5% <sub>a</sub>	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.0% <sub>b</sub>	38.3% <sub>b</sub>	32.0%a	29.4% <sub>a</sub>	29.7%a	30.1%a	32.4%a	32.4%a	19.2%a	12.1% <sub>a</sub>	30.0%a	36.7% <sub>a</sub>
opportunities	Good	38.1% <sup>1</sup>	35.0% <sub>a</sub>	40.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	47.2%a	49.0%a	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.0% <sub>a</sub>	40.5%a	40.9%a	34.5% <sub>a</sub>	40.3%a	62.6%a	37.6%a	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	63.0% <sub>b</sub>	36.6%a	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	36.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.8% <sub>a</sub>	41.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	23.8% <sup>1</sup>	23.7% <sub>a</sub>	24.3%a	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	29.1% <sub>a</sub>	14.9%	15.7%a	24.6% <sub>a</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.5%a	27.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	24.1%a	24.5%a	6.9% <sub>b</sub>	25.0%a	18.1%a	23.6%a	41.2%a	24.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.1%a
	Poor	8.3% <sup>1</sup>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	12.9% <sub>b</sub>	12.6%a	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.0%a	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.5%a	7.6%a	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a.b</sub>	18.9% <sub>b</sub>	10.0% <sub>a.b</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	0.5%1	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.0%a	0.2%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.5%a	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.4%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	484	174	298	51	130	166	126	124	198	152	112	187	142	8	457	445	19	184	200	60	18	225	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Public outdoor recreational	Excellent	18.9% <sup>1</sup>	26.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.1% <sub>b</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	12.6% <sub>b</sub>	24.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	16.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.1%a	20.9% <sub>b</sub>	40.2% <sub>c</sub>	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.3% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	19.6%a	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	41.0% <sub>b</sub>	12.6%a	24.9% <sub>b</sub>	30.5% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.2% <sub>b</sub>
opportunities	Good	35.5% <sup>1</sup>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.0% <sub>a</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.6% <sub>a</sub>	51.6% <sub>b</sub>	41.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	40.3%a	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	37.3% <sub>a</sub>	29.3%a	31.2% <sub>a</sub>	46.3%a	34.0% <sub>a</sub>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>b</sub>	46.0%a	35.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.4% <sub>b</sub>	38.2% <sub>a</sub>	41.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	33.5% <sup>1</sup>	29.0%a	37.3% <sub>b</sub>	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	43.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.1% <sub>b</sub>	28.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	42.8%a	37.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.8% <sub>b</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	41.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.3% <sub>b</sub>	10.4%a	35.1% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	52.2% <sub>b</sub>	25.6%a	26.8%a	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	68.5% <sub>b</sub>	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	10.8% <sup>1</sup>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	15.4% <sub>b</sub>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.8%a	14.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.0%a	18.0% <sub>b</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	1.3% <sup>1</sup>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	555	225	307	32	205	158	145	98	210	232	138	216	149	15	514	512	9	153	231	110	37	216	197

Table 16		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	iroups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M		Ra Backç			Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
10.010		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road		Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Quality of the environment	Excellent	20.9% <sup>1</sup>	26.4% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>b</sub>	18.4% <sub>a</sub>	23.8% <sub>a</sub>	21.4%a	20.6%a	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	21.2% <sub>b</sub>	30.8% <sub>c</sub>	19.5%a	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.5% <sub>b</sub>	30.1%a	20.0% <sub>b</sub>	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	28.9% <sub>b</sub>	24.6%a	21.6%a	21.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>b</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	20.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	48.4% <sup>1</sup>	47.4%a	49.7%a	45.4% <sub>a</sub>	44.5%a	56.2% <sub>b</sub>	56.6% <sub>b</sub>	49.7%a	47.3%a	47.8%a	41.7% <sub>a</sub>	50.7% <sub>b</sub>	48.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	35.9%a	49.9% <sub>b</sub>	49.1%a	46.4%a	46.4% <sub>a</sub>	49.7%a	49.9%a	51.6% <sub>a</sub>	47.9%a	58.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	25.1% <sup>1</sup>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.6%a	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	23.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.3% <sub>b</sub>	19.2% <sub>b,c</sub>	29.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	18.0% <sub>b</sub>	29.4% <sub>a</sub>	25.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.8% <sub>b</sub>	28.8%a	24.5%a	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.3% <sub>b</sub>	25.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	22.2%a	24.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	32.6% <sub>b</sub>	28.4% <sub>a</sub>	15.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	4.7%1	2.8%a	6.9% <sub>b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.9%a	1.9% <sub>b</sub>	2.1% <sub>b,c</sub>	4.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.4%a	2.3% <sub>b</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>b</sub>	1.9% <sub>b</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	4.3%a	7.5% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>b</sub>	3.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.6% <sub>b</sub>	4.2%a	5.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	0.9%1	0.2%a	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.9%a	1.0%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.9%a	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.1%a	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.9%a	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.6%a	0.3%a	0.9%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1785	706	1008	243	587	493	411	332	701	696	428	664	533	139	1562	1576	94	563	746	282	110	708	554

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age Gr	oups		Ed	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Quality of the environment	Excellent	20.9% <sup>1</sup>	28.0% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>b</sub>	19.9% <sub>a</sub>	21.4% <sub>a</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.3%a	23.4% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	16.0% <sub>b</sub>	26.8% <sub>a</sub>	29.4%a	19.0% <sub>b</sub>	20.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.8% <sub>a</sub>	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	21.1% <sub>a</sub>	20.1% <sub>a</sub>	14.6% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	50.8% <sup>1</sup>	50.9%a	48.8%a	45.7% <sub>a</sub>	50.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	53.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	64.2% <sub>b</sub>	49.8%a	51.3% <sub>a</sub>	49.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	51.9% <sub>b</sub>	57.9% <sub>b</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	54.6% <sub>b</sub>	52.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.4% <sub>b</sub>	49.2% <sub>a</sub>	52.5% <sub>a</sub>	54.8%a	43.3% <sub>a</sub>	56.3% <sub>a</sub>	58.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	21.1% <sup>1</sup>	16.1% <sub>a</sub>	27.4% <sub>b</sub>	27.3%a	16.7% <sub>b</sub>	20.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.2% <sub>b</sub>	22.2%a	19.8%a	22.1%a	24.8%a	23.8% <sub>a</sub>	12.7% <sub>b</sub>	30.1% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>b</sub>	20.0%a	24.8% <sub>a</sub>	23.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	20.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	36.5% <sub>b</sub>	20.1%a	13.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	5.6% <sup>1</sup>	4.9%a	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	$2.4\%_{a,b}$	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.4% <sub>b</sub>	4.1%a	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.8%a	10.1% <sub>b</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	1.5%1	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.6%a	1.6%a	0.3%a	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.8%a	0.9%a	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	747	307	404	160	253	169	140	110	294	312	179	260	243	116	592	620	66	226	316	112	55	268	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	r Identity		Age G	Groups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	litary in		cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road		Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Quality of the environment	Excellent	29.5% <sup>1</sup>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>b</sub>	18.3%a	33.8% <sub>b</sub>	33.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	33.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	42.1% <sub>b</sub>	17.4% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>b</sub>	35.1% <sub>b</sub>	32.0%a	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>b</sub>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	48.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	50.7% <sup>1</sup>	43.7% <sub>a</sub>	57.6% <sub>b</sub>	50.5%a	51.6% <sub>a</sub>	51.8% <sub>a</sub>	50.3%a	49.4%a	58.4% <sub>a</sub>	43.1% <sub>a</sub>	52.0% <sub>a</sub>	51.9% <sub>a</sub>	49.6%a	68.0%a	50.6%a	50.4% <sub>a</sub>	55.8% <sub>a</sub>	51.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	57.0%a	36.0% <sub>b</sub>	40.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	55.9% <sub>a</sub>	43.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	16.3% <sup>1</sup>	16.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.0%a	26.0%a	11.8% <sub>b</sub>	13.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.2%a	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.0%a	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.7%a	13.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	17.0%a	16.8% <sub>a</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.0%a	26.5% <sub>b,c</sub>	50.9% <sub>c</sub>	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	2.9%1	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	2.7%a	4.4%a	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.9%a	21.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.5%a	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	0.6%1	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4%a	0.5%a	2.3%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.5%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.3%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	483	173	298	51	130	166	125	123	198	152	111	187	142	8	456	444	19	184	199	60	18	224	122

		St. Lawrence County Participant	Gende	r Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Inco	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
				Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Quality of the environment	Excellent	18.8% <sup>1</sup>	22.1%	15.4% <sub>a</sub>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	16.6% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	21.2% <sub>b</sub>	35.6% <sub>c</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	30.6% <sub>b</sub>	37.0%a	18.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.5%a	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	21.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	18.6%a	15.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	45.5% <sup>1</sup>	44.6%a	48.5% <sub>a</sub>	43.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	59.9% <sub>b</sub>	52.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	49.7% <sub>a</sub>	41.5% <sub>a</sub>	47.0%a	41.6% <sub>a</sub>	49.4%a	38.8% <sub>a</sub>	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	46.3%a	45.8%a	62.8% <sub>b</sub>	42.3%a	44.8%a	47.9%a	59.8% <sub>a</sub>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	62.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	31.2% <sup>1</sup>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.5% <sub>a</sub>	35.3% <sub>a</sub>	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	28.1%a	39.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	14.9% <sub>b</sub>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	30.0% <sub>a</sub>	28.5% <sub>a</sub>	18.4%a	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	27.3%a	27.6%a	42.0% <sub>a</sub>	19.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	4.2%1	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>b</sub>	4.6%a	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.8%	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.6%a	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>b</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	11.3%a	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	0.0%2	3.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.7% <sub>b</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	0.3%1	0.4%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.6%a	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.4%a	0.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	0.0%2	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	555	226	306	32	204	158	146	99	209	232	138	217	148	15	514	512	9	153	231	110	37	216	197

Table 17		All North Country Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	Groups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc		Active M	н	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in Yournment Excellent 4			Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
County government	Excellent	4.2%1	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>b</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	2.2% <sub>b,c</sub>	5.8% <sub>a,c</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	4.8%a	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	13.8%a	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.5%a	3.4%a	3.6%a	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	28.5% <sup>1</sup>	29.8%a	26.9%a	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.4%a	38.6% <sub>b</sub>	41.5% <sub>b</sub>	22.9%a	29.1% <sub>b</sub>	39.5% <sub>c</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.3% <sub>b</sub>	34.6%a	22.7%a	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	22.7%a	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.8%a	19.9% <sub>b</sub>	12.4% <sub>b</sub>	24.8% <sub>a</sub>	38.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	37.1% <sup>1</sup>	35.8%a	39.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.1% <sub>a</sub>	48.6% <sub>b</sub>	42.0% <sub>b,c</sub>	35.2% <sub>c</sub>	40.2%a	34.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.1% <sub>a</sub>	35.3% <sub>a</sub>	39.3%a	27.0%a	38.8% <sub>b</sub>	37.9%a	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	41.2% <sub>a,c</sub>	33.2% <sub>b</sub>	32.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	47.1% <sub>c</sub>	48.6%a	30.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	20.7% <sup>1</sup>	19.4%a	21.8% <sub>a</sub>	23.1% <sub>a</sub>	24.3%a	14.8% <sub>b</sub>	13.6% <sub>b</sub>	22.6%a	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	12.0% <sub>b</sub>	22.4%a	22.0% <sub>a</sub>	17.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.6%a	21.8% <sub>b</sub>	20.5%a	23.9%a	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.4% <sub>a</sub>	18.6%a	18.8%a
	Don't Know	9.5% <sup>1</sup>	9.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.8%a	17.6%a	5.8% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>b</sub>	3.9% <sub>b</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.4%a	14.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	21.9%a	7.6% <sub>b</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.4%a	22.0% <sub>b</sub>	13.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1785	704	1011	244	588	491	411	332	702	695	430	661	534	139	1562	1577	95	563	746	282	111	708	554

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
County government	Excellent	4.8% <sup>1</sup>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>b</sub>	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.0%a	12.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.0%a	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	29.2% <sup>1</sup>	28.1% <sub>a</sub>	29.0%a	24.1%a	23.9% <sub>a</sub>	40.1% <sub>b</sub>	46.5% <sub>b</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	38.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	29.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	35.3% <sub>b</sub>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>b</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.0% <sub>a</sub>	24.6%a	20.9%a	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	36.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	34.1% <sup>1</sup>	36.3%a	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	25.0% <sub>a</sub>	44.3% <sub>b</sub>	42.8% <sub>b</sub>	34.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	32.6%a	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.3% <sub>a</sub>	$36.9\%_{a,b}$	27.5% <sub>a</sub>	42.0% <sub>b</sub>	26.6%a	36.7% <sub>b</sub>	35.0% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	39.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.4%a	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.9% <sub>a</sub>	50.9% <sub>a</sub>	28.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	19.2% <sup>1</sup>	18.0%a	21.1% <sub>a</sub>	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.5% <sub>a</sub>	12.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.7% <sub>b</sub>	21.1%a	22.7%a	8.7% <sub>b</sub>	22.3%a	23.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>b</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>b</sub>	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	24.8%a	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.0% <sub>b</sub>	16.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	22.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	12.7% <sup>1</sup>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.6% <sub>b</sub>	22.9%a	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.6% <sub>b</sub>	14.0%a	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.3%a	24.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.0% <sub>b</sub>	12.8% <sub>a</sub>	15.6%a	10.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.5%a	20.5% <sub>b,c</sub>	22.0% <sub>c</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.0%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	747	306	405	161	253	169	139	111	293	312	181	258	243	116	592	620	67	226	315	112	56	268	234

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	Groups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	ilitary in H		cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
County government	Excellent	2.4%1	4.0%a	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.1%a	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.0%a	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	4.1%a	27.8%a	2.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.9%a	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	31.2% <sup>1</sup>	25.0% <sub>a</sub>	36.5% <sub>b</sub>	20.3%a	28.4% <sub>a</sub>	$32.9\%_{a,b}$	53.1% <sub>b</sub>	27.8%a	29.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	42.5% <sub>b</sub>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.3%a	32.0%a	30.8%a	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	36.1%a	24.1%a	19.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	42.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	38.9% <sup>1</sup>	43.9% <sub>a</sub>	33.7% <sub>b</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	49.5% <sub>b</sub>	38.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.4%a	37.2%a	42.3%a	36.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.0% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	40.5%a	28.9%a	39.0%a	39.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.0%a	39.2% <sub>a</sub>	41.3%a	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.6%a	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	42.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	20.6%1	21.1% <sub>a</sub>	20.9% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	16.3% <sub>b</sub>	21.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.4% <sub>b</sub>	25.0% <sub>a</sub>	21.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>b</sub>	22.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.6%a	22.8%a	0.0%2	21.3% <sub>a</sub>	20.6%a	24.8%a	20.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.4%a	16.0% <sub>a</sub>	37.0%a	26.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	7.0%1	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	14.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.5% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.6%a	9.0%a	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.4%a	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.6%a	6.3%a	4.1%a	18.4% <sub>b</sub>	7.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.4%a	1.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	483	173	299	51	130	165	126	122	199	152	112	186	142	8	456	444	19	184	199	60	18	224	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	r Identity		Age G	iroups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
County government	Excellent	4.1% <sup>1</sup>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	6.6%a	2.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.3%a	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>b</sub>	4.5%a	0.0%2	3.1%a	5.8%a	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.3%a	2.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	27.2% <sup>1</sup>	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.3% <sub>b</sub>	29.4% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>b</sub>	38.9% <sub>a</sub>	35.3% <sub>a</sub>	18.1%a	30.4% <sub>b</sub>	40.2% <sub>b</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>b</sub>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.0%a	27.0% <sub>a</sub>	25.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.7% <sub>b,c</sub>	3.5% <sub>c</sub>	21.1% <sub>a</sub>	38.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	39.7% <sup>1</sup>	33.3% <sub>a</sub>	47.0% <sub>b</sub>	26.4% <sub>a</sub>	51.8% <sub>b</sub>	42.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	37.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	49.0%a	32.8% <sub>b</sub>	33.2% <sub>b</sub>	34.4% <sub>a</sub>	41.9% <sub>a</sub>	36.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.8%a	40.3% <sub>a</sub>	40.1% <sub>a</sub>	41.6% <sub>a</sub>	43.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	30.1% <sub>a</sub>	38.1% <sub>a</sub>	59.8% <sub>b</sub>	51.1% <sub>a</sub>	30.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	22.2% <sup>1</sup>	20.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.9%a	24.5% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.7% <sub>a</sub>	19.4%a	23.4%a	24.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.7% <sub>a</sub>	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.3% <sub>a</sub>	27.6%a	22.4% <sub>a</sub>	22.9% <sub>a</sub>	21.9%a	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	29.3%a	22.1%a	17.4%a
	Don't Know	6.8% <sup>1</sup>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.1%a	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>b</sub>	4.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.8%a	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	24.0% <sub>b</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	11.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	555	225	307	32	205	157	146	99	210	231	137	217	149	15	514	513	9	153	232	110	37	216	198

Table 18		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince		Active Mi	1 '	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Town and village government	Excellent	3.4% <sup>1</sup>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.6%	4.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.8%a	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	32.2% <sup>1</sup>	32.4%a	32.0% <sub>a</sub>	28.4%a	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	40.1% <sub>b</sub>	40.0% <sub>b</sub>	30.4%a	30.4%a	38.8% <sub>b</sub>	$32.4\%_{a,b}$	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	36.3% <sub>b</sub>	23.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>b</sub>	31.2% <sub>a</sub>	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.1% <sub>a,c</sub>	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	20.6% <sub>b</sub>	24.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	33.6% <sup>1</sup>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	35.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.0%a	37.2% <sub>b</sub>	40.0% <sub>b</sub>	34.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	31.0% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	29.9%a	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	36.1% <sub>a</sub>	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	34.4%a	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	35.1% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	38.2% <sub>a</sub>	40.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	22.2% <sup>1</sup>	23.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.4%a	26.3% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	15.5% <sub>b</sub>	22.7%a	25.6%a	15.9% <sub>b</sub>	25.5% <sub>a</sub>	24.8% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>b</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	24.1% <sub>b</sub>	22.8%a	20.0%a	20.6%a	21.0%a	28.5% <sub>a</sub>	22.2%a	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	18.6%a
	Don't Know	8.7% <sup>1</sup>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	14.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	4.5% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.4%a	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.4%a	12.0% <sub>b</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.3%a	6.9% <sub>b</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	12.8% <sub>b</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.4% <sub>a,c</sub>	15.8% <sub>b</sub>	12.4% <sub>b,c</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1783	705	1008	244	587	490	412	334	702	691	431	662	533	139	1560	1574	95	564	743	281	112	709	552

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Town and village government	Excellent	4.9%1	6.4%a	2.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.1%	4.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.9% <sub>b</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.1%a	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>b</sub>	4.0%a	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.9%a	5.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	30.7% <sup>1</sup>	29.1%a	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	24.9%a	29.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	40.6% <sub>b</sub>	43.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	26.9%a	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	37.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.0%a	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	32.0% <sub>a</sub>	23.8% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.1% <sub>a</sub>	24.5%a	29.4%a	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.0%a
	Fair	33.1% <sup>1</sup>	34.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.4%a	29.1%a	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.4%a	37.1%a	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	35.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	33.3% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	37.1% <sub>a</sub>	34.1%a	23.1% <sub>a</sub>	30.8% <sub>a</sub>	37.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	18.5% <sup>1</sup>	18.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.9%a	19.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.8%a	9.7% <sub>b,c</sub>	4.5% <sub>c</sub>	21.4%	18.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.0% <sub>b</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	21.5% <sub>b</sub>	17.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.8% <sub>a,c,d</sub>	17.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	30.4% <sub>c</sub>	11.4% <sub>b,d</sub>	18.8%a	24.6%a
	Don't Know	12.7% <sup>1</sup>	10.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.1%a	7.7% <sub>b</sub>	4.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	12.0%a	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	10.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	23.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>b</sub>	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	15.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	18.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	22.5% <sub>b</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	744	306	403	161	253	167	139	111	293	309	181	258	243	116	589	616	67	226	312	111	57	268	232

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation L	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	litary in		cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road		Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Town and village government	Excellent	3.5% <sup>1</sup>	4.0%a	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	4.5%a	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.3%a	4.8%a	1.7%	1.8%a	4.2%a	3.1%a	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.8%a	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	4.6%a	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.0%a	3.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	37.7% <sup>1</sup>	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	41.6% <sub>b</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	$38.9\%_{a,b}$	58.5% <sub>b</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	43.9% <sub>a</sub>	39.8% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	44.1%a	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	37.1% <sub>a</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	44.8%a	28.8% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	37.5% <sub>a</sub>	52.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	33.6% <sup>1</sup>	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	28.9% <sub>b</sub>	30.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	43.1% <sub>a</sub>	34.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.8% <sub>b</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.1% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	29.1%a	30.6%a	43.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.0% <sub>a</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	37.0%a	31.2% <sub>a</sub>	22.6%a	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	17.5% <sup>1</sup>	16.6% <sub>a</sub>	19.1%a	23.4% <sub>a</sub>	16.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	10.8%a	21.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.5% <sub>b</sub>	25.0% <sub>a</sub>	16.4%a	14.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.8% <sub>a</sub>	17.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	16.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	37.0% <sub>b</sub>	18.9%a	9.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	7.7%1	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.4%a	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.4%a	2.0%a	11.4% <sub>b</sub>	6.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.6%a	7.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.6% <sub>b</sub>	7.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.9%a	2.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	484	174	298	51	129	166	127	124	199	151	113	187	141	8	457	445	19	185	199	60	18	225	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Ince		Active Mi	1 '	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in Yea			Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Town and village government	Excellent	1.9%1	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	25.6%a	1.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.8%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	32.4% <sup>1</sup>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	29.6%a	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	28.0% <sub>a</sub>	40.0%a	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	28.6% <sub>a</sub>	39.2% <sub>a</sub>	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.3% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	29.0%a	51.5% <sub>b</sub>	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	38.8% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>b</sub>	18.7% <sub>b</sub>	26.3%a	37.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	33.9% <sup>1</sup>	27.1% <sub>a</sub>	41.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.0%a	38.6% <sub>b</sub>	41.3% <sub>b</sub>	36.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	39.6%a	29.6%a	29.3%a	27.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	29.9%a	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	35.3% <sub>a</sub>	24.9%a	33.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.1%a	41.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	46.8% <sub>b</sub>	46.8%a	32.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	26.9% <sup>1</sup>	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.2% <sub>b</sub>	37.0%a	27.3%a	10.6% <sub>b</sub>	24.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.2% <sub>a</sub>	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.3%a	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	28.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.5% <sub>a</sub>	31.3% <sub>a</sub>	27.6%a	28.8% <sub>a</sub>	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	28.8% <sub>a</sub>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	4.9% <sup>1</sup>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.1%a	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.7%a	4.4%a	4.7%a	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.8%a	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.7%a	7.8%a	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.5%a	8.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	555	225	307	32	205	157	146	99	210	231	137	217	149	15	514	513	9	153	232	110	37	216	198

Table 19		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age (	Groups		Ed	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be			President : Choice (am	
	in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris	
Real estate taxes	Excellent	1.8%1	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.3%a	1.1%	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	1.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.6%a	1.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.3%a	4.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.6%a	1.1%	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	13.0% <sup>1</sup>	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	15.1% <sub>b</sub>	12.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.9%a	14.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.5% <sub>b</sub>	13.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.7% <sub>a</sub>	17.4% <sub>b</sub>	14.1%a	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.0%a	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	17.5%a	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.4% <sub>b</sub>	13.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	18.7% <sub>b</sub>	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	16.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	35.6% <sup>1</sup>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	43.4% <sub>b</sub>	42.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	37.0% <sub>a</sub>	37.4% <sub>a</sub>	31.6%a	36.4% <sub>a</sub>	35.6% <sub>a</sub>	37.6%a	34.2% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	40.6%a	31.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	42.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	42.0% <sup>1</sup>	44.4%a	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.7% <sub>a</sub>	55.7% <sub>b</sub>	39.1%a	31.0%a	40.0% <sub>a</sub>	48.9% <sub>b</sub>	36.1% <sub>a</sub>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	44.9% <sub>b</sub>	43.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	22.0%a	45.9% <sub>b</sub>	43.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.9% <sub>b</sub>	50.1%a	42.4% <sub>b</sub>	30.7% <sub>c</sub>	36.4% <sub>b,c</sub>	53.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	7.6% <sup>1</sup>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.8% <sub>b</sub>	12.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>b,c</sub>	1.8% <sub>b</sub>	6.4% <sub>c</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	12.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>c</sub>	28.8%a	4.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>b</sub>	6.2% <sub>a,c</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.0% <sub>b</sub>	10.7% <sub>b,c</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1787	705	1012	244	587	493	412	333	703	695	432	662	533	138	1565	1578	94	564	747	281	111	709	554

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc		Active Mi	1 '	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Real estate taxes	Excellent	2.8% <sup>1</sup>	4.5%a	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>b</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.6%a	6.0%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	13.5% <sup>1</sup>	12.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	$12.5\%_{a,b}$	20.6% <sub>b</sub>	13.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.6% <sub>b</sub>	12.1% <sub>a</sub>	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.6%a	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.4%a	20.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	33.3% <sup>1</sup>	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	$32.0\%_{a,b}$	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	42.6% <sub>b</sub>	42.8% <sub>b,c</sub>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	27.9%a	38.6% <sub>b</sub>	33.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.4% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	35.6%a	35.1% <sub>a</sub>	37.4% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	23.1% <sub>a</sub>	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	39.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	37.8% <sup>1</sup>	40.0%a	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	28.5% <sub>a</sub>	59.3% <sub>b</sub>	35.6% <sub>a</sub>	26.9%a	38.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	43.3% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>b</sub>	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	39.8%a	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.1%a	44.6% <sub>b</sub>	41.0% <sub>a</sub>	27.5% <sub>b</sub>	40.2% <sub>a</sub>	40.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.9% <sub>a</sub>	52.4% <sub>a</sub>	28.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	12.6% <sup>1</sup>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.0%a	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>b</sub>	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	11.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.8% <sub>b</sub>	9.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	16.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.8%a	1.9% <sub>b</sub>	29.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>b</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.6%a	11.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	21.6% <sub>b</sub>	19.6% <sub>b,c</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	748	306	406	161	253	169	140	111	294	312	181	259	243	116	593	621	66	226	316	112	56	268	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	iroups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	ilitary in H		cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
				Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Real estate taxes	Excellent	0.7%1	0.7%a	0.8%a	0.7%a	0.4%a	0.8%a	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.0%2	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.5%a	0.9%a
	Good	17.3% <sup>1</sup>	19.6%a	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.8%a	20.5%a	20.4%a	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	14.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	22.0%a	17.2%a	17.1% <sub>a</sub>	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	29.3% <sub>a</sub>	14.6% <sub>a</sub>	19.2%a	26.0%a	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	34.4% <sup>1</sup>	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	41.5% <sub>b</sub>	34.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.9%a	34.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	49.3% <sub>b</sub>	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	40.3%a	25.8% <sub>a</sub>	41.8% <sub>b</sub>	31.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	70.0%a	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	33.6% <sub>a</sub>	48.0% <sub>a</sub>	30.1% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	50.5% <sub>b</sub>	51.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.6%a	53.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	43.3% <sup>1</sup>	52.0% <sub>a</sub>	36.5% <sub>b</sub>	41.4%a	54.0%a	46.0%a	23.6% <sub>b</sub>	42.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	53.6%a	32.9% <sub>b</sub>	46.9% <sub>a</sub>	40.9%a	43.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	44.6%a	44.6%a	20.0% <sub>b</sub>	52.6%a	40.5%a	18.4% <sub>b</sub>	27.1% <sub>a.b</sub>	52.9% <sub>a</sub>	21.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	4.3%1	1.9%a	6.2% <sub>b</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.0%a	12.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	12.8%a	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.1%a	2.7%a	1.6%a	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.8%a	1.8%a	2.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	483	173	299	51	129	166	126	123	199	151	113	186	141	7	457	444	19	185	199	59	18	225	121

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gende	r Identity		Age G	iroups		Edu	cation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Real estate taxes	Excellent	1.0%1	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.1%a	1.4%a	0.4%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.0%2	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	1.3%a	0.3%a	0.0%2	0.8%a	0.9%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.5%a	4.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	1.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	11.6% <sup>1</sup>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.0% <sub>b</sub>	$10.3\%_{a,b}$	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.2% <sub>b</sub>	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.0% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>b</sub>	$10.7\%_{a,b}$	21.4% <sub>b</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	38.2% <sup>1</sup>	42.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	46.6%a	40.3%a	43.4%a	34.5% <sub>a</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	42.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.4%a	42.5%a	41.8%a	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.9% <sub>a</sub>	40.0%a	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	37.1%a	47.0%a	37.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	43.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	45.9% <sup>1</sup>	47.0%a	43.8% <sub>a</sub>	47.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	53.0% <sub>a</sub>	39.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	35.6% <sub>b</sub>	41.2% <sub>a</sub>	53.1% <sub>b</sub>	43.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.3%a	50.3% <sub>b</sub>	49.2% <sub>b</sub>	32.6%a	47.1%a	46.0% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	58.1%a	44.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	33.5% <sub>b</sub>	38.5% <sub>b,c</sub>	55.2% <sub>a</sub>	36.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	3.3% <sup>1</sup>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>b</sub>	2.0%a	4.8%a	1.1%a	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>b</sub>	25.6%a	2.5% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.7%a	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	556	226	307	32	205	158	146	99	210	232	138	217	149	15	515	513	9	153	232	110	37	216	198

Table 20		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ıcation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc		Active Mi	i"	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
1 44010 20		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Policing and crime control	Excellent	8.5% <sup>1</sup>	10.7%a	6.1% <sub>b</sub>	12.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>b</sub>	8.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.8% <sub>b</sub>	8.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	11.3% <sub>b</sub>	10.0%a	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>b</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.6%a
	Good	34.8% <sup>1</sup>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	36.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	44.6% <sub>b</sub>	36.6%a	26.5% <sub>b</sub>	45.3% <sub>c</sub>	32.8% <sub>a</sub>	32.3%a	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.1%a	35.0% <sub>a</sub>	34.9% <sub>a</sub>	38.1% <sub>a</sub>	33.9% <sub>a</sub>	36.9%a	31.0% <sub>a</sub>	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	39.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	31.3% <sup>1</sup>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	29.2%a	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	27.3%a	37.8% <sub>b</sub>	27.6%a	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	27.8%a	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	18.0% <sub>b</sub>	37.0% <sub>a</sub>	28.8% <sub>b</sub>	30.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	29.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	34.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	23.3% <sup>1</sup>	22.2%a	24.5% <sub>a</sub>	23.0%a	30.7% <sub>b</sub>	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	17.0%a	24.4%a	28.1%a	14.1% <sub>b</sub>	26.6% <sub>a</sub>	24.7%a	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.9%a	25.8% <sub>b</sub>	24.0%a	26.1%a	20.9%a	23.6%a	28.1%a	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	22.6%a	15.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	2.1% <sup>1</sup>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.8%a	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	14.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.6%a	2.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1785	705	1011	245	587	491	412	332	704	694	432	661	533	140	1562	1576	95	561	747	282	112	706	555

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in d crime control Excellent			Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Policing and crime control	Excellent	9.4%1	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	11.4%	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	11.3%	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.1%a	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>b</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.6% <sub>b</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.9%a	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.8%a	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	37.3% <sup>1</sup>	35.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	34.1%a	33.1% <sub>a</sub>	45.7% <sub>a</sub>	48.3%a	36.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	32.9%a	47.1% <sub>b</sub>	38.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	43.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>b</sub>	39.1% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.6% <sub>a</sub>	40.2%a	42.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	49.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	29.7% <sup>1</sup>	29.3%a	30.6%a	27.7%a	34.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.4%a	28.5%a	26.1% <sub>a</sub>	35.5% <sub>b</sub>	26.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.6% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	30.5% <sub>a</sub>	28.5% <sub>a</sub>	30.8% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.7% <sub>b</sub>	41.3% <sub>a</sub>	26.0% <sub>b</sub>	22.6% <sub>b</sub>	31.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	19.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	19.5% <sup>1</sup>	17.4%a	22.0%a	18.1%a	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.1%a	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.3%a	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	22.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.1%a	15.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.7% <sub>b</sub>	19.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.5%a	16.9%a	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	20.1% <sub>a</sub>	14.4%a	19.3%a	16.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	4.1% <sup>1</sup>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	$0.0\%^{2}$	1.0% <sub>b</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>b</sub>	1.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	15.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	12.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.6%a	5.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.4%a	2.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	748	307	405	162	253	168	140	111	295	311	181	259	243	117	592	620	67	225	316	112	57	267	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	r Identity		Age G	Groups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	litary in		cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road		Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Policing and crime control	Excellent	11.3% <sup>1</sup>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.6% <sub>b</sub>	11.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	14.2% <sub>a,t</sub>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.3% <sub>a</sub>	11.6%a	10.3%a	48.9%a	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	12.2%	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	15.9%a	7.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	43.9% <sup>1</sup>	45.0%a	41.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	37.1%a	50.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	56.2% <sub>b</sub>	40.1%a	41.8%a	53.6% <sub>a</sub>	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	46.0% <sub>b</sub>	49.2% <sub>b</sub>	18.2%a	43.5% <sub>a</sub>	43.1% <sub>a</sub>	41.2% <sub>a</sub>	48.8% <sub>a</sub>	43.6%a	29.6%a	29.9% <sub>a</sub>	47.6%a	46.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	27.3% <sup>1</sup>	24.3%a	30.0% <sub>a</sub>	25.9%a	32.4%a	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.6%a	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.3%a	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	29.5%a	27.1%a	26.3%a	21.5%a	27.5%a	27.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.6%a	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.3%a	30.6%a	23.9% <sub>a</sub>	30.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	16.4% <sup>1</sup>	15.1% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	28.4%a	13.8% <sub>b</sub>	8.3% <sub>b</sub>	9.1% <sub>b</sub>	20.6%a	17.5%a	4.4% <sub>b</sub>	23.0% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.3%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	16.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.1%a	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	33.7% <sub>b</sub>	39.4% <sub>b</sub>	12.4%a	13.6% <sub>a</sub>
1	Don't Know	1.2%1	0.3%a	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.1%a	1.5%a	0.9%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.5%a	6.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.0%a	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	11.4%a	1.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.3%a	0.4%a	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1%a	2.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	483	173	299	51	130	165	126	123	199	151	113	186	141	8	456	444	19	184	199	60	18	224	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	iroups		Edu	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Policing and crime control	Excellent	6.9% <sup>1</sup>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.3% <sub>b</sub>	6.8%a	4.1%a	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.7%a	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	28.0%a	6.2% <sub>b</sub>	7.5%a	0.0%2	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.9%	5.0%a
	Good	30.1% <sup>1</sup>	27.6% <sub>a</sub>	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.5%a	29.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	39.2%a	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	17.6% <sub>b</sub>	41.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	28.4%a	28.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.7%a	29.9%a	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	52.5% <sub>b</sub>	29.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.8%a	36.1% <sub>a</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.0%a
	Fair	33.9% <sup>1</sup>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	32.0%a	32.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.1% <sub>a</sub>	40.3%a	37.0%a	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	41.2% <sub>b</sub>	29.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	37.3% <sub>a</sub>	21.5%a	33.9% <sub>a</sub>	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	11.1% <sub>b</sub>	37.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	38.6% <sub>a</sub>	28.6% <sub>a</sub>	34.5% <sub>a</sub>	48.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	28.8% <sup>1</sup>	28.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.6%a	28.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	38.6% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>b</sub>	20.9% <sub>b,c</sub>	28.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>b</sub>	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.8%a	29.6%a	29.9% <sub>a</sub>	35.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.9% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	30.1%a	15.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	0.3%1	0.0%2	0.5%a	0.0%2	$0.0\%^{2}$	1.3%a	0.6%a	0.0%2	0.5%a	0.6%a	0.3%a	0.1%a	0.8%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.9%a	0.0%2	0.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	554	225	307	32	204	158	146	98	210	232	138	216	149	15	514	512	9	152	232	110	37	215	198

Table 21		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of good jobs	Excellent	3.0% <sup>1</sup>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	2.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	4.0%a	2.6%a	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>b</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.8%a	0.0%2	4.8%a	3.4%a	0.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	17.2% <sup>1</sup>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	25.7% <sub>b</sub>	19.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.5% <sub>a</sub>	13.7% <sub>b</sub>	18.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	15.8%a	18.8%a	17.0%a	17.0% <sub>a</sub>	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.7% <sub>b</sub>	20.3%a	17.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	14.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.7% <sub>a</sub>	17.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	35.9% <sup>1</sup>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	41.0% <sub>b</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.1%a	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	42.7% <sub>b</sub>	28.3%a	38.5% <sub>b</sub>	38.8% <sub>b</sub>	25.8%a	36.7% <sub>b</sub>	34.6%a	47.8% <sub>b</sub>	27.6% <sub>a</sub>	37.8% <sub>b</sub>	44.1% <sub>b</sub>	42.3% <sub>b</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	38.4% <sup>1</sup>	40.7%a	35.7% <sub>b</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	45.2% <sub>b</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.4%a	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	43.7% <sub>b</sub>	34.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	40.5%a	38.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	41.5% <sub>b</sub>	40.6%a	21.7% <sub>b</sub>	43.3%a	37.9%a	38.2% <sub>a</sub>	33.1% <sub>a</sub>	44.4%a	38.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	5.5% <sup>1</sup>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a	4.6%a	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>b</sub>	24.5%a	2.7% <sub>b</sub>	4.1%a	12.2% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.3%a	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.8%a	3.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1784	705	1010	244	586	493	411	332	701	695	431	661	533	139	1561	1575	95	563	745	281	111	708	553

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ıcation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	illity of good jobs Excellent			Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of good jobs	Excellent	4.4% <sup>1</sup>	6.8%a	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.4%a	1.1%a	0.8%a	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.0%a	2.5% <sub>b</sub>	2.0% <sub>b</sub>	12.0%a	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	10.4% <sub>b</sub>	3.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.1%a	0.0%2	10.0% <sub>b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	21.7% <sup>1</sup>	21.3% <sub>a</sub>	21.1% <sub>a</sub>	20.1% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>a</sub>	27.4% <sub>a</sub>	23.6%a	24.4%a	17.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.0% <sub>a</sub>	17.3% <sub>a</sub>	19.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.5% <sub>b</sub>	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	23.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>b</sub>	19.6% <sub>a</sub>	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.9%a	21.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	35.9% <sup>1</sup>	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	34.1%a	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	39.3% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	30.0%a	39.1% <sub>a</sub>	41.3% <sub>a</sub>	29.0%a	40.6% <sub>b</sub>	37.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>b</sub>	36.1% <sub>a</sub>	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	29.9% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	48.9% <sub>b</sub>	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.1%a	46.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	28.5% <sup>1</sup>	24.0%a	34.6% <sub>b</sub>	22.4%a	40.0% <sub>b</sub>	28.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	29.0%a	29.6%a	27.2% <sub>a</sub>	18.8% <sub>a</sub>	32.8% <sub>b</sub>	30.1% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	27.1% <sub>a</sub>	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	29.8%a	40.6%a	26.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	9.5%1	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.4% <sub>b,c</sub>	12.8% <sub>a,c</sub>	12.3%a	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>b</sub>	4.4% <sub>b</sub>	27.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>b</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	18.6% <sub>b</sub>	12.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.4%a	6.7%a	16.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.1%a	4.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	745	306	404	161	252	169	139	111	292	311	180	259	242	116	590	618	67	226	314	111	56	268	233

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	Groups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc		Active M	4 '	Backs	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of good jobs	Excellent	4.2%1	3.8%a	4.2%a	4.3%a	3.6%a	4.3%a	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.3%a	0.0%2	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	25.0% <sup>1</sup>	27.3%a	22.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	29.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.5% <sub>b</sub>	28.6%a	20.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.1% <sub>a</sub>	21.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.9%a	22.8%a	37.6%a	24.4%a	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	21.6%a	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.4% <sub>a</sub>	33.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	32.1% <sup>1</sup>	23.8% <sub>a</sub>	40.5% <sub>b</sub>	28.7% <sub>a</sub>	28.1% <sub>a</sub>	42.2% <sub>a</sub>	37.3%a	26.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	40.4% <sub>b</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.9%a	37.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.5%a	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	46.6%a	28.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	25.9%a	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	36.6%a
	Poor	36.6% <sup>1</sup>	42.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.5% <sub>b</sub>	47.6%a	44.3%a	21.9% <sub>b</sub>	19.1% <sub>b</sub>	41.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.8% <sub>b</sub>	41.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.5%a	32.3% <sub>a</sub>	26.9%a	37.3%a	37.1%a	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.4%a	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	47.5%a	50.9%a	34.6%a	28.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	2.1% <sup>1</sup>	2.3%a	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.0%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	0.5%a	3.1%a	4.0%a	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.3%a	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.6%a	1.5%a	0.0%2	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	484	174	299	51	130	166	126	123	199	152	113	186	142	8	457	445	19	185	199	60	18	225	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	r Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100,000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of good jobs	Excellent	1.4%1	1.0%a	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.1%a	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.6%a	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.5%a	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	Good	10.8% <sup>1</sup>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	14.3% <sub>b</sub>	6.8%a	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	23.2% <sub>b</sub>	12.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	26.6%a	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	17.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>b</sub>	7.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.3% <sub>b</sub>	17.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	36.8% <sup>1</sup>	32.0% <sub>a</sub>	44.0% <sub>b</sub>	38.4% <sub>a</sub>	42.6%a	26.4%a	35.3% <sub>a</sub>	39.0%a	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	44.7% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	40.3% <sub>b</sub>	20.6%a	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	77.1% <sub>b</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	36.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	42.9% <sub>b,c</sub>	58.6% <sub>c</sub>	27.6%a	35.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	48.7% <sup>1</sup>	57.4%a	37.9% <sub>b</sub>	52.1% <sub>a</sub>	49.7%a	44.1%a	43.9%a	45.3%a	55.8%a	41.8% <sub>a</sub>	48.7%a	50.7% <sub>a</sub>	50.8%a	50.4%a	49.3%a	50.8% <sub>a</sub>	15.8% <sub>b</sub>	54.0%a	50.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	47.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	35.0% <sub>b</sub>	52.3% <sub>a</sub>	50.1%a
	Don't Know	2.3%1	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	0.9%a	0.8%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.0%a	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	1.3%a	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.6%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	555	225	307	32	204	158	146	98	210	232	138	216	149	15	514	512	9	152	232	110	37	215	198

Table 22		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
1 4 5 10 22		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Shopping opportunities	Excellent	4.8% <sup>1</sup>	6.3%a	3.5% <sub>b</sub>	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	4.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	18.1%a	3.0% <sub>b</sub>	4.1%a	9.0% <sub>b</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.1%a	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.0%a	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a
	Good	23.1% <sup>1</sup>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	20.3% <sub>b</sub>	22.5%a	19.8%a	23.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	31.4% <sub>b</sub>	27.4% <sub>a</sub>	20.2% <sub>b</sub>	19.4% <sub>b</sub>	23.4% <sub>a</sub>	22.7% <sub>a</sub>	22.3%a	26.5%a	22.5%a	24.6%a	15.4% <sub>b</sub>	22.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	36.6% <sup>1</sup>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.1% <sub>a</sub>	40.2%a	33.6% <sub>a</sub>	39.9%	32.4%a	35.1% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	41.7%a	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	33.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.9%a	37.1%a	35.3%a	51.2% <sub>b</sub>	33.6%a	39.6%a	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	38.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.5% <sub>a</sub>	39.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	34.7% <sup>1</sup>	30.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.6% <sub>b</sub>	28.6%a	43.4% <sub>b</sub>	31.3% <sub>a</sub>	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	29.9%a	39.3% <sub>b</sub>	35.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.6%a	36.5% <sub>b</sub>	40.6% <sub>b</sub>	19.3%a	36.9% <sub>b</sub>	35.5%a	20.6% <sub>b</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	37.2% <sub>a</sub>	29.1%a	42.7%a	36.6% <sub>b</sub>
i	Don't Know	0.8% <sup>1</sup>	1.2%a	0.4%a	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.1%a	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.2%a	0.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.6%a	0.4%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
1	Unweighted n	1781	702	1009	245	586	490	409	330	700	695	431	659	532	140	1559	1572	95	562	742	282	112	702	554

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Shopping opportunities	Excellent	9.9%1	13.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.3% <sub>b</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>b</sub>	10.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.2% <sub>b</sub>	17.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	20.0%a	6.7% <sub>b</sub>	8.8%a	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	16.7% <sub>b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	34.7% <sup>1</sup>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	39.4% <sub>a</sub>	44.3%a	40.3%a	27.8% <sub>b</sub>	34.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	33.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	30.3%a	42.7% <sub>b</sub>	27.2% <sub>a</sub>	36.3% <sub>b</sub>	38.1% <sub>a</sub>	21.9% <sub>b</sub>	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	28.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	36.3% <sup>1</sup>	36.0%a	37.6%a	37.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	37.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.3% <sub>a</sub>	41.2% <sub>b</sub>	37.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	37.3% <sub>a</sub>	37.4%a	31.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	38.6% <sub>a</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	44.1%a	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	40.9% <sub>b</sub>	42.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	33.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	47.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	17.9% <sup>1</sup>	14.0%a	21.6% <sub>b</sub>	16.6% <sub>a</sub>	24.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.0% <sub>a</sub>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.6%a	21.8% <sub>b</sub>	20.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.4%a	23.8% <sub>b</sub>	19.9% <sub>b</sub>	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	17.4%a	14.3%a	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>b</sub>	15.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.7% <sub>b</sub>	30.0%a	13.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	1.2%1	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.4%a	0.5%a	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	3.6%a	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.3%a	0.9%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	746	305	405	162	252	168	139	110	294	311	181	258	242	117	590	618	67	226	313	112	57	265	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	iroups		Ed	ucation L	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	ilitary in H		cial round		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Shopping opportunities	Excellent	3.4% <sup>1</sup>	4.0%a	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.3%a	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.7%a	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	5.1%a	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	25.9% <sup>1</sup>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.5%a	21.6%a	19.4% <sub>a</sub>	29.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	44.3% <sub>b</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	28.5%a	22.7% <sub>a</sub>	26.6%a	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.3%a	27.8%a	26.0%a	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.8%a	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	22.9%a	27.0%a	19.0% <sub>a</sub>	26.0% <sub>a</sub>	25.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	46.1% <sup>1</sup>	47.2%a	44.5%a	45.9%a	49.2% <sub>a</sub>	46.0%a	40.4%a	45.8% <sub>a</sub>	48.1%a	43.3% <sub>a</sub>	47.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	39.0% <sub>a</sub>	54.7% <sub>b</sub>	51.6%a	45.9%a	47.4%a	18.3% <sub>b</sub>	49.9% <sub>a,c</sub>	42.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.6% <sub>b</sub>	74.2% <sub>c</sub>	49.1%a	47.9%a
	Poor	24.2%1	22.9%a	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.1% <sub>a</sub>	20.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.7% <sub>b</sub>	24.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.3%a	21.6%a	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	22.7%a	5.4%a	24.6%a	22.9% <sub>a</sub>	51.8% <sub>b</sub>	14.8%a	32.0% <sub>b,c</sub>	46.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.8% <sub>a,c</sub>	18.4% <sub>a</sub>	23.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	0.4%1	0.4%a	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.8%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.8%a	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	0.0%2	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	481	172	298	51	130	165	124	122	197	152	113	185	141	8	456	443	19	185	197	60	18	223	121

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gende	r Identity		Age G	iroups		Edu	cation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Shopping opportunities	Excellent	0.1% <sup>1</sup>	0.1%a	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.1%a	0.5%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1%a
	Good	10.8% <sup>1</sup>	15.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>b</sub>	11.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.4% <sub>b</sub>	14.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>b</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>b</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	12.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	18.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.1%a	9.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	34.6% <sup>1</sup>	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	43.3% <sub>a</sub>	29.3% <sub>b</sub>	39.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	35.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	29.2% <sub>a</sub>	45.2% <sub>b</sub>	36.1% <sub>a</sub>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	29.9%a	49.0%a	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	70.5% <sub>b</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	37.5% <sub>a</sub>	25.5% <sub>a</sub>	41.5% <sub>a</sub>	23.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.1%a
	Poor	54.0% <sup>1</sup>	49.0%a	56.2% <sub>a</sub>	45.2% <sub>a</sub>	63.7% <sub>b</sub>	49.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	49.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	48.6%a	58.9% <sub>a</sub>	50.8% <sub>a</sub>	51.3% <sub>a</sub>	49.8%a	66.0% <sub>b</sub>	32.3%a	54.5%a	54.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.5% <sub>b</sub>	55.9% <sub>a</sub>	52.2% <sub>a</sub>	55.5% <sub>a</sub>	48.8% <sub>a</sub>	65.6% <sub>a</sub>	59.0%a
	Don't Know	0.5% <sup>1</sup>	0.8%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	0.0%2	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6%a	0.6%a	0.9%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	554	225	306	32	204	157	146	98	209	232	137	216	149	15	513	511	9	151	232	110	37	214	198

Table 23		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age Gr	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Quality of K-12 education	Excellent	13.1% <sup>1</sup>	14.4%	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.8%a	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	12.0% <sub>a</sub>	12.7%a	12.0% <sub>a</sub>	16.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.1% <sub>a</sub>	16.3% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.5%a	20.7% <sub>b</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.5% <sub>b</sub>	13.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	41.6% <sup>1</sup>	38.9% <sub>a</sub>	43.9% <sub>b</sub>	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	48.6% <sub>b</sub>	51.9% <sub>b</sub>	43.1%a	35.8% <sub>b</sub>	49.8%a	43.6%a	42.1% <sub>a</sub>	41.3% <sub>a</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	43.7% <sub>b</sub>	45.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.8% <sub>b</sub>	41.0% <sub>a</sub>	45.5%a	47.3%a	27.8% <sub>b</sub>	42.4% <sub>a</sub>	53.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	27.4% <sup>1</sup>	24.2%a	30.6% <sub>b</sub>	28.6%a	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.0% <sub>b</sub>	24.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.2% <sub>b</sub>	20.1% <sub>a</sub>	24.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.3% <sub>a</sub>	26.6%a	27.3% <sub>a</sub>	25.4%a	35.4% <sub>b</sub>	29.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	23.0% <sub>a</sub>	38.3% <sub>b</sub>	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	19.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	8.2% <sup>1</sup>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.0%a	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.8% <sub>b</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.8%a	9.8%a	7.6%a	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	9.7%1	13.3%a	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	11.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.7%a	8.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	14.0% <sub>b</sub>	11.5%a	9.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.8% <sub>b</sub>	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>b</sub>	28.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>b</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	16.4% <sub>b</sub>	10.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.5% <sub>b</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1783	705	1009	244	587	492	410	332	700	695	432	658	534	139	1560	1575	94	563	743	282	111	708	552

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	uality of K-12 education Excellent			Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Quality of K-12 education	Excellent	12.7% <sup>1</sup>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.3% <sub>a</sub>	14.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.6%a	10.9%a	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.8% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	17.1% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.3% <sub>a</sub>	12.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	39.1% <sup>1</sup>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	42.1%a	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	40.2% <sub>a</sub>	46.1% <sub>a</sub>	48.1%a	39.9%a	34.9% <sub>a</sub>	45.9% <sub>a</sub>	37.1% <sub>a</sub>	40.1% <sub>a</sub>	42.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.8% <sub>a</sub>	44.1% <sub>b</sub>	43.4%a	19.7% <sub>b</sub>	40.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	41.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	47.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.0% <sub>b</sub>	42.4% <sub>a</sub>	47.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	25.6% <sup>1</sup>	22.6%a	28.8% <sub>a</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	28.8% <sub>a</sub>	25.0% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	22.9%a	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.5% <sub>a</sub>	28.1% <sub>a</sub>	24.4% <sub>a</sub>	24.9%a	27.6%a	28.8% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	26.5% <sub>a</sub>	26.3% <sub>a</sub>	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	28.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	8.2% <sup>1</sup>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.9%a	10.6% <sub>b</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>b</sub>	9.2%	10.1%a	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	14.4% <sup>1</sup>	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>b</sub>	19.3% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>b</sub>	$8.8\%_{a,b}$	17.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.0%a	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	18.6% <sub>a</sub>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>b</sub>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>b</sub>	13.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	14.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.1%a	23.0% <sub>b</sub>	10.3% <sub>a</sub>	10.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	746	307	404	161	252	169	140	111	293	311	181	258	243	116	591	620	66	225	315	112	56	268	234

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	Groups		Ed	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc		Active M	H	Backs	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Quality of K-12 education	Excellent	16.1% <sup>1</sup>	18.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.0% <sub>a</sub>	19.4% <sub>a</sub>	12.3% <sub>a</sub>	16.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.4% <sub>b</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>a</sub>	22.1% <sub>a</sub>	37.4%a	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	16.6%a	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	14.9%a	19.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	49.5% <sup>1</sup>	47.3%a	50.6%a	50.2% <sub>a</sub>	44.0%a	53.6%a	53.7% <sub>a</sub>	44.1%a	55.7% <sub>a</sub>	52.6% <sub>a</sub>	49.0%a	51.1%a	46.5%a	28.9%a	49.0%a	49.4%a	50.7% <sub>a</sub>	48.3% <sub>a</sub>	54.3%a	41.4%a	50.5% <sub>a</sub>	46.9%a	57.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	24.8% <sup>1</sup>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	39.4% <sub>a</sub>	25.3% <sub>b</sub>	13.1% <sub>b</sub>	14.2% <sub>b</sub>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	14.3% <sub>b</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	23.6%a	29.0%a	22.4%a	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.4%a	35.8%a	22.4%a	21.0%a	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	43.7% <sub>a</sub>	27.4%a	15.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	3.5% <sup>1</sup>	4.5%a	2.8%a	0.0%2	7.3%a	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.3%a	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	4.4%a	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.4%a	0.4%a
	Don't Know	6.1% <sup>1</sup>	4.4%a	7.9%a	1.1%a	6.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.3% <sub>b</sub>	9.6% <sub>b,c</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>b</sub>	11.4%a	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	4.3%a	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.0%a	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	7.4%a	7.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	483	173	299	51	130	166	125	122	199	152	113	185	142	8	456	444	19	185	198	60	18	225	121

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Ince	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	i			Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Quality of K-12 education	Excellent	12.7% <sup>1</sup>	14.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.9%	9.9%	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.3% <sub>a</sub>	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	16.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	36.4% <sub>b</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	16.4% <sub>b</sub>	17.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	17.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	42.2% <sup>1</sup>	40.1% <sub>a</sub>	43.9% <sub>a</sub>	39.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	36.1% <sub>a</sub>	49.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	54.1% <sub>b</sub>	46.1%a	32.7% <sub>b</sub>	53.2% <sub>a</sub>	50.4% <sub>a</sub>	41.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	44.4%a	42.1%a	46.8%a	12.0% <sub>b</sub>	38.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	48.1%a	48.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>b</sub>	40.8% <sub>a</sub>	58.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	29.7% <sup>1</sup>	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	33.7% <sub>b</sub>	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	30.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	16.4% <sub>b</sub>	23.3%a	42.2% <sub>b</sub>	16.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.5% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	12.6%a	30.0%a	25.8% <sub>a</sub>	50.6% <sub>b</sub>	32.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.0%a	17.5%a	49.4% <sub>b</sub>	25.6%a	12.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	9.4%1	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	5.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.8%a	10.6% <sub>a</sub>	25.3%a	8.8% <sub>b</sub>	9.9%a	0.0%2	12.2%a	5.9%a	12.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.2%a	6.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	5.9% <sup>1</sup>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	1.4%a	6.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.8% <sub>b</sub>	13.0% <sub>b,c</sub>	9.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	17.8%a	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	9.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.1%a	3.3%a	9.0%a	5.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	554	225	306	32	205	157	145	99	208	232	138	215	149	15	513	511	9	153	230	110	37	215	197

Table 24		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ucation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
1 4.10.10 = 1		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
The overall state of the local	Excellent	1.9%1	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.1%	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	1.9% <sub>b</sub>
economy	Good	17.2% <sup>1</sup>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.6%a	17.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	$20.4\%_{a,b}$	21.5% <sub>b</sub>	16.8% <sub>a</sub>	12.8% <sub>a</sub>	25.9% <sub>b</sub>	16.6% <sub>a</sub>	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	19.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	16.3% <sub>b</sub>	18.1%a	11.3% <sub>b</sub>	14.1% <sub>a</sub>	18.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.0% <sub>b</sub>	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	26.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	38.2% <sup>1</sup>	39.8%a	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.0% <sub>a</sub>	42.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	45.5% <sub>b</sub>	34.4% <sub>a</sub>	38.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	44.7% <sub>b</sub>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	40.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	38.1% <sub>a</sub>	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	50.3% <sub>b</sub>	35.5% <sub>a</sub>	42.5%a	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	46.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	40.1% <sup>1</sup>	36.0%a	44.6% <sub>b</sub>	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	51.5% <sub>b</sub>	35.5% <sub>a</sub>	29.8%a	43.5% <sub>a</sub>	45.3%a	24.0% <sub>b</sub>	36.1% <sub>a</sub>	45.6% <sub>b</sub>	36.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	44.1% <sub>b</sub>	42.3%a	24.9% <sub>b</sub>	48.5%a	33.9% <sub>b</sub>	37.2% <sub>b,c</sub>	46.6% <sub>a,c</sub>	50.3%a	23.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	2.6%1	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1783	706	1007	245	587	491	409	331	704	692	429	660	534	140	1559	1573	95	561	745	282	112	706	554

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age Gr	roups		Edi	ıcation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi		Ra Backç	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in Ye			Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
The overall state of the local	Excellent	4.1% <sup>1</sup>	6.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	10.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	0.8%a	3.9% <sub>b</sub>
economy	Good	23.4% <sup>1</sup>	23.4% <sub>a</sub>	22.8%a	26.1%a	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	22.5%a	29.1%a	24.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>b</sub>	20.0% <sub>a</sub>	25.3% <sub>a</sub>	26.5% <sub>a</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	25.5%a	13.1% <sub>b</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	33.8% <sub>b</sub>	23.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	37.9% <sup>1</sup>	40.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	40.6%a	40.9%a	44.4%a	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.1% <sub>a</sub>	$36.0\%_{a,b}$	32.0% <sub>a</sub>	45.3% <sub>b</sub>	33.9% <sub>a</sub>	38.6% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	47.3% <sub>b</sub>	41.0% <sub>a</sub>	37.8%a	30.6% <sub>a</sub>	35.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	43.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	29.7% <sup>1</sup>	24.1%a	37.2% <sub>b</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.2% <sub>b</sub>	33.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.8%a	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	19.7% <sub>b</sub>	27.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.3% <sub>b</sub>	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.4% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>b</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	19.1% <sub>b</sub>	36.0%a	27.8%a	30.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.3%a	40.9% <sub>a</sub>	19.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	5.0% <sup>1</sup>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>b</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.9% <sub>b</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>b</sub>	3.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	748	307	405	162	252	169	140	111	295	311	181	259	243	117	592	620	67	226	315	112	57	268	234

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	ilitary in H		cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
The overall state of the local	Excellent	0.4%1	0.5%a	0.4%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.6%a	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.8%a	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	0.0%2	0.5%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>
economy	Good	22.3% <sup>1</sup>	25.5% <sub>a</sub>	18.0% <sub>b</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	26.5% <sub>b</sub>	28.3% <sub>b</sub>	33.3% <sub>b</sub>	20.2% <sub>a</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	29.6%a	19.4%a	20.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.6%a	21.8%a	22.0%a	17.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>a</sub>	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	28.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	17.9% <sub>a</sub>	36.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	40.1% <sup>1</sup>	37.3%a	43.2% <sub>a</sub>	54.1% <sub>a</sub>	27.6% <sub>b</sub>	45.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	41.4%a	38.1%a	41.1% <sub>a</sub>	31.2% <sub>a</sub>	47.8% <sub>b</sub>	40.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.8%a	40.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.2% <sub>a</sub>	47.5%a	38.6%a	41.8%a	38.8% <sub>a</sub>	59.4%a	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	46.9%a
	Poor	35.0% <sup>1</sup>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.0% <sub>a</sub>	37.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	45.1%a	24.0% <sub>b</sub>	24.4% <sub>b,c</sub>	36.6% <sub>a</sub>	42.1%a	21.9% <sub>b</sub>	44.7%a	29.1% <sub>b</sub>	32.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.9%a	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	37.3%a	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.1%a	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	44.3%a	11.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	2.1%1	2.0%a	2.4%a	3.4%a	0.9%a	1.3%a	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	0.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.5% <sub>b</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>b</sub>	2.1%a	4.6%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	483	174	298	51	130	166	125	122	199	152	113	185	142	8	456	444	19	184	199	60	18	225	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	r Identity		Age G	iroups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
The overall state of the local	Excellent	0.1% <sup>1</sup>	0.2%a	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6%a	0.3%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1%a	0.3%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a
economy	Good	9.7% <sup>1</sup>	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	16.6%a	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.9% <sub>b</sub>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	11.4%a	26.6%a	9.6% <sub>b</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	12.0% <sub>a</sub>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	38.0% <sup>1</sup>	39.6% <sub>a</sub>	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	$39.3\%_{a,b}$	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	42.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	48.5% <sub>b</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	37.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	51.1% <sub>b</sub>	43.9% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.4%a	37.1%a	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	56.7% <sub>b</sub>	29.5% <sub>a</sub>	47.6% <sub>b</sub>	36.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	29.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	49.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	51.7% <sup>1</sup>	48.3% <sub>a</sub>	54.1%a	52.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	63.1% <sub>a</sub>	40.8% <sub>b</sub>	36.9% <sub>b,c</sub>	58.9% <sub>a</sub>	55.9% <sub>a</sub>	28.8% <sub>b</sub>	44.4%a	56.1% <sub>a</sub>	52.7%a	35.0%a	53.0%a	52.6% <sub>a</sub>	35.5% <sub>b</sub>	63.4% <sub>a</sub>	40.3% <sub>b</sub>	46.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	66.5% <sub>a</sub>	62.8% <sub>a</sub>	29.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	0.4%1	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	552	225	304	32	205	156	144	98	210	229	135	216	149	15	511	509	9	151	231	110	37	213	198

Table 25		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
				Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of care for the elderly	Excellent	2.9%1	4.9%	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	4.4%	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.9%	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	1.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.0%a	4.6%a	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	17.2% <sup>1</sup>	18.8% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.8% <sub>a</sub>	11.1% <sub>b</sub>	$16.3\%_{a,b}$	19.3%a	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	17.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>a</sub>	16.6% <sub>a</sub>	16.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.0%a	14.9%a	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.5% <sub>a</sub>	22.4% <sub>b</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	16.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.6% <sub>b</sub>	13.0% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	31.1% <sup>1</sup>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	28.5% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	37.6% <sub>b</sub>	33.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	31.2% <sub>a</sub>	29.0% <sub>a</sub>	31.0% <sub>a</sub>	29.1% <sub>a</sub>	29.9%a	19.5%a	32.5% <sub>b</sub>	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	21.5% <sub>b</sub>	33.6% <sub>a</sub>	28.3%a	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	28.0% <sub>a</sub>	32.3% <sub>a</sub>	34.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	35.6% <sup>1</sup>	29.5% <sub>a</sub>	43.6% <sub>b</sub>	24.4% <sub>a</sub>	45.9% <sub>b</sub>	38.9% <sub>b</sub>	40.5% <sub>b</sub>	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	37.4%a	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.4% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	39.9% <sub>b</sub>	37.7%a	28.2% <sub>b</sub>	39.2% <sub>a</sub>	37.1%a	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.0% <sub>a</sub>	44.8%a	40.1%a
	Don't Know	13.2% <sup>1</sup>	14.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	22.6%a	10.0% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	12.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.1%a	17.4% <sub>a</sub>	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	12.6%a	41.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>b</sub>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.6% <sub>b</sub>	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1784	706	1009	245	587	491	411	332	701	695	430	662	533	140	1560	1574	95	563	744	281	112	707	553

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince		Active Mi	1 '	Backg	icial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in Ye				18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of care for the elderly	Excellent	5.4% <sup>1</sup>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>b</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.9%a	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>b</sub>	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	14.0%a	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.1%	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	5.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	18.6% <sup>1</sup>	18.4% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.4%a	17.1% <sub>a</sub>	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>a</sub>	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	20.4% <sub>a</sub>	15.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.3% <sub>a</sub>	19.8% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>b</sub>	15.6%a	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	22.5% <sub>a</sub>	22.0%a	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	21.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	28.7% <sup>1</sup>	26.9%a	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	34.9% <sub>b</sub>	40.6% <sub>b</sub>	38.1% <sub>b</sub>	27.4%a	30.4%a	28.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.5% <sub>a</sub>	26.3%a	34.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.5%a	31.8% <sub>b</sub>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	28.3% <sub>a</sub>	29.5% <sub>a</sub>	22.5%a	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	24.4% <sup>1</sup>	19.8%a	30.1% <sub>b</sub>	14.4%a	35.7% <sub>b</sub>	29.3% <sub>b</sub>	29.5% <sub>b</sub>	21.4%	26.9%a	25.8% <sub>a</sub>	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	23.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	30.0% <sub>b</sub>	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	19.8%a	29.6%a	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	25.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.7% <sub>b</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	22.9% <sup>1</sup>	25.5%a	20.2% <sub>a</sub>	39.0%a	12.3% <sub>b</sub>	7.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>b</sub>	22.0%a	22.6%a	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	21.3%a	27.4% <sub>a</sub>	20.2% <sub>a</sub>	44.4%a	16.0% <sub>b</sub>	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	29.4%a	22.4% <sub>a</sub>	23.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.1%a	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>a</sub>	16.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	748	307	406	162	253	169	140	111	294	312	181	260	243	117	592	620	67	226	315	112	57	268	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi	litary in		cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of care for the elderly	Excellent	3.8% <sup>1</sup>	6.0%a	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	1.3%	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.6%a	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.8%a	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.4%a	3.5% <sub>b</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.6%a	3.5%a	3.4%a	8.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.0%a	4.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	21.3% <sup>1</sup>	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>b</sub>	24.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	14.1%a	$22.6\%_{a,b}$	28.9% <sub>b</sub>	22.5%a	20.3%a	19.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.6% <sub>b</sub>	22.5%a	21.0% <sub>a</sub>	22.1%a	9.0%a	23.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.4% <sub>a</sub>	47.3% <sub>b</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	39.7% <sup>1</sup>	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.6% <sub>a</sub>	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	43.9%a	42.7% <sub>a</sub>	42.2%a	42.3%a	39.0%a	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	46.0%a	44.0%a	29.4% <sub>b</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	40.2% <sub>a</sub>	40.1% <sub>a</sub>	39.9% <sub>a</sub>	43.3%a	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.6%a	23.8% <sub>a</sub>	40.6%a	38.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	25.8% <sup>1</sup>	20.1% <sub>a</sub>	31.9% <sub>b</sub>	29.5%a	28.0%a	24.4%	18.8%a	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	26.9%a	26.6% <sub>a</sub>	26.0% <sub>a</sub>	24.2% <sub>a</sub>	29.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	22.9%a	28.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	28.9%a	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	25.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	9.3% <sup>1</sup>	8.1%a	9.9%a	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.1%a	9.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	18.3% <sub>b</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	31.0% <sub>b</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.0%a	23.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.3%a	11.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	481	173	297	51	129	165	125	122	198	151	111	186	141	8	454	442	19	184	198	59	18	223	121

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	Anr	nual HH Inco	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in Y			Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of care for the elderly	Excellent	0.1% <sup>1</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3%a	0.0%2	0.2%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.5%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.1%a	0.7%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	14.9% <sup>1</sup>										16.6% <sub>a</sub>		15.5% <sub>a</sub>				45.6% <sub>b</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>b</sub>	11.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.4% <sub>b</sub>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	31.4% <sup>1</sup>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.9% <sub>b</sub>	37.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.0% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	27.3%a	32.8% <sub>a</sub>	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	28.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.1% <sub>a</sub>	25.8% <sub>a</sub>	37.1%a	31.0% <sub>a</sub>	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	35.1% <sub>a,c,d</sub>	24.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	48.8% <sub>c</sub>	22.1% <sub>b,d</sub>	29.2% <sub>a</sub>	40.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	49.2% <sup>1</sup>	41.5% <sub>a</sub>	59.8% <sub>b</sub>	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	58.5% <sub>b</sub>	$50.7\%_{a,b}$	53.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	51.0% <sub>a</sub>	49.2% <sub>a</sub>	47.8%a	48.1% <sub>a</sub>	52.7% <sub>a</sub>	52.4% <sub>a</sub>	33.8%a	50.8% <sub>a</sub>	51.5% <sub>a</sub>	46.6%a	53.3% <sub>a</sub>	51.3%a	37.5% <sub>a</sub>	49.1%a	56.7% <sub>a</sub>	51.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	4.3% <sup>1</sup>	4.8%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	8.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	5.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.8%a	4.0% <sub>b</sub>	4.0%a	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.8%a	1.4%a	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	555	226	306	32	205	157	146	99	209	232	138	216	149	15	514	512	9	153	231	110	37	216	197

Table 26		All North Country Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	ilitary in H		cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of housing	Excellent	3.8% <sup>1</sup>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.9%a	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	20.5%a	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>b</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.8%a	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	20.4% <sup>1</sup>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.7% <sub>b</sub>	26.4%a	13.9% <sub>b</sub>	19.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.6%a	28.8% <sub>b</sub>	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.8% <sub>b</sub>	26.5%a	19.1% <sub>b</sub>	19.9%a	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	19.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.4%a	17.3% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	17.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	34.8% <sup>1</sup>	35.6%a	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	26.3%a	41.2% <sub>b</sub>	38.7% <sub>b</sub>	37.0% <sub>b</sub>	33.4%a	37.1%a	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.1%a	37.9% <sub>a</sub>	26.6%a	36.3% <sub>b</sub>	34.5% <sub>a</sub>	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	41.4% <sub>a</sub>	33.7% <sub>b</sub>	27.3% <sub>b</sub>	32.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	34.0% <sup>1</sup>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	43.2% <sub>b</sub>	34.2% <sub>a</sub>	37.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.2% <sub>a</sub>	36.1%a	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	35.4%a	40.5%a	26.2% <sub>b</sub>	16.0%a	36.6% <sub>b</sub>	35.8%a	23.2% <sub>b</sub>	29.4%a	35.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	43.4% <sub>b</sub>	31.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	7.0%1	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.6%a	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.7% <sub>b</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>b</sub>	5.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.4%a	6.5% <sub>b</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.6%a	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.1%a	4.5%a	9.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1779	704	1006	244	585	492	408	327	701	695	427	660	533	139	1556	1570	95	561	743	281	111	704	552

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of housing	Excellent	7.9%1	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>b</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.1% <sub>b</sub>	22.8%a	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.6%a	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	20.7% <sup>1</sup>	22.8%a	18.0%a	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.5% <sub>b</sub>	16.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	21.3%a	19.1%a	23.0% <sub>a</sub>	15.1% <sub>a</sub>	20.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.6% <sub>b</sub>	28.8%a	17.5% <sub>b</sub>	20.4% <sub>a</sub>	19.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	20.3%a	16.5% <sub>a</sub>	29.3%a	18.4% <sub>a</sub>	15.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	34.9% <sup>1</sup>	34.0%a	35.0%a	26.4%a	39.1% <sub>b</sub>	45.1% <sub>b</sub>	41.5% <sub>b</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.0% <sub>a</sub>	29.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.9% <sub>a</sub>	38.3% <sub>b</sub>	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	28.8% <sub>a</sub>	37.3% <sub>a</sub>	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	40.3%a	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	29.6% <sup>1</sup>	23.4% <sub>a</sub>	37.8% <sub>b</sub>	27.9%a	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.6%a	25.4%a	27.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	36.3%a	19.4% <sub>b</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.2% <sub>b</sub>	30.8% <sub>a</sub>	23.1% <sub>a</sub>	29.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	33.3% <sub>a</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.5% <sub>b</sub>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	6.9% <sup>1</sup>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.4%a	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.8%a	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	10.4%a	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.6%a	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.1%a	9.5%a	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	745	305	405	161	251	169	140	110	292	312	180	258	243	116	590	618	67	225	314	112	56	267	234

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	Groups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc		Active M	н	Backs	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of housing	Excellent	0.7%1	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.1%a	1.8%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.7%a	0.0%2	0.6%a	0.0%2	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.1%a	0.4%a
	Good	27.2% <sup>1</sup>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.2% <sub>b</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	29.2%a	34.9%a	28.8%a	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	27.3%a	21.4% <sub>a</sub>	25.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	35.3% <sub>b</sub>	55.4%a	27.5%a	25.8% <sub>a</sub>	55.1% <sub>b</sub>	33.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.8%a	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	18.9% <sub>a</sub>	30.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	32.3% <sup>1</sup>	31.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.1% <sub>a</sub>	33.3% <sub>a</sub>	31.7%a	40.7%a	26.4% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>b</sub>	38.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.4%a	32.7%a	0.0%2	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	32.3%a	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.1%a	39.8%a
	Poor	30.8% <sup>1</sup>	24.3% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>b</sub>	42.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.3% <sub>a</sub>	27.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.3% <sub>b</sub>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.0%a	23.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	25.8%a	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>b</sub>	22.8% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	48.9%a	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	27.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	9.1%1	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.4%a	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.8%a	11.6%a	9.4%a	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.4%a	8.4%a	10.0%a	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.4%a	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.3%a	9.5%a	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	9.3%a	3.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	480	173	296	51	129	165	124	120	199	151	111	185	141	8	453	441	19	183	197	60	18	222	121

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Ince		Active Mi	1 '	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of housing	Excellent	0.5%1	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.9%a	0.0%2	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.0%2	0.6%a	0.6%a	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3%a	0.4%a
	Good	18.3% <sup>1</sup>	26.0%a	10.4% <sub>b</sub>	27.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.7% <sub>b</sub>	$19.6\%_{a,b}$	17.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.8% <sub>b</sub>	20.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>b</sub>	25.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>a</sub>	22.1% <sub>a</sub>	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	21.6%a	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	17.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	35.3% <sup>1</sup>	38.2% <sub>a</sub>	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.3%a	44.9% <sub>b</sub>	$35.5\%_{a,b}$	33.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	36.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.5% <sub>a</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.6% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	57.1%a	35.6% <sub>a</sub>	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	43.8%a	47.5% <sub>a</sub>	34.6%a	16.1% <sub>b</sub>	34.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	43.0% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	39.2% <sup>1</sup>	28.4%a	50.1% <sub>b</sub>	41.5% <sub>a</sub>	40.3%a	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	35.1% <sub>a</sub>	40.4%a	41.3%a	33.9% <sub>a</sub>	37.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	46.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>b</sub>	32.8% <sub>a</sub>	39.3%a	41.1% <sub>a</sub>	25.6% <sub>b</sub>	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	52.4% <sub>b</sub>	43.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	40.7% <sub>a</sub>	42.0%a
	Don't Know	6.6% <sup>1</sup>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	$7.0\%_{a,b}$	13.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	10.0%a	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a	0.9%a	6.4% <sub>a,c,d</sub>	4.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.5% <sub>c</sub>	1.2% <sub>b,d</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	554	226	305	32	205	158	144	97	210	232	136	217	149	15	513	511	9	153	232	109	37	215	197

Table 27		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	Groups		Ed	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M		Ra Backç	cial round		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of childcare	Excellent	2.0%1	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	4.8%a	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	3.4%a	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	5.6%a	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	14.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a
	Good	11.8% <sup>1</sup>	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.4%a	17.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>b</sub>	13.7% <sub>a,c</sub>	9.0% <sub>b,c</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	12.1%a	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>b</sub>	12.9%a	10.9%a	12.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.8%a	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>b</sub>	10.0%a	5.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	27.0% <sup>1</sup>	28.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.2%a	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>b</sub>	27.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	25.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	$23.1\%_{b}$	21.4%a	33.6% <sub>b</sub>	24.8%a	26.9%a	26.5% <sub>a</sub>	25.4%a	34.1% <sub>b</sub>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.1%a	27.0%a	28.6% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	23.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	33.0% <sup>1</sup>	27.0%a	39.2% <sub>b</sub>	34.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	39.0% <sub>a</sub>	27.4% <sub>b,c</sub>	24.7% <sub>c</sub>	27.7% <sub>a</sub>	37.4% <sub>b</sub>	37.3% <sub>b</sub>	29.6%a	34.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	39.3% <sub>b</sub>	18.6%a	35.6% <sub>b</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>b</sub>	31.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	39.3%a	27.2% <sub>b</sub>	36.7% <sub>a</sub>	43.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	26.2% <sup>1</sup>	29.4%a	21.7% <sub>b</sub>	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.4%a	31.0% <sub>b</sub>	42.2% <sub>c</sub>	27.0%a	23.9%a	26.0% <sub>a</sub>	27.8% <sub>a</sub>	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.1%a	29.5%a	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.0%a	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.8%a	24.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.4% <sub>b</sub>	21.8% <sub>a</sub>	27.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1782	705	1008	245	587	491	409	331	702	693	431	659	533	140	1558	1572	95	562	745	280	112	707	551

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age Gr	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of childcare	Excellent	4.3% <sup>1</sup>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.4%a	12.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	11.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.3%a	0.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	11.1% <sup>1</sup>	10.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.1%a	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.4%a	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.3% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>b</sub>	10.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	9.4%a	10.6%a	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.9%a	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	27.7% <sup>1</sup>	31.0%a	24.0% <sub>b</sub>	23.0% <sub>a</sub>	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	22.4% <sub>b</sub>	26.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.8% <sub>b</sub>	24.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.1% <sub>a</sub>	26.8% <sub>a</sub>	27.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.1%a	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	35.6% <sub>a</sub>	23.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	27.3% <sup>1</sup>	19.0%a	36.4% <sub>b</sub>	26.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	22.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	16.9% <sub>b</sub>	19.5%a	30.9% <sub>b</sub>	37.0% <sub>b</sub>	21.1%a	30.9% <sub>b</sub>	32.9% <sub>b</sub>	19.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.9% <sub>b</sub>	29.4%a	17.4% <sub>b</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	34.1%a	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.6%a
	Don't Know	29.7% <sup>1</sup>	32.3%a	26.9%a	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	46.9% <sub>b</sub>	31.0% <sub>a</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.0% <sub>a</sub>	29.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.0% <sub>a</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	29.8%a	30.6%a	29.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.7% <sub>b</sub>	23.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	747	306	406	162	253	168	140	111	294	311	181	259	243	117	591	619	67	225	315	112	57	267	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation L	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	litary in		cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road		Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of childcare	Excellent	0.9%1	0.4%a	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.0%a	0.0%2	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.9%a
	Good	13.3% <sup>1</sup>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.6%a	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	13.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.8% <sub>b</sub>	16.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.3% <sub>b</sub>	28.0%a	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	19.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>b</sub>	16.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.4% <sub>a</sub>	12.3%a
	Fair	23.8% <sup>1</sup>	27.3%a	20.8%a	22.0%a	28.6%a	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.4%a	25.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.8%a	19.3%a	27.9%a	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	27.8%a	23.9% <sub>a</sub>	24.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.1% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.8%a	12.9%a	20.3%a	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	28.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	35.7% <sup>1</sup>	27.9% <sub>a</sub>	42.8% <sub>b</sub>	57.0%a	30.9% <sub>b</sub>	26.7% <sub>b</sub>	18.7% <sub>b</sub>	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.1% <sub>a</sub>	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	43.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.5%a	36.1% <sub>a</sub>	36.6% <sub>a</sub>	29.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	41.6% <sub>b</sub>	37.2% <sub>a.b</sub>	54.0% <sub>b</sub>	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	36.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	26.3% <sup>1</sup>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.3%a	13.0% <sub>a</sub>	25.9% <sub>b</sub>	30.8% <sub>b,c</sub>	43.3% <sub>c</sub>	29.4%a	22.5% <sub>a</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.7%a	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	22.7%a	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	24.2% <sub>a</sub>	37.0% <sub>a</sub>	28.7% <sub>a</sub>	22.5%a	29.9% <sub>a</sub>	21.0%a	28.3%a	21.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	481	174	296	51	129	165	125	122	198	151	113	183	142	8	454	442	19	184	198	59	18	225	119

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	r Identity		Age G	iroups		Edu	cation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of childcare	Excellent	0.1% <sup>1</sup>	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	Good	12.1% <sup>1</sup>	12.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	22.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.1% <sub>a</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.9% <sub>b</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>b</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>b</sub>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	27.0% <sup>1</sup>	26.1% <sub>a</sub>	29.7%a	24.8%a	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	25.4%a	19.7%a	29.9%a	29.0%a	19.3% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.9% <sub>b</sub>	25.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	14.1%a	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	24.0%a	43.2% <sub>b</sub>	27.4% <sub>a</sub>	25.8%a	24.8%a	30.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.4%a
	Poor	38.2% <sup>1</sup>	34.9% <sub>a</sub>	41.1%a	40.0%a	44.0%a	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.4%	43.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.1%a	44.4%a	7.0%a	39.9% <sub>b</sub>	41.0%a	27.8%a	40.2% <sub>a</sub>	41.7% <sub>a</sub>	44.5%a	22.6% <sub>b</sub>	44.8%a	51.6%a
	Don't Know	22.7% <sup>1</sup>	26.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.0% <sub>b</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	$17.0\%_{a,b}$	29.7% <sub>b,c</sub>	38.6% <sub>c</sub>	22.0%a	18.3% <sub>a</sub>	26.6% <sub>a</sub>	24.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.9%a	17.0% <sub>a</sub>	78.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>b</sub>	24.8% <sub>a</sub>	21.0%a	22.0%a	16.8% <sub>a</sub>	17.3% <sub>a</sub>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	554	225	306	32	205	158	144	98	210	231	137	217	148	15	513	511	9	153	232	109	37	215	197

Table 28		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age Gr	oups		Edu	ıcation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince		Active Mi	1 '	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
1 0.0.0 20		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of behavioral health	Excellent	4.0% <sup>1</sup>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>b</sub>	6.8%a	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	3.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>b</sub>	2.0% <sub>b</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.7% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.0%a	4.4%a	4.8%a	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>b</sub>
services	Good	19.2% <sup>1</sup>	20.6%a	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.0% <sub>a</sub>	15.9% <sub>b</sub>	23.8% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.6% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	21.0% <sub>a</sub>	22.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.0% <sub>b</sub>	22.3% <sub>a</sub>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.3% <sub>a</sub>	20.0%a	15.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	27.1% <sub>b</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	33.0% <sup>1</sup>	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	38.1% <sub>a</sub>	29.8% <sub>b</sub>	27.7% <sub>b</sub>	$32.7\%_{a,b}$	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.8% <sub>b</sub>	31.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.5% <sub>a</sub>	40.8% <sub>b</sub>	29.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.4%a	34.3% <sub>b</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	31.0%a	36.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	42.8% <sub>b</sub>	28.3% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	26.6% <sup>1</sup>	20.9%a	32.7% <sub>b</sub>	23.4% <sub>a</sub>	35.8% <sub>b</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	19.5% <sub>a</sub>	25.0% <sub>a</sub>	27.6%a	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	26.8% <sub>a</sub>	27.3%a	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.8%a	27.5% <sub>a</sub>	28.1%a	18.3% <sub>b</sub>	23.2% <sub>a,c</sub>	29.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.1% <sub>b</sub>	16.9% <sub>c</sub>	32.0%a	30.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	17.2% <sup>1</sup>	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>b</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>b</sub>	21.4% <sub>b,c</sub>	28.8% <sub>c</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	15.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.4%a	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	16.9%a	16.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.8%a	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.0% <sub>b,c</sub>	8.4% <sub>c</sub>	19.7% <sub>a</sub>	17.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1779	702	1008	245	585	490	409	329	701	693	430	659	531	140	1555	1569	95	560	743	281	112	703	552

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ıcation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of behavioral health	Excellent	7.0%1	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>b</sub>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.9%a	4.5% <sub>b</sub>	3.0% <sub>b</sub>	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.0%a	1.3%a	6.3% <sub>b</sub>
services	Good	20.3% <sup>1</sup>	19.6%a	20.5%a	23.4%a	17.4%a	21.1%a	14.5%a	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.1% <sub>b</sub>	20.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.0%a	8.9% <sub>b</sub>	21.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	31.9% <sub>b</sub>	16.1% <sub>a</sub>	16.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	31.2% <sup>1</sup>	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	27.6% <sub>b</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	29.4%a	30.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.1%a	37.0% <sub>a</sub>	28.0% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.1% <sub>a</sub>	26.0%a	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.3%a	35.1% <sub>a</sub>	28.8% <sub>a</sub>	33.1% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	23.4% <sup>1</sup>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	33.2% <sub>b</sub>	19.9%a	35.5% <sub>b</sub>	18.9%	15.6%a	20.4%a	26.5%a	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	26.0%a	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.5%a	15.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	25.8%a	29.3%a	16.4%a	36.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	18.1% <sup>1</sup>	19.5% <sub>a</sub>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	30.7% <sub>b</sub>	17.8%a	19.3%a	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>b</sub>	22.4% <sub>b</sub>	17.1%a	18.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.9%a	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	20.3%a	13.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	18.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	745	305	405	162	253	167	139	110	293	311	181	258	242	117	589	617	67	225	313	112	57	266	234

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	ilitary in H		cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of behavioral health	Excellent	3.3% <sup>1</sup>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	21.8% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
services	Good	18.7% <sup>1</sup>	24.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.1% <sub>b</sub>	11.4%a	21.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.7% <sub>b</sub>	20.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	18.3% <sub>a</sub>	21.3%a	16.4% <sub>a</sub>	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.8%a	16.8% <sub>a</sub>	33.4%a	18.9% <sub>a</sub>	19.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	28.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>b</sub>	9.8% <sub>b</sub>	9.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	31.5% <sup>1</sup>	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	28.3% <sub>a</sub>	26.5%a	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	30.7%a	28.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.3% <sub>a</sub>	32.5%a	36.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	42.9% <sub>b</sub>	23.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	21.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.5% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	26.6% <sup>1</sup>	19.1% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>b</sub>	36.0% <sub>a</sub>	20.2% <sub>b</sub>	25.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.8%a	27.0% <sub>a</sub>	27.1%a	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.7% <sub>a</sub>	43.9%a	26.1%a	26.8%a	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	16.4%a	26.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	38.4% <sub>b,c</sub>	54.0% <sub>c</sub>	22.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	19.9% <sup>1</sup>	26.1%a	14.1% <sub>b</sub>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	24.1% <sub>b</sub>	18.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.4% <sub>b</sub>	18.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.7%a	19.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.6% <sub>a</sub>	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	26.6%a	15.2% <sub>b</sub>	15.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	22.7% <sub>a</sub>	17.8%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	482	172	299	51	129	165	126	122	199	151	113	185	141	8	455	443	19	184	199	59	18	224	121

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gende	r Identity		Age G	iroups		Edu	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Availability of behavioral health	Excellent	1.2%1	1.3%a	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	0.0%2	0.6%a	1.4%a	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>b</sub>
services	Good	18.3% <sup>1</sup>	20.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	21.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.5% <sub>a</sub>	25.0% <sub>b</sub>	19.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>b</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	18.3% <sub>a</sub>	18.3% <sub>a</sub>	28.0% <sub>a</sub>	15.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>b</sub>	23.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.0%a	15.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	35.1% <sup>1</sup>	34.0% <sub>a</sub>	38.1% <sub>a</sub>	49.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.4% <sub>b</sub>	25.3% <sub>b</sub>	31.5% <sub>b</sub>	28.4% <sub>a</sub>	47.9% <sub>b</sub>	27.2% <sub>a</sub>	29.6%a	46.9% <sub>b</sub>	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.9%a	36.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	38.6% <sub>a</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.0%a	40.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	57.4% <sub>b</sub>	27.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	29.8% <sup>1</sup>	26.5% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	39.9% <sub>b</sub>	26.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	21.1%a	29.4% <sub>a</sub>	28.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.3% <sub>a</sub>	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	27.7%a	30.5% <sub>a</sub>	45.7%a	29.3%a	30.8% <sub>a</sub>	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	25.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	35.3% <sub>a</sub>	37.8% <sub>a</sub>	14.6% <sub>b</sub>	30.5% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	15.6% <sup>1</sup>	17.4% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.1%a	16.5% <sub>b</sub>	21.1% <sub>b</sub>	28.1% <sub>b</sub>	19.6%a	9.9% <sub>b</sub>	13.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	14.6%a	14.4%a	14.1% <sub>a</sub>	14.3%a	14.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	7.8%a	22.9% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.5% <sub>b</sub>	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	552	225	304	32	203	158	144	97	209	231	136	216	148	15	511	509	9	151	231	110	37	213	197

Table 29		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc		Active Mi	1	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
1 4510 20		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
The downtown of Watertown	Excellent	7.8% <sup>1</sup>	12.5%a	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>b</sub>	9.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.7%a	4.8% <sub>b</sub>	3.8% <sub>b</sub>	15.7%a	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.6% <sub>b</sub>	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	16.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.4%a	7.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	28.2% <sup>1</sup>	25.0%a	32.0% <sub>b</sub>	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.4%a	34.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	41.7% <sub>b</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.5%a	40.6% <sub>b</sub>	24.4%a	28.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>b</sub>	29.9%a	20.0% <sub>b</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.1%a	42.5% <sub>b</sub>	30.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	39.3% <sup>1</sup>	35.6%a	43.7% <sub>b</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	42.8%a	43.0% <sub>a</sub>	39.3% <sub>a</sub>	36.6%a	43.1%a	37.4% <sub>a</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	37.1%a	41.5% <sub>a</sub>	40.9%a	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.6%a	37.4%a	38.6% <sub>a</sub>	41.3%a	33.9% <sub>a</sub>	41.0% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	37.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	20.5% <sup>1</sup>	21.2%a	20.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.4% <sub>b</sub>	13.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.9%a	23.8%a	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	18.3% <sub>a</sub>	26.4%a	17.0% <sub>a</sub>	14.7% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>b</sub>	21.1%a	16.1%a	26.3% <sub>a</sub>	21.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.8%a	30.4%a	18.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	4.2%1	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.8%a	2.8%a	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	$3.3\%_{a,b}$	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.5%a	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	735	303	398	161	245	166	139	107	290	309	179	258	236	114	584	610	67	220	312	110	57	261	232

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be			President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
The downtown of Watertown	Excellent	7.8%1	12.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>b</sub>	9.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.8% <sub>b</sub>	3.8% <sub>b</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.6% <sub>b</sub>	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	16.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.9%a	0.4%a	7.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	28.2% <sup>1</sup>	25.0% <sub>a</sub>	32.0% <sub>b</sub>	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	41.7% <sub>b</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.5% <sub>a</sub>	40.6% <sub>b</sub>	24.4% <sub>a</sub>	28.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>b</sub>	29.9%a	20.0% <sub>b</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	42.5% <sub>b</sub>	30.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	39.3% <sup>1</sup>	35.6% <sub>a</sub>	43.7% <sub>b</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	42.8%a	43.0% <sub>a</sub>	39.3% <sub>a</sub>	36.6%a	43.1% <sub>a</sub>	37.4% <sub>a</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	37.1% <sub>a</sub>	41.5% <sub>a</sub>	40.9%a	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.6%a	37.4% <sub>a</sub>	38.6% <sub>a</sub>	41.3%a	33.9% <sub>a</sub>	41.0% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	37.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	20.5%1	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	20.1%a	22.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.4% <sub>b</sub>	13.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.9%a	23.8% <sub>a</sub>	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	18.3% <sub>a</sub>	26.4% <sub>a</sub>	17.0%a	14.7% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>b</sub>	21.1%a	16.1% <sub>a</sub>	26.3%a	21.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	4.2%1	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.8%	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.1%a	4.5%a	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	735	303	398	161	245	166	139	107	290	309	179	258	236	114	584	610	67	220	312	110	57	261	232

Table 30		All North Country Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	iroups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active M	litary in		cial ground		Political Be			President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
The overall quality of life in the area	Excellent	7.1% <sup>1</sup>	10.4%a	3.6% <sub>b</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>b</sub>	7.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>b</sub>	12.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>b,c</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	39.4% <sup>1</sup>	38.4%a	39.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	36.9%a	50.3% <sub>b</sub>	51.4% <sub>b</sub>	37.7%a	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	51.4% <sub>b</sub>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	42.7% <sub>a</sub>	27.8%a	40.2% <sub>b</sub>	40.6%a	31.3% <sub>b</sub>	40.3%a	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	44.5% <sub>a</sub>	35.3% <sub>a</sub>	39.6%a	50.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	40.6% <sup>1</sup>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	44.0% <sub>b</sub>	47.4%a	41.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	33.8% <sub>b,c</sub>	28.3% <sub>c</sub>	41.0%a	45.3% <sub>a</sub>	32.8% <sub>b</sub>	37.0% <sub>a</sub>	46.7% <sub>b</sub>	39.2% <sub>a</sub>	50.0%a	39.5% <sub>b</sub>	40.0%a	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	43.8% <sub>a</sub>	40.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	32.5% <sub>b</sub>	43.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	40.8%a	30.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	12.1% <sup>1</sup>	11.5%a	12.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	16.2%a	8.8% <sub>b</sub>	10.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>b</sub>	18.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.0% <sub>b</sub>	5.8% <sub>c</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	13.3% <sub>b</sub>	12.8%a	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	20.3% <sub>b</sub>	17.6% <sub>b</sub>	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	0.7%1	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	1.1%a	0.4%a	0.8%a	0.5%a	0.9%a	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	0.8%a	0.9%a	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.8%a	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1755	698	990	243	581	478	403	325	685	690	421	652	525	138	1534	1550	94	550	735	277	111	694	543

		Jefferson County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ucation Le	evel	Anı	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
The overall quality of life in the area	Excellent	8.6% <sup>1</sup>	12.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.4%a	10.3% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.8%a	12.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>b</sub>	9.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.3% <sub>b</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	11.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Good	40.3% <sup>1</sup>	40.3%a	39.1% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	52.6% <sub>b,c</sub>	60.4% <sub>c</sub>	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	51.0% <sub>b</sub>	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	35.1% <sub>a</sub>	53.3% <sub>b</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	44.7% <sub>b</sub>	44.0%a	24.0% <sub>b</sub>	40.2% <sub>a</sub>	41.9%a	46.2%a	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	47.3% <sub>a</sub>	47.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	40.0% <sup>1</sup>	37.5% <sub>a</sub>	43.5% <sub>a</sub>	47.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	22.9% <sub>b</sub>	43.6% <sub>a</sub>	40.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	39.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	47.3%a	32.7% <sub>b</sub>	51.8% <sub>a</sub>	35.8% <sub>b</sub>	37.4%a	46.9% <sub>a</sub>	43.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	38.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	50.9% <sub>b</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	29.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	10.1% <sup>1</sup>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	12.4%a	9.1%a	17.3% <sub>b</sub>	4.6%a	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.1%a	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.1% <sub>b</sub>	10.0%a	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.6%a	11.6%a	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	1.0%1	1.6%a	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.0%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.6%a	1.3%a	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.8%a	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.3%a	4.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.9%a	1.8%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4%a	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	744	306	403	162	251	168	138	111	291	311	181	259	240	116	589	617	67	224	314	111	57	266	234

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
The overall quality of life in the area	Excellent	10.0% <sup>1</sup>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>b</sub>	1.4%a	13.6% <sub>b</sub>	12.9% <sub>b</sub>	12.6% <sub>b</sub>	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	48.7% <sup>1</sup>	49.3%a	47.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.9% <sub>a</sub>	46.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	57.8% <sub>b,c</sub>	65.8% <sub>c</sub>	47.9%a	45.5% <sub>a</sub>	55.3% <sub>a</sub>	42.7% <sub>a</sub>	50.6%a	51.7% <sub>a</sub>	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	47.4%a	49.1%a	37.6%a	57.4% <sub>a</sub>	45.2% <sub>a</sub>	46.2% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	48.6% <sub>a</sub>	65.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	34.1% <sup>1</sup>	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	37.1% <sub>a</sub>	47.6%a	36.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.1% <sub>b,c</sub>	17.6% <sub>c</sub>	28.3%a	48.4% <sub>b</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.0% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	32.9%a	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	44.9% <sub>b</sub>	39.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	7.2%1	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.9% <sub>b</sub>	12.5%a	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	$4.4\%_{a,b}$	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	3.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.8% <sub>b</sub>	4.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>b</sub>	38.6% <sub>c</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	474	171	291	49	129	160	125	121	192	151	110	182	139	7	448	435	19	179	195	60	18	220	118

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
The overall quality of life in the area	Excellent	4.9% <sup>1</sup>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>b</sub>	9.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	2.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.8%a	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	11.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.4%a	13.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.4% <sub>b</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Good	36.3% <sup>1</sup>	33.9% <sub>a</sub>	38.6% <sub>a</sub>	29.9%a	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	46.3%a	41.1%a	36.1% <sub>a</sub>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	50.9% <sub>b</sub>	38.1% <sub>a</sub>	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.8%a	41.4%a	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>a</sub>	47.1%a	35.0% <sub>a</sub>	33.1%a	42.5%a	38.6% <sub>a</sub>	28.0% <sub>a</sub>	50.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Fair	42.8% <sup>1</sup>	41.4%a	46.4%a	47.7%a	46.2% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.0% <sub>a</sub>	42.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	49.6%a	34.0% <sub>b</sub>	34.2% <sub>a</sub>	49.4% <sub>b</sub>	47.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	37.0%a	43.6% <sub>a</sub>	43.9%a	18.3% <sub>b</sub>	50.5% <sub>a</sub>	45.5%a	24.6% <sub>b</sub>	37.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	49.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor	15.4% <sup>1</sup>	16.3%a	13.0% <sub>a</sub>	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.3% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	26.8% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>b</sub>	7.1% <sub>b</sub>	21.6%a	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.9%a	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.4%a	10.6%a	31.0% <sub>b</sub>	22.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	18.8%a	13.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Don't Know	0.6% <sup>1</sup>	0.8%a	0.3%a	0.0%2	0.8%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.4%a	0.0%2	0.3%a	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	1.1%a	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	0.0%2	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	537	221	296	32	201	150	140	93	202	228	130	211	146	15	497	498	8	147	226	106	36	208	191

Table 31	All North Country Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inco		Active Mi	1 1	Backg	cial ground		Political Be			President 2 Choice (am	
	in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Generally speaking, would you say Right direction	14.3% <sup>1</sup>	17.5%a	11.1% <sub>b</sub>	13.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	19.7% <sub>b</sub>	19.8% <sub>b,c</sub>	11.7%	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.9% <sub>b</sub>	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.7%	16.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	12.4% <sub>a</sub>	28.6% <sub>b</sub>	6.8%	16.6% <sub>b,c</sub>	23.0% <sub>b</sub>	10.6% <sub>a,c</sub>	4.3%a	33.6% <sub>b</sub>
that things in this country are Wrong direction	69.3% <sup>1</sup>	67.7% <sub>a</sub>	71.5%a	65.0% <sub>a</sub>	79.2% <sub>b</sub>	66.2% <sub>a</sub>	62.2% <sub>a</sub>	72.7% <sub>a</sub>	71.5% <sub>a</sub>	58.7% <sub>b</sub>	60.2% <sub>a</sub>	73.5% <sub>b</sub>	74.6% <sub>b</sub>	45.8%a	73.0% <sub>b</sub>	73.0%a	44.4% <sub>b</sub>	86.9% <sub>a</sub>	66.3% <sub>b</sub>	51.9% <sub>c</sub>	62.6% <sub>b,c</sub>	92.3% <sub>a</sub>	41.4% <sub>b</sub>
heading in the Pon't Know	16.4% <sup>1</sup>	14.8%a	17.4%a	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>b</sub>	14.0% <sub>b,c</sub>	18.1% <sub>a,c</sub>	15.6% <sub>a</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>b</sub>	8.9% <sub>b</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>b</sub>	14.7%a	26.9% <sub>b</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.1% <sub>b</sub>	25.2% <sub>c</sub>	26.7% <sub>c</sub>	3.4%a	25.0% <sub>b</sub>
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Unweighted n	1756	696	1006	237	585	488	412	329	698	691	425	661	532	133	1561	1574	90	559	745	282	109	705	555	ı
--------------	------	-----	------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---

		Jefferson County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	iroups		Edu	cation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	H	Ra Backg	ground		Political Be			President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Generally speaking, would you say	Right direction	16.8% <sup>1</sup>	22.3% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>b</sub>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.4% <sub>a</sub>	23.8% <sub>a</sub>	22.4%a	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.2% <sub>b</sub>	14.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.8% <sub>a</sub>	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	17.2%a	16.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	19.4%a	12.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.4%a	6.4% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>b</sub>
that things in this country are	Wrong direction	60.7% <sup>1</sup>	56.2% <sub>a</sub>	66.7% <sub>b</sub>	49.8%a	75.4% <sub>b</sub>	65.7% <sub>b.c</sub>	58.7% <sub>a.c</sub>	61.8% <sub>a</sub>	62.3% <sub>a</sub>	56.0% <sub>a</sub>	48.2% <sub>a</sub>	67.1% <sub>b</sub>	67.5% <sub>b</sub>	40.8%a	66.6% <sub>b</sub>	64.4% <sub>a</sub>	43.7% <sub>b</sub>	80.2% <sub>a</sub>	62.4% <sub>b</sub>	37.0% <sub>c</sub>	50.6% <sub>b.c</sub>	89.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.0% <sub>b</sub>
heading in the?	Don't Know	22.5% <sup>1</sup>	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	22.4%a	34.0%a	12.1% <sub>b</sub>	10.5% <sub>b</sub>	18.9% <sub>b</sub>	24.5% <sub>a</sub>	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.1% <sub>b</sub>	11.7% <sub>b</sub>	42.0%a	16.9% <sub>b</sub>	19.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>b</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	19.7% <sub>b</sub>	40.5% <sub>c</sub>	43.0% <sub>c</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	729	300	401	154	251	168	139	107	291	309	176	258	242	110	592	618	62	223	313	112	55	265	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000		No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Generally speaking, would you say	Right direction	11.6% <sup>1</sup>	9.6%a	13.0%a	6.9%a	9.4%a	16.4%a	17.2%a	8.4%a	11.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.3% <sub>b</sub>	13.5%a	10.8%a	11.3%a	20.6%a	11.3%a	10.9%a	23.1%a	4.4%a	15.7% <sub>b</sub>	28.1% <sub>b</sub>	1.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.5%a	38.7% <sub>b</sub>
that things in this country are	Wrong direction	79.2% <sup>1</sup>	82.4% <sub>a</sub>	76.6%a	90.5%a	79.4% <sub>b</sub>	73.0% <sub>b</sub>	67.5% <sub>b</sub>	84.0% <sub>a</sub>	78.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	68.0% <sub>b</sub>	73.4% <sub>a</sub>	82.3% <sub>a</sub>	80.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	79.9% <sub>b</sub>	79.5% <sub>a</sub>	72.6%a	91.8% <sub>a</sub>	73.6% <sub>b</sub>	47.9% <sub>c</sub>	87.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	92.6% <sub>a</sub>	34.9% <sub>b</sub>
heading in the?	Don't Know	9.2%1	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	10.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.2% <sub>b</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.0%a	41.1%a	8.8% <sub>b</sub>	9.6%a	4.3%a	3.8%a	10.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.0% <sub>b</sub>	10.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	26.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	480	173	298	51	130	164	127	123	198	152	112	186	142	8	456	444	19	184	200	60	17	225	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Generally speaking, would you say	Right direction	12.5% <sup>1</sup>	14.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.7%	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.4%	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.7%	23.4% <sub>b</sub>	9.8% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.4%a	11.8%	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	45.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	15.4% <sub>b</sub>	22.6% <sub>b</sub>	15.3% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	31.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Wrong direction	75.1% <sup>1</sup>	75.3% <sub>a</sub>	74.8%a	79.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	82.3% <sub>a</sub>	64.7% <sub>b,c</sub>	63.4% <sub>c</sub>	80.1% <sub>a</sub>	78.5% <sub>a</sub>	59.3% <sub>b</sub>	70.9%a	77.0%a	79.8%a	92.1% <sub>a</sub>	76.2% <sub>a</sub>	78.8% <sub>a</sub>	41.4% <sub>b</sub>	90.9%a	68.7% <sub>b</sub>	66.4% <sub>b</sub>	72.3% <sub>b</sub>	95.1%a	47.3% <sub>b</sub>
heading in the?	Don't Know	12.3% <sup>1</sup>	10.0%a	14.5%a	10.0%a	8.4%a	17.9%a	18.1%a	9.1%a	12.8%a	17.3%a	19.3%a	9.3% <sub>b</sub>	6.4% <sub>b</sub>	4.6%a	12.0%a	11.5%a	13.0%a	6.2%a	15.9% <sub>b</sub>	11.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.5%a	21.7%ь
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	547	223	307	32	204	156	146	99	209	230	137	217	148	15	513	512	9	152	232	110	37	215	198

Table 32	All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel		nual HH Inco	ome	Active M	H	Backg	icial ground		Political Be			President 2 Choice (am	
	in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Generally speaking, would you say Right direction	19.3% <sup>1</sup>	21.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.6% <sub>b</sub>	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>b</sub>	19.7%	22.8%a	11.8% <sub>a</sub>	21.5% <sub>b</sub>	32.8% <sub>c</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	19.3% <sub>a</sub>	21.4%a	20.4%a	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.3% <sub>a</sub>	26.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	19.8% <sub>b</sub>	58.8% <sub>c</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	51.6% <sub>b</sub>
that things in New York State are Wrong direction	68.3% <sup>1</sup>	67.7% <sub>a</sub>	69.2% <sub>a</sub>	58.4%a	79.3% <sub>b</sub>	70.5% <sub>c</sub>	66.2% <sub>a,c</sub>	74.0%a	69.1% <sub>a</sub>	54.1% <sub>b</sub>	61.2% <sub>a</sub>	70.1% <sub>b</sub>	73.3% <sub>b</sub>	48.0%a	71.4% <sub>b</sub>	72.8% <sub>a</sub>	35.5% <sub>b</sub>	93.0%a	67.3% <sub>b</sub>	28.7% <sub>c</sub>	62.1% <sub>b</sub>	95.1% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>b</sub>
heading in the Pon't Know	12.4%1	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>b</sub>	16.9%a	9.1% <sub>b</sub>	9.9% <sub>b</sub>	10.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	14.3%a	9.4% <sub>b</sub>	13.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.3%a	10.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>c</sub>	31.6%a	9.9% <sub>b</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	37.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.0% <sub>b</sub>	12.5% <sub>b</sub>	32.8% <sub>c</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>b</sub>
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted n	1754	697	1004	239	585	487	410	331	697	689	425	661	531	135	1557	1570	92	560	743	281	109	704	553

		Jefferson County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	evel	Anı	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Generally speaking, would you say	Right direction	21.2% <sup>1</sup>	21.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.4% <sub>a</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.1%a	24.2% <sub>b</sub>	31.1% <sub>b</sub>	21.8% <sub>a</sub>	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.8% <sub>a</sub>	19.4%a	27.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>b</sub>	55.7% <sub>c</sub>	9.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	55.8% <sub>b</sub>
that things in New York State are	Wrong direction	63.6% <sup>1</sup>	61.9% <sub>a</sub>	66.7% <sub>a</sub>	51.4%a	78.6% <sub>b</sub>	70.1% <sub>b</sub>	63.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	64.9% <sub>a</sub>	65.0% <sub>a</sub>	57.7% <sub>a</sub>	50.9% <sub>a</sub>	71.0% <sub>b</sub>	70.7% <sub>b</sub>	43.6% <sub>a</sub>	69.4% <sub>b</sub>	70.7%a	31.5% <sub>b</sub>	88.0%a	67.6% <sub>b</sub>	25.4% <sub>c</sub>	51.3% <sub>d</sub>	95.5% <sub>a</sub>	31.3% <sub>b</sub>
heading in the?	Don't Know	15.3% <sup>1</sup>	17.0% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	25.0%a	7.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.7% <sub>b</sub>	11.4% <sub>b</sub>	21.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>b</sub>	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	27.3% <sub>a</sub>	9.8% <sub>b</sub>	5.7% <sub>b</sub>	34.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.8% <sub>b</sub>	9.8%a	41.5% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.7% <sub>b</sub>	18.9% <sub>b</sub>	39.1% <sub>c</sub>	1.9%a	12.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	729	301	401	156	251	168	138	109	290	309	178	258	241	112	590	616	64	224	313	111	55	265	234

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Generally speaking, would you say	Right direction	15.6% <sup>1</sup>	10.9%	20.6% <sub>b</sub>	22.8%a	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>a</sub>	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.1%	27.7% <sub>b</sub>	17.5% <sub>a</sub>	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	19.7% <sub>a</sub>	45.3%a	15.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.5% <sub>a</sub>	46.1% <sub>b</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>b</sub>	67.0% <sub>c</sub>	1.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.9%	45.3% <sub>b</sub>
that things in New York State are	Wrong direction	76.2% <sup>1</sup>	82.4% <sub>a</sub>	69.5% <sub>b</sub>	72.2% <sub>a</sub>	79.2% <sub>a</sub>	82.9% <sub>a</sub>	67.3% <sub>a</sub>	79.7% <sub>a</sub>	77.3%a	62.4% <sub>b</sub>	69.8% <sub>a</sub>	79.8%a	73.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.3% <sub>a</sub>	76.4% <sub>b</sub>	76.9% <sub>a</sub>	47.3% <sub>b</sub>	94.0%a	70.6% <sub>b</sub>	19.0% <sub>c</sub>	89.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	94.6%a	30.1% <sub>b</sub>
heading in the?	Don't Know	8.2% <sup>1</sup>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.9%a	4.9%a	8.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.2% <sub>b</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.8% <sub>a</sub>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.3%a	22.4%a	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.6%a	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>b</sub>	14.0% <sub>b</sub>	9.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.6%a	24.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	480	173	298	51	130	164	127	123	198	152	111	187	142	8	456	444	19	184	200	60	17	225	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi	litary in		icial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Generally speaking, would you say that things in New York State are heading in the?	Right direction Wrong direction Don't Know Total	70.9% <sup>1</sup> 10.6% <sup>1</sup>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	71.6% <sub>a</sub> 15.9% <sub>b</sub>	64.9% <sub>a</sub> 8.4% <sub>a</sub>	80.0% <sub>ь</sub> 11.0% <sub>а</sub>	67.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	67.6% <sub>a,b</sub> 9.1% <sub>a</sub>	81.4% <sub>a</sub> 9.4% <sub>a</sub>	71.2% <sub>b</sub> 8.3% <sub>a</sub>	48.8% <sub>c</sub> 15.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.7% <sub>a</sub> 71.6% <sub>a</sub> 13.7% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%	66.9% <sub>a</sub> 12.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	91.7% <sub>a</sub> 4.9% <sub>a</sub>		73.5% <sub>a</sub> 8.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>a</sub> 41.4% <sub>b</sub> 35.8% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%	97.0% <sub>a</sub>	21.4% <sub>b</sub> 66.1% <sub>b</sub> 12.5% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%	60.1% <sub>с</sub> 33.5% <sub>с</sub> 6.4% <sub>ь</sub> 100.0%	1.1% <sub>a</sub> 70.4% <sub>b</sub> 28.5% <sub>c</sub> 100.0%	3.0% <sub>a</sub> 94.9% <sub>a</sub> 2.1% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%	49.2% <sub>b</sub> 34.8% <sub>b</sub> 16.0% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%
	Unweighted n	545	223	305	32	204	155	145	99	209	228	136	216	148	15	511	510	9	152	230	110	37	214	197

Table 33		All North Country Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi	litary in		cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
	Right direction	30.7% <sup>1</sup>	34.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.5% <sub>b</sub>	29.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	37.2% <sub>b,c</sub>	39.6% <sub>c</sub>	28.0%a	27.3% <sub>a</sub>	44.7% <sub>b</sub>	27.5% <sub>a</sub>	29.6%a	38.1% <sub>b</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.0% <sub>a</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.8%a	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	17.4% <sub>b</sub>	28.4% <sub>a</sub>	46.8% <sub>b</sub>
that things in your county are	Wrong direction	43.8% <sup>1</sup>	42.2% <sub>a</sub>	45.0%a	38.8% <sub>a,c</sub>	56.6% <sub>b</sub>	41.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.1% <sub>c</sub>	45.5%a	50.0% <sub>a</sub>	27.9% <sub>b</sub>	38.8% <sub>a</sub>	47.5% <sub>b</sub>	45.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	18.3% <sub>a</sub>	47.4% <sub>b</sub>	46.4%a	29.2% <sub>b</sub>	49.5%a	40.0% <sub>b</sub>	$45.9\%_{a,b}$	41.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	56.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.5% <sub>b</sub>
heading in the?	Don't Know	25.5% <sup>1</sup>	23.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.5%a	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.7% <sub>b</sub>	21.6% <sub>b,c</sub>	30.3% <sub>a,c</sub>	26.5%a	22.7% <sub>a</sub>	27.4%a	33.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.9% <sub>b</sub>	16.0% <sub>c</sub>	56.6%a	21.0% <sub>b</sub>	23.9%a	35.8% <sub>b</sub>	20.3%a	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.4%a	41.6% <sub>b</sub>	15.4% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1751	696	1001	237	585	486	409	332	693	688	426	655	532	134	1555	1568	92	558	742	281	109	702	552

		Jefferson County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation L	evel	Anı	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Generally speaking, would you say	Right direction	31.0% <sup>1</sup>	34.0% <sub>a</sub>	27.1% <sub>a</sub>	25.0% <sub>a</sub>	29.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	39.9% <sub>b,c</sub>	47.2% <sub>c</sub>	31.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.1% <sub>a</sub>	39.9% <sub>b</sub>	28.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.0% <sub>a</sub>	37.2% <sub>a</sub>	22.9%a	33.9% <sub>b</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	22.4% <sub>b</sub>	29.9% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>b</sub>	31.0% <sub>a</sub>	54.0% <sub>b</sub>
that things in your county are	Wrong direction	36.3% <sup>1</sup>	35.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.4% <sub>a</sub>	50.5% <sub>b</sub>	41.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	35.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	41.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.9% <sub>b</sub>	27.0% <sub>a</sub>	41.9% <sub>b</sub>	40.7% <sub>b</sub>	19.1% <sub>a</sub>	41.6% <sub>b</sub>	37.6%a	30.6% <sub>a</sub>	43.6%a	36.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	36.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.3% <sub>b</sub>	51.8%a	28.3% <sub>b</sub>
heading in the?	Don't Know	32.7% <sup>1</sup>	30.5% <sub>a</sub>	35.0% <sub>a</sub>	46.7% <sub>a</sub>	19.7% <sub>b</sub>	18.5% <sub>b</sub>	29.3% <sub>b</sub>	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	44.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.1% <sub>b</sub>	22.1% <sub>b</sub>	58.1% <sub>a</sub>	24.5% <sub>b</sub>	30.1%a	47.0% <sub>b</sub>	26.6%a	27.1%a	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	64.9% <sub>b</sub>	17.1%a	17.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	726	301	397	154	251	168	136	109	288	307	177	254	242	111	588	614	64	222	312	111	55	263	233

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi	ilitary in H		cial round		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	i			Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Oon't Know	Trump	Harris
Generally speaking, would you say	Right direction	35.8% <sup>1</sup>	34.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	37.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	48.7% <sub>b</sub>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.0% <sub>a</sub>	50.5% <sub>b</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	40.4%a	54.9% <sub>a</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	35.6%a	42.3%a	33.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	41.7%	42.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.6% <sub>b</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	49.0% <sub>b</sub>
that things in your county are	Wrong direction													43.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	41.9% <sub>a</sub>	42.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>b</sub>	46.8%a	31.9% <sub>b</sub>	26.0% <sub>b</sub>	82.1% <sub>c</sub>	48.3% <sub>a</sub>	28.4% <sub>b</sub>
heading in the?	Don't Know	23.0% <sup>1</sup>	20.0%a	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	23.3%	24.5%a	20.6%a	24.4% <sub>a</sub>	25.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	17.9% <sub>b</sub>	16.0% <sub>b</sub>	45.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.3%a	21.7%a	46.1% <sub>b</sub>	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.4%a	31.6%a	7.3%a	19.3%a	22.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	479	173	297	51	130	163	127	124	196	152	112	185	142	8	455	443	19	184	199	60	17	224	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	evel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi	litary in		cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Generally speaking, would you say that things in your county are	Right direction Wrong direction											25.6% <sub>a</sub> 54.6% <sub>a</sub>		38.3% <sub>a</sub> 51.7% <sub>a</sub>				57.7% <sub>b</sub> 29.4% <sub>b</sub>			35.0% <sub>a</sub> 58.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.3% <sub>a</sub> 54.8% <sub>a</sub>	24.1% <sub>a</sub> 63.8% <sub>a</sub>	40.3% <sub>ь</sub> 41.0% <sub>ь</sub>
heading in the?	Don't Know Total	19.0% <sup>1</sup>										19.8% <sub>a</sub>						13.0% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%		22.9% <sub>a,b</sub> 100.0%	6.8% <sub>c</sub>	21.8% <sub>b,d</sub> 100.0%	12.1% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%	18.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Unweighted n	546	222	307	32	204	155	146	99	209	229	137	216	148	15	512	511	9	152	231	110	37	215	197

Table 34		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi		Ra Backç	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
When considering you or your	Better	12.8% <sup>1</sup>	14.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.7% <sub>b</sub>	16.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.7% <sub>b</sub>	12.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.5% <sub>b</sub>	10.8%a	11.4%a	19.9% <sub>b</sub>	11.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.3% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>b</sub>	24.1%a	11.0% <sub>b</sub>	12.3% <sub>a</sub>	19.1% <sub>b</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.1%a	20.1% <sub>b</sub>	12.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.3% <sub>b</sub>
family's personal financial situation -	Same	39.8% <sup>1</sup>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.6%a	31.0% <sub>b</sub>	45.8% <sub>a</sub>	49.2% <sub>a</sub>	36.7% <sub>a</sub>	37.0% <sub>a</sub>	50.7% <sub>b</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	39.4% <sub>b</sub>	44.1% <sub>b</sub>	47.1%a	38.5% <sub>b</sub>	39.4% <sub>a</sub>	44.8%a	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	42.6% <sub>b</sub>	44.7% <sub>b</sub>	35.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.4% <sub>a</sub>	53.6% <sub>b</sub>
has it gotten better, stayed about the	Worse	46.1% <sup>1</sup>	44.5% <sub>a</sub>	48.0% <sub>a</sub>	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	58.2% <sub>b</sub>	41.6%a	42.0%a	50.6%a	51.1%a	28.2% <sub>b</sub>	52.8% <sub>a</sub>	48.2% <sub>a</sub>	37.8% <sub>b</sub>	19.5%a	50.3% <sub>b</sub>	47.7%a	30.8% <sub>b</sub>	56.7% <sub>a</sub>	43.8% <sub>b</sub>	34.6% <sub>b</sub>	45.5% <sub>b</sub>	60.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.0% <sub>b</sub>
same, or gotten worse in the past 12	Don't Know	1.3%1	1.9%a	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.6%a	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.1%a	0.6%a	0.6%a	7.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>
months?	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1755	698	1004	238	584	492	408	331	694	692	431	661	530	136	1560	1575	92	558	745	281	110	704	553

			Gender Identity		Age Groups			Education Level			Annual HH Income			Active Military in HH		Racial Background		Political Beliefs				President 2024 Vote Choice (among LVs)		
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС		Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
When considering you or your family's personal financial situation -	Better	16.2% <sup>1</sup>	20.1%a	12.0% <sub>b</sub>	22.1% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>b</sub>	14.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	22.1% <sub>a</sub>	14.2%	16.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.6% <sub>a</sub>	26.1%a	13.3% <sub>b</sub>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.6%a	14.6% <sub>a,c,d</sub>	14.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.9% <sub>c</sub>	10.3% <sub>b,d</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	24.9% <sub>b</sub>
		40.7% <sup>1</sup>	41.4%a	39.4%	39.8% <sub>a</sub>	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	50.4% <sub>a</sub>	41.0%a	39.2% <sub>a</sub>	40.9% <sub>a</sub>	44.3%a	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	43.2% <sub>a</sub>	43.4%a	43.9%a	39.6% <sub>a</sub>	39.4% <sub>a</sub>	49.7% <sub>b</sub>	38.9%a	43.8%a	42.1%a	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	41.5% <sub>b</sub>
has it gotten better, stayed about the		40.6% <sup>1</sup>	34.3%a	47.9% <sub>b</sub>	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	52.0% <sub>b</sub>	35.0% <sub>a,c</sub>	48.4% <sub>b,c</sub>	40.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	44.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.0% <sub>b</sub>	44.0%a	39.6%a	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	19.6%a	47.1% <sub>b</sub>	43.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.8% <sub>b</sub>	46.4%a	40.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	29.6% <sub>b</sub>	42.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	60.3%a	33.5% <sub>b</sub>
same, or gotten worse in the past 12 months?	Don't Know	2.5% <sup>1</sup>	4.2%	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	4.3%a	1.0%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	10.4%a	0.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.1%a	7.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.1%a	0.9%	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	14.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.1%a	0.1%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	729	301	401	156	250	169	138	109	289	309	180	258	240	113	589	617	65	223	313	112	55	265	234

		Lewis County Participants	Gender Identity		Age Groups			Education Level			Annual HH Income			Active Military in HH		Racial Background		Political Beliefs				President 2024 Vote Choice (among LVs)		
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
When considering you or your	Better	10.5% <sup>1</sup>	10.1% <sub>a</sub>	10.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	13.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.4%	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.6% <sub>b</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.8% <sub>b</sub>	26.6%a	10.3% <sub>a</sub>	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.3%a	11.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	24.2% <sub>b</sub>	10.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	18.4% <sub>a</sub>
family's personal financial situation -		36.7% <sup>1</sup>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	37.5% <sub>a</sub>	32.3% <sub>a</sub>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	52.6% <sub>b</sub>	36.0% <sub>a</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	42.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	43.8% <sub>b</sub>	41.1% <sub>a</sub>	36.7% <sub>a</sub>	37.2% <sub>a</sub>	47.5%a	27.9%a	44.2% <sub>b</sub>	57.4% <sub>b</sub>	27.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	29.5% <sub>a</sub>	66.7% <sub>b</sub>
has it gotten better, stayed about the	Worse	52.0% <sup>1</sup>	53.0% <sub>a</sub>	50.8% <sub>a</sub>	57.7% <sub>a</sub>	55.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	47.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	38.9% <sub>b</sub>	57.9%a	51.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>b</sub>	64.3% <sub>a</sub>	55.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.0% <sub>b</sub>	32.3% <sub>a</sub>	52.4% <sub>a</sub>	51.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.2% <sub>a</sub>	60.4%a	48.2%a	18.4% <sub>b</sub>	61.5% <sub>a</sub>	62.0% <sub>a</sub>	14.9% <sub>b</sub>
same, or gotten worse in the past 12	Don't Know	0.8%1	0.0%2	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.5%a	0.3%a	1.1%	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3%a	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.7%a	0.0%2	0.3%a	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2
months?	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	480	173	298	50	130	166	126	124	197	152	113	186	142	8	457	446	18	184	200	60	18	225	122

				Gender Identity		Age Groups			Education Level			Annual HH Income			Active Military in HH		Racial Background		Political Beliefs				President 2024 Vote Choice (among LVs)	
				Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
When considering you or your	Better	10.2% <sup>1</sup>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.3%	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.5% <sub>b</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>b</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	13.3% <sub>b</sub>	12.9% <sub>b</sub>	14.9% <sub>b</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	14.6% <sub>b</sub>
family's personal financial situation -	Same	39.6% <sup>1</sup>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	42.9% <sub>a</sub>	43.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>b</sub>	43.4%	54.1%a	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	58.8% <sub>b</sub>	30.6% <sub>a</sub>	36.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	44.8% <sub>b</sub>	79.0%a	38.2% <sub>b</sub>	40.1%a	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	40.9%a	44.8% <sub>a</sub>	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	37.9%a	61.4% <sub>b</sub>
has it gotten better, stayed about the	Worse	50.1% <sup>1</sup>	52.5% <sub>a</sub>	47.4%a	45.5%a	64.1% <sub>b</sub>	45.2%	38.2% <sub>a</sub>	58.6% <sub>a</sub>	56.6% <sub>a</sub>	23.1% <sub>b</sub>	60.9% <sub>a</sub>	53.9% <sub>a</sub>	40.6% <sub>b</sub>	17.3%a	52.2% <sub>b</sub>	50.6%a	41.4% <sub>a</sub>	64.5% <sub>a</sub>	45.7% <sub>b</sub>	42.3% <sub>b</sub>	46.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	60.0%a	23.8% <sub>b</sub>
same, or gotten worse in the past 12	Don't Know	0.1%1	0.0%2	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.6%a	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.4%a	0.0%2	0.1%a	0.1%a	0.9%a	0.0%2	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.5%a	0.0%a	0.2%a
months?	Total	100.0%		100.0%							100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	546	224	305	32	204	157	144	98	208	231	138	217	148	15	514	512	9	151	232	109	37	214	197

Table 35		All North Country Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	iroups		Ed	ucation Le	ovel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
Tuble 66		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
What do you think is the single	Immigration	5.2% <sup>1</sup>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>b</sub>	8.8% <sub>b</sub>	8.9% <sub>b</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>c</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>b</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>
largest issue that is facing residents	Protecting democracy	3.4%1	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	4.5% <sub>b,c</sub>	9.7% <sub>c</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.3% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>b</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>b,c</sub>	7.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.5% <sub>a,c</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.3% <sub>b</sub>
of the North Country right now?	COVID-19	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2
	Abortion	0.1%1	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Inflation	22.8% <sup>1</sup>	20.3% <sub>a</sub>	26.1% <sub>b</sub>	26.5% <sub>a</sub>	26.4% <sub>a</sub>	16.0% <sub>b</sub>	15.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.1% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.9% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	22.4% <sub>a</sub>	24.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.1% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	34.2% <sub>b</sub>	29.1% <sub>a</sub>	15.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Unemployment	3.6% <sup>1</sup>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>b</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>b</sub>	2.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Homelessness	5.0% <sup>1</sup>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Climate change	1.3% <sup>1</sup>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.6%a	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.8%a	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	3.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Health care	4.0%1	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>b,c</sub>	8.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.1% <sub>a,c</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Racial inequality	0.1%1	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.6%a	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Schools	0.2%1	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Affordable housing	6.5% <sup>1</sup>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	10.6% <sub>b</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>b</sub>	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	5.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Crime	2.6%1	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.6%a	0.0%2	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>b</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	9.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Too much gun regulation	0.6% <sup>1</sup>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.9%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Not enough gun regulation	0.3% <sup>1</sup>	0.0%a	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Taxes	5.2% <sup>1</sup>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.0%a	4.9%a	10.4% <sub>b</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.3%a	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	5.6%a	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	9.6%a	4.8% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Drugs	8.6% <sup>1</sup>	9.1%	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.8% <sub>b</sub>	11.6% <sub>b</sub>	7.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.6%a	5.7% <sub>b</sub>	10.5%a	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.0%a	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.1%a	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.0%a	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>
	The economy	21.6% <sup>1</sup>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	20.6%a	26.0%a	19.2% <sub>b</sub>	18.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	22.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.0%a	22.6%a	17.0%a	25.7% <sub>b</sub>	22.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.0%a	22.6% <sub>b</sub>	22.2%a	13.9% <sub>b</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.8% <sub>b</sub>	16.0% <sub>b</sub>	19.2% <sub>b</sub>	25.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Not enough good jobs	1.9%1	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	2.8%a	1.0%a	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	2.7% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.5%a	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>b</sub>	2.0%a	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.8%a	3.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.6%a
	Mental health	0.3%1	0.1%a	0.6%a	0.5%a	0.4%a	0.0%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.5%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.3%a	0.8%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4%a	0.3%a	0.4%a	0.0%a	0.4%a	1.0%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a
	Government	3.7% <sup>1</sup>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	4.6%a	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>b</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.8% <sub>b</sub>	4.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>b</sub>
	The 2024 Election	0.1%1	0.1%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1%a	0.0%a	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.1%a	0.1%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a
	Childcare	0.2%1	0.4%a	0.0%a	0.5%a	0.0%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3%a	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.4%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.4%a	0.1%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.4%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Unwillingness to work	0.4%1	0.5%a	0.3%a	0.3%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.4%a	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	0.0%2	0.6%a	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.5%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	Moral decline	0.3%1	0.3%a	0.3%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.5%a	0.5%a	0.4%a	0.1%a	0.5%a	0.2%a	0.6%a	0.2%a	0.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4%a	0.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1%a	0.4%a	0.7%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1%a	0.6%a
	Opporunities (shop, ent.)	1.9%1	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>b</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.1%a	4.0%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	12.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.1%a	4.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.8%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Transportation	0.1%1	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%a	0.3%a	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.3%a	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1695	668	980	205	577	486	397	310	678	673	399	639	530	99	1541	1539	76	547	720	278	96	694	545

		Jefferson County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	Groups		Ed	ucation Le	evel		nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi	1		cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
What do you think is the single	Immigration	1.8% <sup>1</sup>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
largest issue that is facing residents	Protecting democracy	3.4%1	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	5.0% <sub>b</sub>	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.3%a	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.0% <sub>b</sub>
of the North Country right now?	COVID-19	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2
	Abortion	0.1%1	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Inflation	22.6% <sup>1</sup>	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	25.0%a	27.3% <sub>a</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.3% <sub>a</sub>	20.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	23.8% <sub>a</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	19.5% <sub>a</sub>	23.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.6% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>b</sub>	23.7% <sub>a</sub>	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	33.6% <sub>a</sub>	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Unemployment	2.5%1	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.8%a	1.0%a	0.8%a	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	3.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Homelessness	10.3% <sup>1</sup>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.9% <sub>b</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.0%a	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	11.9% <sub>b</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.6%a	6.4%a	14.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Climate change	2.1% <sup>1</sup>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.5%a	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>b</sub>	9.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Health care	3.7% <sup>1</sup>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.1% <sub>b</sub>	4.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	$3.5\%_{a,b}$	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.4% <sub>b</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	1.8%a	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.8%a	0.0%2	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Racial inequality	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Schools	0.3%1	0.4%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	0.2%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6%a	0.3%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.0%2	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.4%a	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Affordable housing	9.8%1	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.3% <sub>b</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.6%a	9.4%	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.3% <sub>a</sub>	12.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	10.4% <sub>b</sub>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.5%a	9.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Crime	1.5% <sup>1</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.9%a	0.0%2	4.6%a	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	0.1%a	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	6.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Too much gun regulation	0.5% <sup>1</sup>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.5%a	0.6%a	0.5%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.3%a	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.4%a	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.0%2	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4%a	0.0%2
	Not enough gun regulation	0.4%1	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.7%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.8%a	0.0%2	0.2%a	1.1%a	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.8%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	1.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Taxes	6.1% <sup>1</sup>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.0%a	4.5%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.3%	4.0%a	3.9%a	3.6%a	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	11.5%a	5.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.9%a	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Drugs	9.1% <sup>1</sup>	11.4%a	7.5%a	5.5%a	13.5% <sub>b</sub>	12.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.8%a,b	10.6%a	10.6%a	4.9%a	10.4%a	8.1%a	10.6%a	7.1%a	9.8%a	8.7%a	12.6%a	10.9%a	7.5%a	6.9%a	11.1%a	10.2%a	9.2%a
	The economy	16.8% <sup>1</sup>	14.9%a	18.3%a	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	14.4% <sub>a</sub>	21.1%	18.5%a	17.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.3%a	17.3%a	15.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.6%a	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.7% <sub>b</sub>	17.2%a	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	15.0% <sub>b</sub>	10.4% <sub>b</sub>	8.0% <sub>b</sub>	22.4%a	16.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Not enough good jobs	1.1%1	1.3%	0.9%	0.8%	1.4%	1.1%	1.2%	0.4%	1.9%	1.0%	0.1%	2.1%	0.8%,	1.4%	1.1%	1.2%	0.0%2	0.5%,	1.3%,	1.9%	1.0%	1.5%	1.3%
	Mental health	0.5%1	0.2%	0.9%	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%2	0.6%	0.0%2	0.6%	1.4%	0.0%2	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%2	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.7%	1.4%	0.0%2	0.3%	0.2%
	Government	5.0% <sup>1</sup>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.0%a	4.1%a	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.4%	12.8%a	3.8% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.5% <sub>b</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.7%	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.7%	1.2% <sub>a</sub>
	The 2024 Election	0.1%1	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.2%	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.3%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.5%a	0.0%2
	Childcare	0.5%1	1.0%a	0.1%a	1.1%a	0.1%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.7%	1.1%a	0.0%2	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%	1.3%	0.4%	0.4%a	1.4%a	1.0%a	0.3%	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.8%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Unwillingness to work	0.6%1	1.0%a	0.3%	0.6%a	0.8%	0.6%	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%	1.1%	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1%a	0.9%	0.0%2	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%2	1.2%	0.6%	0.0%2	0.4%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	Moral decline	0.4%1	0.4%a	0.4%a	0.0%2	1.2%	0.0%2	0.3%a	0.0%2	1.0%a	0.2%a	1.2%a	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.5%a	0.5%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.5%	1.1%	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.8%a
	Opporunities (shop, ent.)	0.7%1	1.6%a	0.0%2	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.5%a	2.1%a	0.3%a	0.0%2	4.8%	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	5.0%a	0.0%2	1.7%	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2
	Transportation	0.2%1	0.4%	0.0%2	0.6%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.6%	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%2	0.3%	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.5%	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	684	275	385	122	246	168	134	94	274	297	157	239	241	76	584	595	49	215	294	111	43	259	229

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	iroups		Ed	ucation L	evel		nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi	1		cial round		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
What do you think is the single	Immigration	3.7% <sup>1</sup>	6.3%a	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.4%a	4.2%a	4.6%a	5.1%a	4.2%a	3.8%a	1.3%a	4.3%a	4.3%a	1.9%a	21.5%a	3.2%ь	3.2%a	1.3%a	5.2%a	2.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.5%a	2.9%a	0.0%2
largest issue that is facing residents	Protecting democracy	4.5% <sup>1</sup>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.8%a	2.9%a	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.3%a	4.6%a	0.0%2	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.3%a	15.0% <sub>b</sub>
of the North Country right now?	COVID-19	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2
	Abortion	0.3%1	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.8%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.8%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.7%a	0.0%2
	Inflation	27.6% <sup>1</sup>	27.9%a	27.4% <sub>a</sub>	$31.3\%_{a,b}$	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.3% <sub>b</sub>	20.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	19.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>b</sub>	28.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	37.6% <sub>a</sub>	27.5% <sub>a</sub>	28.4% <sub>a</sub>	18.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	27.3% <sub>a</sub>	16.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Unemployment	2.2%1	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.4%a	5.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Homelessness	2.0%1	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	3.6%a	3.1%a	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	2.6%a	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	0.0%2	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	3.0%a	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Climate change	1.2%1	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	$0.0\%^{2}$	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Health care	2.4%1	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.0%a	1.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Racial inequality	0.5%1	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Schools	0.1% <sup>1</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.3%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2
	Affordable housing	8.4% <sup>1</sup>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>b</sub>	14.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.1% <sub>b</sub>	43.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	12.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Crime	0.1%1	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Too much gun regulation	2.5% <sup>1</sup>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	$0.0\%^{2}$	4.3%a	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.4%a	0.0%2
	Not enough gun regulation	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2
	Taxes	6.1% <sup>1</sup>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.7%a	4.9%a	16.7% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.0%a	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.3%a	4.8%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.5%a	8.8%a	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.6%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Drugs	4.6% <sup>1</sup>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.7%a	4.5%a	3.1%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	8.5% <sub>b</sub>	10.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.9%a	4.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	4.8%a	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	4.0%a
	The economy	26.9% <sup>1</sup>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	21.9% <sub>b</sub>	18.2% <sub>b</sub>	25.8% <sub>a.b</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	30.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	24.0% <sub>a</sub>	26.4% <sub>a</sub>	31.8%a	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.5% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.9%a	30.1% <sub>a</sub>	24.3%a	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.6%a	17.1%a
	Not enough good jobs	1.3%1	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.9%a	2.8%a	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.6%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.6%a	0.1%a	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	1.1%a	5.6%a	0.8%a	1.1%	4.9%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.3%a	4.4%a
	Mental health	0.6% <sup>1</sup>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	1.0%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.9%a	0.9%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	6.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.3%a	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3%a	1.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Government	2.9%1	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	2.6%a	3.0%a	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	3.5% <sub>a</sub>
	The 2024 Election	0.3%1	0.6%a	0.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4%a	0.2%a	0.9%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6%a	0.7%a	0.0%2	0.8%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	11.4%a	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.3%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Childcare	0.0%1	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%a	0.0%a	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1%a	0.0%2
	Unwillingness to work	0.5%1	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.0%a	0.0%2	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.6%a	1.0%a	0.6%a	0.9%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.5%a	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	1.3%	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.6%a	0.0%2
	Moral decline	1.0%1	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.3%a	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.1%a	0.0%2	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.0%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	1.0%a	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.4%a	0.0%2	0.6%a	2.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Opporunities (shop, ent.)	0.3%1	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.6%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6%a	0.0%2
	Transportation	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	476	172	296	51	130	164	123	119	199	151	109	186	142	8	453	441	19	184	196	60	18	224	120

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation L	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
What do you think is the single	Immigration	8.6% <sup>1</sup>	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>b</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	17.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	19.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>
largest issue that is facing residents	Protecting democracy	3.2%1	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.0%a	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.1%a	10.5% <sub>b</sub>
of the North Country right now?	COVID-19	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	$0.0\%^{2}$	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Abortion	0.0%1	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Inflation	21.7% <sup>1</sup>	18.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.8% <sub>b</sub>	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.0%a	19.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.6% <sub>b</sub>	20.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	44.3%a	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	42.8% <sub>b</sub>	24.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.1%a	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	36.7% <sub>b</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Unemployment	5.0% <sup>1</sup>	5.0%a	4.0%a	1.4%a	3.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.7% <sub>b</sub>	5.9%a	2.4%a	5.1%a	2.4%a	6.4%a	1.6%a	4.9%a	4.2%a	4.7%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.6%a	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	4.0%a	10.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Homelessness	1.0%1	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	$0.0\%^{2}$	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.7%a	0.0%2	1.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Climate change	0.6%1	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.9%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.6%a	0.6%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	0.5%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Health care	4.7% <sup>1</sup>	6.1%a	3.4%a	8.5%a	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.6%a	8.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.5%a	6.2%a	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.8%a	5.1%a	0.0%2	0.1%a	5.1% <sub>b</sub>	15.6%c	1.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.8%a	11.9%ь
	Racial inequality	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Schools	0.2%1	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3%a	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.0%2	0.3%a	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Affordable housing	3.1% <sup>1</sup>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>b</sub>	3.8%a	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.1%a	7.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	5.0%a	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	3.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Crime	4.2%1	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	2.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	23.0% <sub>b</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Too much gun regulation	0.3%1	0.6%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.9%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.8%a	0.0%2	4.6%a	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.4%a	0.0%2	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1%a	0.0%2
	Not enough gun regulation	0.3%1	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.7%a	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	0.0%2	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a
	Taxes	4.1% <sup>1</sup>	6.2%a	2.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	4.8%a	13.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.2%a	4.5%a	4.2%a	3.7%a	4.5%a	5.4%a	2.8%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.4%a	4.7%a	0.0%2	5.5%a	5.8%a	1.3%	0.0%2	5.2%a	10.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Drugs	9.1%1	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.3%a	5.3%a	10.1%a	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	12.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	9.2%	9.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	3.6%a	7.0%a	5.9% <sub>a</sub>
	The economy	24.6% <sup>1</sup>	28.6%a	22.0% <sub>a</sub>	34.4%	22.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.3% <sub>b</sub>	19.9% <sub>a.b</sub>	27.0%a	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	26.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.1% <sub>b</sub>	22.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	37.6%a	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	19.7% <sub>b</sub>	19.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.2% <sub>a.b</sub>	27.0%a	13.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Not enough good jobs	2.7%1	4.2%	1.3% <sub>b</sub>	5.5%a	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.5%a	3.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.4% <sub>b</sub>	1.0%a	0.8%a	8.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.0%a	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	1.6%a	1.6%a	1.4%a
	Mental health	0.1%1	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.2%	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.2%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.8%a
	Government	2.8%1	0.4%	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	6.6%a	1.3% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.0%2	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.9%	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.4%a	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.0%2	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.6%a	0.3%	15.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.2%	1.9%	7.3% <sub>b</sub>
	The 2024 Election	0.1%1	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.2%	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.4%a
I	Childcare	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2
I	Unwillingness to work	0.2%1	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.5%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.4%	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2%	0.0%2	0.4%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.6%a	0.3%	0.0%2
I	Moral decline	0.1%1	0.1%a	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.3%	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.4%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.3%	0.0%2	0.1%a	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.1%	0.3%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>
I	Opporunities (shop, ent.)	3.2%1	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.9%a	9.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	9.3%	0.0%2	3.4%	1.6%	23.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	8.0%	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	1.8%a	0.5%a
1	Transportation	0.1%1	0.1%a	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.2%	0.2%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.3%a	0.2%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.1%a	0.1%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.1%	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.2%a	0.1%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	535	221	299	32	201	154	140	97	205	225	133	214	147	15	504	503	8	148	230	107	35	211	196

Table 36		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
What do you think is the single	Inflation/Cost of Living	22.8% <sup>1</sup>	20.3%a	26.1% <sub>b</sub>	26.5% <sub>a</sub>	26.4% <sub>a</sub>	16.0% <sub>b</sub>	15.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.6%a	24.9%a	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.1% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.1%a	22.9%a	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	22.4%a	24.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.1%a	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	34.2% <sub>b</sub>	29.1% <sub>a</sub>	15.4% <sub>b</sub>
largest issue that is facing residents	The Economy	21.6% <sup>1</sup>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	20.6% <sub>a</sub>	26.0%a	19.2% <sub>b</sub>	$18.9\%_{a,b}$	20.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	22.9%a	20.0% <sub>a</sub>	22.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>a</sub>	25.7% <sub>b</sub>	22.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.0% <sub>a</sub>	22.6% <sub>b</sub>	22.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>b</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.8% <sub>b</sub>	16.0% <sub>b</sub>	19.2% <sub>b</sub>	25.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>b</sub>
of the North Country right now? -	Drugs	8.6% <sup>1</sup>	9.1%a	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.8% <sub>b</sub>	11.6% <sub>b</sub>	7.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	$8.3\%_{a,b}$	10.6%a	5.7% <sub>b</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.4%a	9.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.0%a	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>
Summary of Most Commonly Cited Issues	Affordable Housing	6.5% <sup>1</sup>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	10.6% <sub>b</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>b</sub>	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	5.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>b</sub>
issues	Unemployment/Jobs	5.5% <sup>1</sup>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.6% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.9% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.5% <sub>b</sub>	3.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Immigration	5.2% <sup>1</sup>	5.8%a	4.6%a	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>b</sub>	8.8% <sub>b</sub>	8.9% <sub>b</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>c</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.1%a	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>b</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Taxes	5.2% <sup>1</sup>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.0%a	4.9%a	10.4% <sub>b</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.3%a	4.1%a	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.6%a	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.8% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a
	Homelessness	5.0% <sup>1</sup>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Other Issues	19.7% <sup>1</sup>	20.5%a	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	22.2%a	16.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.6% <sub>a</sub>	23.1% <sub>a</sub>	16.6%a	20.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.1% <sub>b</sub>	20.2% <sub>a</sub>	20.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.9% <sub>a</sub>	29.6%a	18.6% <sub>b</sub>	17.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>b</sub>	10.3% <sub>a</sub>	21.5% <sub>b</sub>	33.1% <sub>c</sub>	21.4% <sub>b</sub>	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1695	668	980	205	577	486	397	310	678	673	399	639	530	99	1541	1539	76	547	720	278	96	694	545

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	iroups		Ed	ucation L	evel	An	nual HH Inco	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
What do you think is the single	Inflation/Cost of Living	22.6% <sup>1</sup>	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	25.0% <sub>a</sub>	27.3% <sub>a</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.3% <sub>a</sub>	20.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	23.8% <sub>a</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	19.5% <sub>a</sub>	23.9%a	22.6% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>b</sub>	23.7% <sub>a</sub>	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	33.6% <sub>a</sub>	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>b</sub>
largest issue that is facing residents	The Economy	16.8% <sup>1</sup>	14.9%a	18.3% <sub>a</sub>	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	14.4%a	21.1%a	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	17.3%a	15.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.7% <sub>b</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	15.0% <sub>b</sub>	10.4% <sub>b</sub>	8.0% <sub>b</sub>	22.4% <sub>a</sub>	16.3% <sub>a</sub>
of the North Country right now? -	Drugs	9.1%1	11.4%a	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>b</sub>	12.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.6%a	4.9%a	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	10.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.6%a	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.9%a	11.1% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>
Summary of Most Commonly Cited Issues	Affordable Housing	9.8%1	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.3% <sub>b</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.3% <sub>a</sub>	12.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	10.4% <sub>b</sub>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.3%a	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.8% <sub>b</sub>
issues	Unemployment/Jobs	3.6% <sup>1</sup>	4.1%a	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.6%a	2.4%a	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	4.4%a	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>b</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.0%a	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.0%a	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Immigration	1.8%1	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.3%a	4.3%a	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	Taxes	6.1% <sup>1</sup>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	6.1%a	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Homelessness	10.3% <sup>1</sup>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.6%a	7.6%a	14.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.9% <sub>b</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	11.9% <sub>b</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Other Issues	20.0%1	22.8%a	17.1%a	22.6%a	17.3%a	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.5%a	19.0%a	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.8%a	18.9% <sub>a</sub>	21.4% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.0% <sub>b</sub>	17.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.4% <sub>b</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.8%a	22.0%a	24.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	684	275	385	122	246	168	134	94	274	297	157	239	241	76	584	595	49	215	294	111	43	259	229

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	iroups		Edu	cation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	ilitary in H		cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH		White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
What do you think is the single	Inflation/Cost of Living	27.6% <sup>1</sup>	27.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.4% <sub>a</sub>	31.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.3% <sub>b</sub>	20.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	19.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>b</sub>	28.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	37.6%a	27.5%a	28.4% <sub>a</sub>	18.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	27.3%a	16.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>a</sub>
largest issue that is facing residents	The Economy	26.9% <sup>1</sup>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	21.9% <sub>b</sub>	18.2% <sub>b</sub>	25.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	30.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	24.0%a	26.4% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.5%a	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.9%a	30.1%a	24.3%a	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.1% <sub>a</sub>
of the North Country right now? -	Drugs	4.6% <sup>1</sup>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.5%a	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	8.5% <sub>b</sub>	10.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.9%a	4.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.8%a	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.9%a	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a
Summary of Most Commonly Cited Issues	Affordable Housing	8.4% <sup>1</sup>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>b</sub>	14.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.0%a	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.4%a	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.1% <sub>b</sub>	43.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	12.2% <sub>b</sub>
issues	Unemployment/Jobs	3.5% <sup>1</sup>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	0.0%2	3.3%a	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	1.9%a	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.7%a	18.7%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Immigration	3.7% <sup>1</sup>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.4%a	4.2%a	4.6%a	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.3%a	4.3%a	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	21.5%a	3.2% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.3%a	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	Taxes	6.1% <sup>1</sup>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	16.7% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.8%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.5%a	8.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Homelessness	2.0%1	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	3.6%a	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Other Issues	17.3% <sup>1</sup>	19.2%a	15.6%a	7.5%a	18.2% <sub>b</sub>	24.0%b	26.3%b	16.7%a	15.7%a	20.3%a	16.3%a	19.8%a	14.7%a	16.8%a	17.3%a	17.0%a	27.7%a	15.0%a	19.3%a	23.6%a	8.7%a	14.6%a	29.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	476	172	296	51	130	164	123	119	199	151	109	186	142	8	453	441	19	184	196	60	18	224	120

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	evel	Anr	nual HH Inco	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
What do you think is the single	Inflation/Cost of Living	21.7%1	18.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.8% <sub>b</sub>	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	19.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.6% <sub>b</sub>	20.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	44.3%a	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.7% <sub>a</sub>	42.8% <sub>b</sub>	24.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.1% <sub>a</sub>	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	36.7% <sub>b</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.1% <sub>b</sub>
largest issue that is facing residents	The Economy	24.6% <sup>1</sup>	28.6%a	22.0%a	34.4%a	22.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.3% <sub>b</sub>	19.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.0%a	21.9%a	26.8%a	16.7%a	34.1% <sub>b</sub>	22.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	37.6%a	25.2%a	25.1%a	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	34.7%a	19.7%ь	19.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.0%a	13.2% <sub>b</sub>
of the North Country right now? -	Drugs	9.1% <sup>1</sup>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	10.1% <sub>a</sub>	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.6%a	12.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>
Summary of Most Commonly Cited	Affordable Housing	3.1% <sup>1</sup>	0.5%a	6.1% <sub>b</sub>	3.8%a	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.4%a	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.5%a	0.9%a	3.8% <sub>b</sub>
Issues	Unemployment/Jobs	7.7% <sup>1</sup>	9.2%a	5.2%a	6.8%a	4.6%a	7.9%a	12.3%a	6.4%a	6.3%a	10.5%a	3.4%a	7.1%a	10.2%a	8.6%a	7.0%a	7.8%a	0.0%2	4.5%a	9.5%a	11.5%a	2.1%a	5.6%a	12.0% <sub>b</sub>
	Immigration	8.6% <sup>1</sup>	9.6%a	7.4%a	0.0%2	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>b</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	17.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	19.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Taxes	4.1% <sup>1</sup>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	4.8%a	13.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.5%a	4.2%	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.5%a	5.4%a	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.7% <sub>b</sub>
I	Homelessness	1.0%1	0.3%a	1.9%a	0.0%2	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.2%	1.0%a	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.1%	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	1.3%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.3%a
	Other Issues	20.0% <sup>1</sup>	18.8% <sub>a</sub>	18.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	15.6% <sub>a</sub>	16.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.6%a	$21.3\%_{a,b}$	28.0% <sub>b</sub>	22.3%a	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	20.0%a	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	19.4% <sub>a</sub>	17.9%a	46.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	20.9% <sub>b</sub>	45.2% <sub>c</sub>	20.5% <sub>b</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	38.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	535	221	299	32	201	154	140	97	205	225	133	214	147	15	504	503	8	148	230	107	35	211	196

Table 37		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Have you ever heard of the Center	Yes	46.6% <sup>1</sup>	45.2%a	48.5% <sub>a</sub>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	53.7% <sub>b</sub>	54.6% <sub>b</sub>	49.0% <sub>b</sub>	42.6%a	46.4%a	57.0% <sub>b</sub>	42.6%a	48.7% <sub>a</sub>	49.9%	24.0%a	49.9% <sub>b</sub>	51.2%a	20.0% <sub>b</sub>	48.6%a	47.7% <sub>a</sub>	54.3% <sub>a</sub>	31.3% <sub>b</sub>	46.8%a	56.7% <sub>b</sub>
for Community Studies at JCC	No	46.4% <sup>1</sup>	47.8%a	44.9%a	53.5% <sub>a</sub>	42.8% <sub>b</sub>	40.9% <sub>b</sub>	42.7% <sub>b</sub>	50.8%a	45.7% <sub>a</sub>	37.7% <sub>b</sub>	46.5%a	46.8%a	44.8%a	58.9%a	44.5% <sub>b</sub>	42.9%a	65.1% <sub>b</sub>	46.8%a	46.3%a	42.5%a	50.0% <sub>a</sub>	49.9% <sub>a</sub>	37.0% <sub>b</sub>
before this survey?	Not sure	7.0%1	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>b</sub>	4.5% <sub>b,c</sub>	8.4% <sub>a,c</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.4%a	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	17.1%a	5.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	14.9% <sub>b</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.0%a	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1759	700	1005	241	584	491	409	331	697	692	430	662	532	138	1562	1575	94	559	747	280	112	703	554

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ucation Le	evel	Anı	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Have you ever heard of the Center	Yes	50.4% <sup>1</sup>	42.3% <sub>a</sub>	58.4% <sub>b</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	62.7% <sub>b</sub>	65.4% <sub>b</sub>	59.5% <sub>b</sub>	41.4% <sub>a</sub>	52.3% <sub>b</sub>	67.9% <sub>c</sub>	40.1% <sub>a</sub>	51.2% <sub>b</sub>	63.1% <sub>c</sub>	21.4% <sub>a</sub>	59.6% <sub>b</sub>	56.4%a	29.2% <sub>b</sub>	51.2% <sub>a,c,d</sub>	49.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	66.2% <sub>c</sub>	36.6% <sub>b,d</sub>	50.5% <sub>a</sub>	61.7% <sub>b</sub>
for Community Studies at JCC	No	41.2% <sup>1</sup>	47.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.6% <sub>b</sub>	51.0% <sub>a</sub>	34.0% <sub>b</sub>	30.6% <sub>b</sub>	33.1% <sub>b</sub>	47.8%a	39.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.6% <sub>b</sub>	47.3%a	41.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	32.8% <sub>b</sub>	59.6%a	35.1% <sub>b</sub>	37.5%a	50.9% <sub>b</sub>	46.8%a	41.8% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.1%a	47.0%a	32.1% <sub>b</sub>
before this survey?	Not sure	8.4%1	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	4.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.5% <sub>b</sub>	12.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	19.0%a	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.9% <sub>b</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.4% <sub>c</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	734	305	401	159	250	169	139	110	291	310	180	259	242	115	592	619	66	224	315	112	57	265	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation L	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi			cial round		Political Be			President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Have you ever heard of the Center	Yes	66.7% <sup>1</sup>	73.2% <sub>a</sub>	60.0% <sub>b</sub>	60.3% <sub>a</sub>	69.1% <sub>a</sub>	74.2%	61.1% <sub>a</sub>	65.0% <sub>a</sub>	65.9% <sub>a</sub>	71.0% <sub>a</sub>	74.1% <sub>a</sub>	65.7% <sub>a</sub>	62.8% <sub>a</sub>	72.0% <sub>a</sub>	66.3% <sub>a</sub>	67.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.2% <sub>b</sub>	68.4% <sub>a</sub>	69.1% <sub>a</sub>	56.0%a	44.4% <sub>a</sub>	64.9% <sub>a</sub>	79.1% <sub>a</sub>
for Community Studies at JCC	No	27.8% <sup>1</sup>	21.1%a	34.4% <sub>b</sub>	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	28.3% <sub>a</sub>	19.1% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	23.4% <sub>a</sub>	24.4% <sub>a</sub>	21.9%a	31.1%a	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.0%a	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.7%a	59.9% <sub>b</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	26.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	42.7% <sub>b</sub>	54.0% <sub>b,c</sub>	30.3%a	15.0% <sub>b</sub>
before this survey?	Not sure	5.6% <sup>1</sup>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.6%a	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	10.6% <sub>b</sub>	4.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.0%a	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.9%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	1.3%a	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	480	172	299	51	130	165	126	123	198	152	112	187	142	8	457	445	19	184	200	60	18	224	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	evel	Anı	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000		Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Have you ever heard of the Center	Yes	37.9% <sup>1</sup>	41.6% <sub>a</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	32.0% <sub>a</sub>	42.6% <sub>a</sub>	40.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.0% <sub>a</sub>	37.1% <sub>a</sub>	42.8% <sub>a</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	42.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	44.6%a	38.4% <sub>a</sub>	42.4%a	0.0%2	39.6%a	40.4% <sub>a</sub>	42.3%a	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	36.3%a	47.8% <sub>b</sub>
for Community Studies at JCC	No	56.0% <sup>1</sup>	54.6% <sub>a</sub>	56.9%a	62.0% <sub>a</sub>	53.5% <sub>a</sub>	55.2% <sub>a</sub>	52.4%a	59.5%a	56.1% <sub>a</sub>	49.8%a	51.0% <sub>a</sub>	55.1% <sub>a</sub>	61.5% <sub>a</sub>	55.4%a	55.9% <sub>a</sub>	52.0%a	93.2% <sub>b</sub>	54.5% <sub>a</sub>	56.0%a	52.9% <sub>a</sub>	63.3% <sub>a</sub>	60.1% <sub>a</sub>	45.6% <sub>b</sub>
before this survey?	Not sure	6.0% <sup>1</sup>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.9%	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a	7.4%a	10.6%a	2.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.0%2	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.6%a	4.8%a	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	545	223	305	31	204	157	144	98	208	230	138	216	148	15	513	511	9	151	232	108	37	214	197

Table 38	All Nort Country Participa	Ger	nder Identi	у	Age (	Groups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in Year 20		ile Fem	le 18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
How likely is it that someone in your Very likely	6.7% <sup>1</sup>	5.8	%a 7.7%	a 6.2%	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.2%a	6.9%a	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.4% <sub>b</sub>	11.1%a	6.4% <sub>b</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.1%a	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.0%a
household would use a facility in Somewhat I	kely 15.6%	14.8	8% <sub>a</sub> 15.6	6a 20.0%	13.8% <sub>b</sub>	14.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.6% <sub>b</sub>	19.1%a	13.3% <sub>b</sub>	12.2% <sub>b</sub>	16.1% <sub>a</sub>	18.3% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>b</sub>	24.3%a	14.4% <sub>b</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.3% <sub>a</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	25.5% <sub>b</sub>	15.6% <sub>a</sub>	15.0% <sub>a</sub>
Jefferson County for the processing Not at all lik	ely 62.5%	63.4	4%a 62.5	6a 58.4%	62.6% <sub>a,t</sub>	67.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	68.3% <sub>b</sub>	56.6%a	64.2% <sub>b</sub>	72.1% <sub>c</sub>	49.9%a	64.3% <sub>b</sub>	76.1% <sub>c</sub>	36.3%a	66.0% <sub>b</sub>	65.6% <sub>a</sub>	40.6% <sub>b</sub>	66.3% <sub>a</sub>	65.5% <sub>a</sub>	64.7% <sub>a</sub>	47.5% <sub>b</sub>	66.0%a	67.1% <sub>a</sub>
of food products as a part of a small, Don't Know	15.2%	16.0	0%a 14.2	6a 15.3%	15.2%	11.0%a	17.9%a	17.4%a	14.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.7% <sub>b</sub>	22.4%a	12.8% <sub>b</sub>	9.0% <sub>b</sub>	28.3%a	13.3% <sub>b</sub>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.3% <sub>b</sub>	12.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.4%a	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>b</sub>	10.3%a	10.0% <sub>a</sub>
local business? Total	100.0%	100.	.0% 100.	% 100.09	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted	n 1748	69	98 99	239	583	488	405	327	692	692	425	660	532	135	1557	1572	93	559	740	280	110	703	550

	Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc		Active Mi	1	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
How likely is it that someone in your Very likely	8.9% <sup>1</sup>	9.3%a	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	10.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	16.6% <sub>b</sub>	6.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>
household would use a facility in Somewhat likely	18.0% <sup>1</sup>	18.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.3%a	16.0%a	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	16.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.0%a	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.9% <sub>b</sub>	15.4% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>b</sub>	15.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.9%a	14.6% <sub>a</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.0%a	17.4%a
Jefferson County for the processing Not at all likely	56.5% <sup>1</sup>	52.8% <sub>a</sub>	61.0% <sub>b</sub>	49.2% <sub>a</sub>	57.6%a	62.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	74.1% <sub>b</sub>	57.7%a	51.5% <sub>a</sub>	61.7% <sub>a</sub>	45.0%a	63.1% <sub>b</sub>	63.6% <sub>b</sub>	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	64.4% <sub>b</sub>	63.3% <sub>a</sub>	28.3% <sub>b</sub>	63.4%a	57.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	55.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	46.5% <sub>b</sub>	65.3% <sub>a</sub>	59.4% <sub>a</sub>
of food products as a part of a small, Don't Know	16.7% <sup>1</sup>	19.1% <sub>a</sub>	14.2%	22.0%a	14.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.0% <sub>b</sub>	10.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.5%a	17.1%a	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.1% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>b</sub>	14.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	30.5%a	12.1% <sub>b</sub>	12.8% <sub>a</sub>	31.7% <sub>b</sub>	12.7%a	15.1%a	13.0% <sub>a</sub>	28.4% <sub>b</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.2% <sub>b</sub>
local business?	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted n	733	304	401	156	251	169	140	110	290	311	179	259	243	113	593	621	65	223	316	112	56	267	235

	Lewis Count Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	cation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi	litary in		icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
How likely is it that someone in your Very likely	5.6% <sup>1</sup>	4.6%a	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a	4.8%a	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.8%a	9.9%	19.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.1% <sub>b</sub>	10.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>
household would use a facility in Somewhat likely	18.7% <sup>1</sup>	19.5%a	17.5%a	27.8%a	18.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.1% <sub>b</sub>	8.9% <sub>b,c</sub>	22.3%a	14.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>a</sub>	12.1%a	25.0% <sub>b</sub>	17.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.0%2	18.6% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	22.4% <sub>a,c</sub>	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	7.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	46.5% <sub>c</sub>	23.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>b</sub>
Jefferson County for the processing Not at all likely	61.4% <sup>1</sup>	63.3% <sub>a</sub>	60.0%a	46.7%a	62.2% <sub>b</sub>	72.6% <sub>b</sub>	73.5% <sub>b</sub>	56.9%a	63.0% <sub>a</sub>	71.6% <sub>a</sub>	64.3% <sub>a</sub>	55.4% <sub>a</sub>	63.8% <sub>a</sub>	44.6%a	61.7% <sub>a</sub>	61.8% <sub>a</sub>	51.2% <sub>a</sub>	60.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	70.5%a	47.1% <sub>b</sub>	45.8% <sub>a.b</sub>	56.2% <sub>a</sub>	72.5%a
of food products as a part of a small, Don't Know	14.3% <sup>1</sup>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.7%a	14.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.9%	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	16.4%a	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	20.4%a	14.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.9% <sub>b</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>b</sub>	15.0%a	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>b</sub>	7.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.1%a
local business?	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted n	477	173	295	51	130	164	124	122	197	151	111	186	141	7	455	443	19	185	197	59	18	224	120

	St. L: C: _Parti in Y					Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
How likely is it that someone in your	Very likely	4.9% <sup>1</sup>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.5%a	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>b</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	14.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.0%a	6.1%a	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.6%a	6.2% <sub>a</sub>
household would use a facility in	Somewhat likely	12.4% <sup>1</sup>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>a</sub>	17.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.4%	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	21.5%a	5.6% <sub>b</sub>	7.6% <sub>b</sub>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.3%a	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	8.0%a	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	10.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.3%a	16.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	30.8% <sub>c</sub>	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>
Jefferson County for the processing		68.8% <sup>1</sup>	74.2% <sub>a</sub>	64.5% <sub>b</sub>	74.0% <sub>a</sub>	66.8% <sub>a</sub>	69.2% <sub>a</sub>	62.7% <sub>a</sub>	55.4% <sub>a</sub>	76.0% <sub>b</sub>	82.6% <sub>b</sub>	52.5% <sub>a</sub>	67.5% <sub>b</sub>	91.9%	71.0% <sub>a</sub>	68.3% <sub>a</sub>	68.6% <sub>a</sub>	63.3% <sub>a</sub>	70.8%a	72.8% <sub>a</sub>	76.4% <sub>a</sub>	48.6% <sub>b</sub>	70.3% <sub>a</sub>	72.8%a
of food products as a part of a small,	Don't Know	14.0%1	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.3%a	16.2% <sub>b</sub>	12.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.1% <sub>b</sub>	17.6%a	12.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	23.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>a</sub>	12.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.6% <sub>b</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.9%a	5.9%a	18.6% <sub>a</sub>	12.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>b</sub>
local business?	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	538	221	301	32	202	155	141	95	205	230	135	215	148	15	509	508	9	151	227	109	36	212	195

Table 39		All North Country Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation L	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President : Choice (am	
. 45.5 55		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Current Occupations	Retired	27.8% <sup>1</sup>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	12.2% <sub>b</sub>	64.8% <sub>c</sub>	92.6% <sub>d</sub>	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>b</sub>	21.6% <sub>b</sub>	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	29.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.7% <sub>b</sub>	4.6% <sub>a</sub>	31.0% <sub>b</sub>	29.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>b</sub>	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	28.2% <sub>a,c</sub>	18.7% <sub>b</sub>	20.9% <sub>b,c</sub>	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	46.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Not currently employed (but not retired)	2.0%1	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	$0.5\%_{a,b}$	0.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.7% <sub>b</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Homemaker	3.5% <sup>1</sup>	0.1%a	7.4% <sub>b</sub>	6.2%a	3.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	4.7%a	2.2% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.5%a	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.1%a	3.5%a	4.0%a	1.3%a	1.6%a	1.8%a	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	11.7%ь	2.0%a	4.4%b
	Student	0.5%1	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6%a	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.1%a
	Military	10.0% <sup>1</sup>	16.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>b</sub>	25.6%a	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>b</sub>	5.5% <sub>b</sub>	16.3% <sub>a</sub>	10.1% <sub>b</sub>	1.9% <sub>c</sub>	80.0%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.4%a	40.6% <sub>b</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.7%a
	Managerial (Supervisor or manager at a business) Medical (Physician, dentist.	6.6% <sup>1</sup>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.1% <sub>c</sub>	0.9% <sub>c</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	12.4% <sub>b</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>b</sub>	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>b</sub>	4.8%a	9.4% <sub>b</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>
	chiropractor, nurse, health aide,)	6.5% <sup>1</sup>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	12.6% <sub>b</sub>	7.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	0.4% <sub>c</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	10.6% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.4% <sub>b</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>b</sub>	13.8% <sub>c</sub>	10.6% <sub>b,c</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Professional/Technical (Non-supervisor, engineer, law, accountant, social services)	6.5% <sup>1</sup>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>b</sub>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.4% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>c</sub>	0.3% <sub>c</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>b</sub>	14.3% <sub>c</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	15.6% <sub>c</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>b</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Sales (includes retail, marketing, customer service,) Clerical (office support.	5.6% <sup>1</sup>	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sub>c</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.6%2	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>
	administrative support,	3.9%1	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.3% <sub>b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>b</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	4.4% <sub>b</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.2% <sub>b</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>
	typist,) Service (Restaurant, bartender, catering,) Blue-collar (Production.	3.1% <sup>1</sup>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>b</sub>	4.0% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Carpentry, Plumbing, Mechanic)	8.1% <sup>1</sup>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>b</sub>	8.4%a	11.3%a	7.9%a	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	9.7%a	6.3%a	7.8%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.4% <sub>b</sub>	13.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.2%a	9.2% <sub>b</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.4%a	11.2%a	10.5%a	2.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	15.9%a	1.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Teacher/Education	5.8% <sup>1</sup>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.8%a	9.4%a	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.3%	16.8% <sub>b</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	8.7% <sub>b</sub>	3.5%a	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	4.3%a	5.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.4% <sub>b</sub>	8.4% <sub>a.b</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Self-employed, own a business	5.5% <sup>1</sup>	7.0%a		5.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.2%a	4.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.2%a	5.4%a	6.6%a	4.9%a	3.8%a	9.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.4%a	6.4% <sub>b</sub>	6.4%a	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	8.1%a	5.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>b,c</sub>	7.5%a	5.3%a
	Not Sure	0.8%1	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	$0.4\%_{a,b}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Disabled	3.7%1	2.5% <sub>a</sub>			6.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>b</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.3%a	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1709	696	993	242	577	481	407	329	685	688	425	661	530	138	1550	1565	95	558	738	282	110	702	550

		Jefferson County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	level	An	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President : Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Current Occupations	Retired	20.8% <sup>1</sup>	18.9% <sub>a</sub>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>b</sub>	54.1% <sub>c</sub>	95.3% <sub>d</sub>	20.4% <sub>a</sub>	22.7% <sub>a</sub>	19.1% <sub>a</sub>	20.4% <sub>a</sub>	21.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.6% <sub>b</sub>	25.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	24.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	12.3% <sub>b</sub>	11.4% <sub>b,c</sub>	23.8% <sub>a</sub>	45.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Not currently employed (but not retired)	2.1% <sup>1</sup>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%²	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>b</sub>	$2.2\%_{a,b}$	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Homemaker	3.3% <sup>1</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Student	1.1% <sup>1</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3%a
	Military	21.9% <sup>1</sup>	36.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	46.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	29.5%a	18.3% <sub>b</sub>	9.3% <sub>c</sub>	32.8% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>c</sub>	86.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	9.9% <sub>a</sub>	64.1% <sub>b</sub>	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.1% <sub>b</sub>	45.4% <sub>c</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.6%a
	Managerial (Supervisor or manager at a business) Medical (Physician, dentist,	7.5%1	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%²	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	19.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	9.9% <sub>b</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>
	chiropractor, nurse, health aide,)	7.4% <sup>1</sup>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.6% <sub>b</sub>	7.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.6% <sub>b</sub>	9.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a,c</sub>	18.5% <sub>b</sub>	11.1% <sub>b,c</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Professional/Technical (Non-supervisor, engineer, law, accountant, social services)	7.5% <sup>1</sup>	7.5%a	7.8%a	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.4%ь	0.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	4.5%a	5.5%a	17.0%ь	1.3%a	9.4%ь	14.1%ь	0.6%a	9.5% <sub>b</sub>	7.6%a	7.3%a	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.3%a	9.8%a	0.8%ь	8.9%a	7.3%a
	Sales (includes retail, marketing, customer service,)	4.1% <sup>1</sup>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>b</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Clerical (office support, administrative support, typist,)	4.1% <sup>1</sup>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.6%a	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%²	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.8% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Service (Restaurant, bartender, catering,) Blue-collar (Production,	2.9% <sup>1</sup>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>b</sub>	3.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Carpentry, Plumbing, Mechanic)	4.2% <sup>1</sup>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Teacher/Education	4.8%1	1.9%a	8.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.6% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Self-employed, own a business	5.0% <sup>1</sup>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Not Sure	0.1% <sup>1</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2
	Disabled	3.3% <sup>1</sup>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>a</sub>			10.3% <sub>a</sub>		4.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	708	302	396	159	248	162	139	109	284	309	177	258	242	115	585	613	67	224	309	112	56	264	231

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation Le	evel		nual HH Inc		Active M	н		icial ground		Political Be			President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Current Occupations	Retired	31.2% <sup>1</sup>	33.8%a	28.0%a	3.1%a	8.1%a	64.8% <sub>b</sub>	89.8%c	37.6%a	25.4% <sub>b</sub>	23.1%ь	52.5%a	27.4% <sub>b</sub>	12.5%c	49.3%a	30.6%a	29.1%a	43.0%a	33.5%a	31.1%a	19.9%a	40.9%a	34.7%a	48.0%a
	Not currently employed (but not retired)	0.8%1	0.0%²	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%²	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Homemaker	5.9% <sup>1</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.8% <sub>a</sub>	27.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	24.4% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Student	0.7%1	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2
	Military	0.3%1	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	1.4%a	0.0%2	0.3%a	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	22.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Managerial (Supervisor or manager at a business)	5.9% <sup>1</sup>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	13.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Medical (Physician, dentist, chiropractor, nurse, health aide,)	6.2% <sup>1</sup>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.6% <sub>b</sub>	10.3% <sub>a</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	10.7% <sub>a</sub>	16.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>b</sub>	12.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Professional/Technical (Non-supervisor, engineer, law, accountant, social services)	4.7% <sup>1</sup>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>b</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%²	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%²	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Sales (includes retail, marketing, customer service,)	2.4% <sup>1</sup>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.0%a	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Clerical (office support, administrative support,	4.8% <sup>1</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>b</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.6%a	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	typist,) Service (Restaurant, bartender, catering,) Blue-collar (Production.	4.0% <sup>1</sup>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>b</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.3% <sub>b</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Carpentry, Plumbing, Mechanic)	12.3% <sup>1</sup>	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	15.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>b,c</sub>	3.1% <sub>c</sub>	14.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.0% <sub>b</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>b</sub>	16.9% <sub>b</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.3% <sub>a</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%²	19.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Teacher/Education	5.7% <sup>1</sup>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.8% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>b</sub>	1.6%a	4.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.4%a	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.4% <sub>b</sub>	3.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.4%a	8.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Self-employed, own a business	13.7% <sup>1</sup>	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.4% <sub>b</sub>	19.7% <sub>a</sub>			4.2% <sub>b</sub>	20.7% <sub>a</sub>		2.5% <sub>b</sub>	12.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%²	_	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	-10,0	17.9% <sub>a,c</sub>	5.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.9% <sub>c</sub>	14.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Not Sure	0.3%1	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.8%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2
	Disabled	1.0%1	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>		2.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>		0.0%2	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.0%2	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.8%a	0.8%a	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%					100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	471	173	295	51	129	163	126	122	197	151	112	187	141	8	456	443	19	183	200	60	18	225	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation L	evel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active M			icial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Current Occupations	Retired	33.9% <sup>1</sup>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	17.6% <sub>a</sub>	73.2% <sub>b</sub>	91.4% <sub>c</sub>	47.8% <sub>a</sub>	23.9% <sub>b</sub>	23.8% <sub>b</sub>	40.4% <sub>a</sub>	37.8% <sub>a</sub>	17.5% <sub>b</sub>	36.6% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	33.6% <sub>a</sub>	40.0% <sub>a</sub>	44.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.2% <sub>b</sub>	24.6% <sub>b</sub>	28.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	38.6% <sub>a</sub>	48.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Not currently employed (but not retired)	2.1% <sup>1</sup>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%²	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%²	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	11.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Homemaker	3.2%1	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>b</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	3.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	$3.5\%_{a,b}$	13.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	6.8%a
	Student	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2
	Military	0.5% <sup>1</sup>	0.9%a	0.1%a	1.4%a	0.3%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0%2	2.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.3%a	0.0%2	21.7%a	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.6%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.1%a	0.3%a	0.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2
	Managerial (Supervisor or manager at a business) Medical (Physician, dentist, chiropractor, nurse, health	5.9% <sup>1</sup>	6.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>b,c</sub>	_	8.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.4% <sub>a</sub>
	aide,)	0.1 /0													0.070					3,5		5,0		
	Professional/Technical (Non-supervisor, engineer, law, accountant, social services)	6.0% <sup>1</sup>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>b</sub>	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	0.0%²	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%²	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	18.2% <sub>b</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.3%a	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Sales (includes retail, marketing, customer service,) Clerical (office support.	7.8% <sup>1</sup>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>b</sub>	16.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	6.4% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%	19.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	4.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%²	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	8.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>
	administrative support, typist,)	3.5% <sup>1</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.3% <sub>b</sub>	4.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%²	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.3% <sub>b</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Service (Restaurant, bartender, catering,) Blue-collar (Production.	3.0% <sup>1</sup>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%²	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	15.8% <sub>b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Carpentry, Plumbing, Mechanic)	10.9% <sup>1</sup>	18.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>b</sub>	18.6% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.1% <sub>b,c</sub>	0.2% <sub>c</sub>	11.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.6% <sub>b</sub>	18.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	10.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.8% <sub>b</sub>	12.1% <sub>a</sub>	17.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>b</sub>
	Teacher/Education	6.9% <sup>1</sup>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.8% <sub>b</sub>	12.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	17.4% <sub>c</sub>	5.6%a	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.6%a	6.5% <sub>b</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	5.3%a	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.7%a	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Self-employed, own a business	4.0% <sup>1</sup>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.1% <sub>b</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.6% <sub>b</sub>	4.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Not Sure	1.7%1	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.5%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	4.9%a
	Disabled	4.7% <sup>1</sup>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>		8.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>			2.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	4.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	5.6%a	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.7%a	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	530	221	302	32	200	156	142	98	204	228	136	216	147	15	509	509	9	151	229	110	36	213	197

Table 40		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Ince		Active Mi	1 '	Backg	icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President : Choice (am	
14.0.0		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Political Beliefs	Conservative	30.2% <sup>1</sup>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.0% <sub>b</sub>	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.1% <sub>b</sub>	39.3% <sub>b</sub>	33.3% <sub>b</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.1% <sub>b</sub>	32.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	29.3%a	30.5% <sub>a</sub>	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>b</sub>	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	60.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Middle of the Road	42.3% <sup>1</sup>	46.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.8% <sub>b</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	44.0% <sub>a</sub>	47.5% <sub>a</sub>	42.8%a	39.1% <sub>a</sub>	$43.0\%_{a,b}$	47.9% <sub>b</sub>	42.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	41.0% <sub>a</sub>	48.9% <sub>b</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	43.6% <sub>b</sub>	42.7% <sub>a</sub>	44.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	32.6% <sub>a</sub>	54.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Liberal	14.3% <sup>1</sup>	9.6%a	17.9% <sub>b</sub>	19.8%a	10.9% <sub>b</sub>	9.1% <sub>b</sub>	13.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	16.5% <sub>b</sub>	24.1% <sub>c</sub>	12.3% <sub>a</sub>	17.5% <sub>b</sub>	11.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.0%a	14.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.3% <sub>a</sub>	16.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	37.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	13.2% <sup>1</sup>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	18.4% <sub>b</sub>	20.7%a	10.0% <sub>b</sub>	4.1% <sub>c</sub>	10.7% <sub>b</sub>	20.6%a	10.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>c</sub>	20.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>b</sub>	6.7% <sub>b</sub>	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.1% <sub>b</sub>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1706	690	994	235	580	484	404	325	686	691	426	659	532	134	1552	1565	93	564	748	282	112	702	553

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc		Active Mi	Η ΄	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President : Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Political Beliefs	Conservative	27.4% <sup>1</sup>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	23.4% <sub>b</sub>	24.3%a	28.0% <sub>a</sub>	34.9% <sub>a</sub>	29.5%a	24.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	29.6%a	20.8% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>b</sub>	31.5% <sub>b</sub>	27.5%a	27.6%a	29.6%a	12.1% <sub>b</sub>	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	56.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Middle of the Road	44.1% <sup>1</sup>	45.6%a	42.8%a	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	54.9% <sub>b</sub>	52.8% <sub>b</sub>	50.1% <sub>b</sub>	46.9% <sub>a</sub>	42.6%a	40.9%a	40.6%a	45.6%a	47.8%a	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	47.8% <sub>b</sub>	44.9%a	43.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	37.9% <sub>a</sub>	55.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Liberal	14.3% <sup>1</sup>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.3% <sub>b</sub>	20.1%a	11.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.2% <sub>b</sub>	8.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	15.4% <sub>b</sub>	27.0% <sub>c</sub>	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	16.0%a	13.4%a	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	14.4%a	16.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	37.2%ь
	Don't Know	14.2% <sup>1</sup>	14.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	23.0%a	5.7% <sub>b</sub>	6.1% <sub>b</sub>	11.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.5% <sub>c</sub>	25.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>b</sub>	7.3% <sub>b</sub>	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>b</sub>	11.1%a	28.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	711	300	400	153	250	167	140	108	288	311	179	258	243	111	592	618	65	226	316	112	57	266	234

			Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation L	evel		nual HH Inc		Active Mi	1 '	Backg	cial Iround		Political Be			President 2 Choice (am	
			in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Poli	litical Beliefs	Conservative	42.2% <sup>1</sup>	55.7% <sub>a</sub>	30.5% <sub>b</sub>	23.1% <sub>a</sub>	54.7% <sub>b</sub>	50.5% <sub>b</sub>	41.4% <sub>b</sub>	49.0%a	37.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	30.7% <sub>b</sub>	37.0% <sub>a</sub>	46.8%a	39.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.6% <sub>a</sub>	42.4% <sub>a</sub>	43.6% <sub>a</sub>	24.1% <sub>a</sub>	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	64.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>b</sub>
	i	Middle of the Road	41.9% <sup>1</sup>	39.4% <sub>a</sub>	43.9% <sub>a</sub>	53.3% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>b</sub>	$40.5\%_{a,b}$	41.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	36.4% <sub>a</sub>	49.4% <sub>b</sub>	44.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	42.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	46.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.4%a	42.3%a	42.1%a	33.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	62.1% <sub>b</sub>
	İ	Liberal	11.3% <sup>1</sup>	3.6%a	18.4% <sub>b</sub>	16.4% <sub>a</sub>	11.3%a	4.8%a	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.2% <sub>b</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.3%a	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	52.4% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>b</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	41.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	27.5% <sub>b</sub>
	i	Don't Know	4.6% <sup>1</sup>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>b</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	4.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>
		Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		Unweighted n	463	167	293	50	128	161	122	121	191	151	112	184	141	8	449	437	19	185	200	60	18	222	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	Groups		Ed	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
			Year 2024 Male Female 30.1% 35.6% 25.0% 1		18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100,000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Political Beliefs	Conservative	30.1% <sup>1</sup>	35.6%a	25.0% <sub>b</sub>	16.6% <sub>a</sub>	36.2% <sub>b</sub>	39.8% <sub>b</sub>	34.1% <sub>b</sub>	36.1% <sub>a</sub>	28.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.7% <sub>b</sub>	29.0% <sub>a</sub>	28.6%a	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	48.0%a	29.8%a	30.0% <sub>a</sub>	15.8% <sub>b</sub>	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	62.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Middle of the Road	40.6% <sup>1</sup>	48.4% <sub>a</sub>	33.5% <sub>b</sub>	42.9% <sub>a</sub>	37.8% <sub>a</sub>	45.1% <sub>a</sub>	37.8%a	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	42.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	55.4% <sub>b</sub>	43.7% <sub>a</sub>	37.6% <sub>a</sub>	50.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.4%a	40.7% <sub>a</sub>	41.0% <sub>a</sub>	46.9%a	0.0%2	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	27.9% <sub>a</sub>	52.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Liberal	15.0% <sup>1</sup>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.4%a	20.0%a	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	12.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.2%a	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	18.9% <sub>b</sub>	21.8% <sub>b</sub>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	20.6% <sub>b</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	39.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	14.2% <sup>1</sup>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	26.2% <sub>b</sub>	20.5%a	15.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>b</sub>	10.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.1% <sub>c</sub>	16.5% <sub>a</sub>	13.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.3%a	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	23.8%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	532	223	301	32	202	156	142	96	207	229	135	217	148	15	511	510	9	153	232	110	37	214	197

Table 41		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Ed	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi		Ra Backç			Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
		8.0% <sup>1</sup>			7.0% <sub>a,b</sub>						5.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	15.8% <sub>b</sub>	7.9%	4.3% <sub>b</sub>	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>
of homelessness in your community	Moderate impact	15.4% <sup>1</sup>	17.1% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	18.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>a</sub>	20.4% <sub>b</sub>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	18.8% <sub>a</sub>	15.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.1% <sub>b</sub>	21.0%a	14.9% <sub>b</sub>	15.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>a</sub>	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	18.6%a	15.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.4% <sub>b</sub>	11.8% <sub>a</sub>	14.3%a
personally impacts you?	Minor impact	38.5% <sup>1</sup>	38.2% <sub>a</sub>	39.1% <sub>a</sub>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	40.5% <sub>a</sub>	39.0% <sub>a</sub>	37.1%a	35.3% <sub>a</sub>	37.2% <sub>a</sub>	46.1% <sub>b</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.0% <sub>a</sub>	40.4%a	22.3%a	40.0% <sub>b</sub>	40.8%a	22.3% <sub>b</sub>	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	41.6% <sub>b</sub>	37.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	41.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	43.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Not at all an impact	31.6% <sup>1</sup>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.1%a	30.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	38.5% <sub>b</sub>	37.7% <sub>b,c</sub>	31.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	25.3% <sub>a</sub>	31.7% <sub>b</sub>	40.4% <sub>c</sub>	22.7%a	33.5% <sub>b</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	30.0% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.9% <sub>b</sub>	31.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.4% <sub>b</sub>	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	6.6% <sup>1</sup>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>b</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>b</sub>	$3.5\%_{b,c}$	7.9% <sub>a,c</sub>	10.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>b</sub>	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.6% <sub>c</sub>	28.3%a	3.5% <sub>b</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	17.9% <sub>b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.9% <sub>b</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1742	702	999	239	585	490	407	332	691	693	430	664	530	138	1561	1576	94	560	746	279	112	705	551

		Jefferson County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc		Active Mi	1 '	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
To what extent do you feel the issue		7.9%1	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.0%a	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.6%a	9.8%a	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.3%	7.6% <sub>a</sub>
of homelessness in your community	Moderate impact	15.4% <sup>1</sup>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.1% <sub>a</sub>	17.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>a</sub>	19.8%a	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	19.0%a	15.9%a	12.1% <sub>a</sub>	21.8% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>b</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.5% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	9.3%a	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>
personally impacts you?	Minor impact	37.4% <sup>1</sup>	36.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.6% <sub>a</sub>	29.8%a	46.2% <sub>b</sub>	$37.1\%_{a,b}$	43.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.8% <sub>a</sub>	40.0% <sub>b</sub>	49.8% <sub>b</sub>	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	36.4% <sub>a</sub>	48.7% <sub>b</sub>	21.3% <sub>a</sub>	41.6% <sub>b</sub>	42.1%a	17.2% <sub>b</sub>	32.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	43.9%a	39.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.3% <sub>b</sub>	39.3% <sub>a</sub>	52.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Not at all an impact	29.8% <sup>1</sup>	27.2%a	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	29.9%a	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.2% <sub>b</sub>	28.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.0%a	30.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.2% <sub>b</sub>	31.1%a	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	28.3%a	35.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.1%a	39.0%a	28.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	9.5% <sup>1</sup>	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	7.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>b</sub>	2.5% <sub>b</sub>	14.0%a	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>b</sub>	31.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>b</sub>	4.9%a	27.7% <sub>b</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.2% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	729	306	399	157	250	169	140	111	289	310	180	260	242	115	592	620	66	225	315	111	57	267	234

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	Groups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	ilitary in H	Ra Backs	cial round		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
To what extent do you feel the issue		6.3% <sup>1</sup>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>b</sub>	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.3%a	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	6.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	34.9% <sub>b</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
of homelessness in your community	Moderate impact	10.9% <sup>1</sup>	10.4%a	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.8%a	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	14.5%a	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>a</sub>	9.0%a	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.0%a	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.5%a	11.8% <sub>a</sub>	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	16.6% <sub>a</sub>
personally impacts you?	Minor impact	38.8% <sup>1</sup>	38.6%a	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	43.1%a	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	34.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	40.5%a	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	48.6% <sub>b</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	48.1%a	38.8% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	46.4%a	45.0%a	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	45.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Not at all an impact	37.5% <sup>1</sup>	40.5%a	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	29.9% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>a.b</sub>	45.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	48.5% <sub>b</sub>	31.4%	44.9% <sub>b</sub>	42.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.5% <sub>a</sub>	37.9%a	40.9%a	51.9%a	37.1% <sub>a</sub>	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.9% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	15.6%a	39.2% <sub>a</sub>	46.6%a
	Don't Know	6.6% <sup>1</sup>	4.3%a	8.9% <sub>b</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.5%a	0.9%a	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.9%a	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.6%a	2.3%a	4.9%	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	473	172	297	51	130	164	125	123	196	152	112	187	141	8	456	444	19	184	199	60	18	224	121

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gende	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
		8.5% <sup>1</sup>	2.3%a	13.1% <sub>b</sub>	7.1%a	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.3%a	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.1%a	3.0% <sub>b</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.6%a	36.4% <sub>b</sub>	7.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	16.7% <sub>b</sub>	16.6% <sub>b,c</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>
of homelessness in your community	Moderate impact	16.5% <sup>1</sup>	20.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.1% <sub>b</sub>	21.8% <sub>a</sub>	15.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>b</sub>	20.1% <sub>a</sub>	17.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.7% <sub>a</sub>	14.8%a	16.8% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>b</sub>	12.4% <sub>a,c</sub>	23.6% <sub>b</sub>	$20.0\%_{a,b}$	2.9% <sub>c</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	17.8%a
personally impacts you?	Minor impact	39.6% <sup>1</sup>	39.6% <sub>a</sub>	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	46.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	41.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	41.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	43.6% <sub>a</sub>	42.5%a	38.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.0% <sub>a</sub>	29.5%a	39.0% <sub>a</sub>	40.3%a	28.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	41.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	55.5% <sub>b</sub>	37.1% <sub>a</sub>	37.3%a
	Not at all an impact	31.8% <sup>1</sup>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.8%a	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.9%a	33.1% <sub>a</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	21.6% <sub>a</sub>	29.0%a	51.1% <sub>b</sub>	48.1%a	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.8% <sub>a</sub>	46.5%a	29.4% <sub>b</sub>	26.0% <sub>b</sub>	19.5% <sub>b</sub>	40.6%a	31.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	3.6% <sup>1</sup>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.3%a	0.0%2	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	540	224	303	31	205	157	142	98	206	231	138	217	147	15	513	512	9	151	232	108	37	214	196

Table 42		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mil			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
When considering all of the issues	Top priority	19.0% <sup>1</sup>	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	24.7% <sub>b</sub>	17.3%a	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.3% <sub>a</sub>	18.9% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	21.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.9%a	18.0% <sub>b</sub>	13.5% <sub>b</sub>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	19.1% <sub>a</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	20.4% <sub>a</sub>	17.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.6%a	31.2% <sub>b</sub>	20.4% <sub>a</sub>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.6% <sub>b</sub>
facing your community, do you	Moderate priority	40.8% <sup>1</sup>	41.8% <sub>a</sub>	39.8% <sub>a</sub>	39.5% <sub>a</sub>	39.3% <sub>a</sub>	46.6% <sub>a</sub>	41.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.8% <sub>a</sub>	39.3% <sub>a</sub>	47.6% <sub>b</sub>	39.8% <sub>a</sub>	38.4% <sub>a</sub>	45.8%a	47.3%a	40.3% <sub>a</sub>	41.2% <sub>a</sub>	40.2% <sub>a</sub>	43.3% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	41.9% <sub>a</sub>	42.2% <sub>a</sub>	44.3% <sub>a</sub>	45.6% <sub>a</sub>
consider homelessness to be a top	Low priority	33.2% <sup>1</sup>	37.1% <sub>a</sub>	29.8% <sub>b</sub>	30.3%a	37.6% <sub>b</sub>	33.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	31.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.4% <sub>a</sub>	35.2% <sub>b</sub>	36.5% <sub>b</sub>	16.4% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>b</sub>	34.4%	27.0% <sub>b</sub>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	40.5% <sub>b</sub>	22.0% <sub>c</sub>	23.9% <sub>a,c</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.7% <sub>b</sub>
priority, a moderate priority, or a low	Don't Know	7.1% <sup>1</sup>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>b</sub>	12.9%a	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	7.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	21.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>b</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.4% <sub>b</sub>	7.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	13.5% <sub>b</sub>	4.3%a	4.1% <sub>a</sub>
priority?	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1742	702	999	239	585	490	407	331	693	692	431	663	530	138	1561	1576	93	560	747	279	111	705	552

		Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc		Active Mi	1 '		cial round		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
When considering all of the issues	Top priority	24.0% <sup>1</sup>	16.8%a	32.2% <sub>b</sub>	21.6% <sub>a</sub>	27.4% <sub>a</sub>	24.0% <sub>a</sub>	23.1% <sub>a</sub>	16.0% <sub>a</sub>	34.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	19.4% <sub>b</sub>	21.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.3% <sub>b</sub>	24.6% <sub>a</sub>	19.9% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>a</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	38.8% <sub>b</sub>	17.5%a	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>b</sub>
facing your community, do you	Moderate priority	47.7% <sup>1</sup>	49.9%a	45.3% <sub>a</sub>	45.6%a	44.9% <sub>a</sub>	59.0% <sub>a</sub>	52.7% <sub>a</sub>	47.5%a	46.7% <sub>a</sub>	51.6% <sub>a</sub>	44.1%a	45.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	56.2% <sub>b</sub>	48.6%a	48.1% <sub>a</sub>	48.6%a	49.1% <sub>a</sub>	53.1% <sub>a</sub>	43.9%a	40.2% <sub>a</sub>	58.7% <sub>a</sub>	51.9%a	41.7% <sub>b</sub>
consider homelessness to be a top	Low priority	20.9%1	22.5%a	19.5% <sub>a</sub>	19.5%a	27.1% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.9%a	22.3% <sub>a</sub>	17.7% <sub>a</sub>	22.8%a	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	28.2% <sub>b</sub>	21.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.6%a	23.4% <sub>b</sub>	22.9%a	12.9% <sub>b</sub>	17.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.3%a	16.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.7% <sub>b</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>
priority, a moderate priority, or a low	Don't Know	7.3%1	10.8%a	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.8% <sub>b,c</sub>	7.3% <sub>a,c</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.3% <sub>b</sub>	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	23.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.0%a	18.1% <sub>b</sub>	8.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.3%a	17.0% <sub>b</sub>	2.6%a	3.6% <sub>a</sub>
priority?	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	729	306	399	157	250	169	140	111	290	309	181	259	242	115	592	620	65	225	315	111	57	267	234

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	Anr	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
When considering all of the issues	Top priority	10.8% <sup>1</sup>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	10.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	21.5%a	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	13.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	15.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.5% <sub>a</sub>
facing your community, do you	Moderate priority	33.9% <sup>1</sup>	27.0%a	40.8% <sub>b</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	41.0% <sub>a</sub>	33.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	47.7% <sub>b</sub>	45.1%a	25.3% <sub>b</sub>	35.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.6%a	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	39.8% <sub>a</sub>	31.9%a	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.9%a	37.4% <sub>a</sub>	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>
consider homelessness to be a top	Low priority	45.7% <sup>1</sup>	52.3% <sub>a</sub>	39.6% <sub>b</sub>	47.1%a	49.7%a	40.3% <sub>a</sub>	42.5%a	44.6%a	51.4% <sub>a</sub>	39.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	52.8% <sub>b</sub>	45.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.1%a	46.2% <sub>a</sub>	46.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	49.7%a	48.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.2% <sub>b</sub>	47.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	52.6%a	37.9% <sub>a</sub>
priority, a moderate priority, or a low	Don't Know	9.7%1	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	12.3%a	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.3%a	10.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.6%a	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.0%a	13.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	23.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	10.8%a
priority?	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	473	172	297	51	130	164	125	122	197	152	112	187	141	8	456	444	19	184	200	60	17	224	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
When considering all of the issues	Top priority	15.8% <sup>1</sup>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	21.2% <sub>b</sub>	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	19.1% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>a</sub>	17.3%a	20.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>b</sub>	13.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	20.5%a	18.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>b</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.1%a	22.8%a	16.9%a	7.7% <sub>b</sub>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	12.6%a	19.7% <sub>b</sub>
facing your community, do you	Moderate priority	35.4% <sup>1</sup>	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	32.0% <sub>a</sub>	36.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.0% <sub>a</sub>	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	43.6%a	33.3% <sub>a</sub>	35.6% <sub>a</sub>	37.9% <sub>a</sub>	37.3%a	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.6%a	22.8%a	38.1% <sub>a</sub>	34.3%a	43.7% <sub>a</sub>	26.3% <sub>a</sub>	40.6%a	51.1% <sub>b</sub>
consider homelessness to be a top	Low priority	42.6% <sup>1</sup>	48.8% <sub>a</sub>	37.0% <sub>b</sub>	42.5%a	43.3% <sub>a</sub>	45.3%a	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	44.7% <sub>a</sub>	41.4%	40.3%a	42.7%a	37.2% <sub>a</sub>	49.4%a	53.4% <sub>a</sub>	42.0%a	41.5%a	53.4%a	38.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	52.6%a	25.8% <sub>b</sub>	39.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	41.5%a	26.0% <sub>b</sub>
priority, a moderate priority, or a low	Don't Know	6.2%1	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	12.6%a	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	2.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.4%	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	12.8% <sub>b</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a	0.0%2	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>
priority?	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	540	224	303	31	205	157	142	98	206	231	138	217	147	15	513	512	9	151	232	108	37	214	196

Table 43		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi		Ra Backç			Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
14510 10		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
To what extent do you feel the issue	Major concern	23.8% <sup>1</sup>	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.0% <sub>a</sub>	26.0% <sub>a</sub>	26.5% <sub>a</sub>	18.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	16.4% <sub>b</sub>	23.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.1% <sub>a</sub>	17.3% <sub>b</sub>	26.8%a	24.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.6% <sub>b</sub>	22.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.0% <sub>a</sub>	23.7% <sub>a</sub>	24.5% <sub>a</sub>	25.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.5% <sub>a</sub>	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.6%a	24.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.1% <sub>b</sub>
of homelessness in your community	Moderate concern	32.7% <sup>1</sup>	29.5%a	37.4% <sub>b</sub>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	34.3%a	36.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.4%a	31.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.3% <sub>a</sub>	38.6% <sub>a</sub>	27.5% <sub>a</sub>	34.4% <sub>b</sub>	38.2% <sub>b</sub>	30.5%a	33.4% <sub>a</sub>	33.2% a	30.3%a	32.3% <sub>a</sub>	35.0%a	29.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	35.9%a
is a safety concern? Do you think it	Minor concern	24.5% <sup>1</sup>	25.8%a	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	23.1% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	26.3%a	22.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.0% <sub>a</sub>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	22.4% <sub>a</sub>	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.0%a	25.5% <sub>b</sub>	25.3%a	17.8% <sub>b</sub>	25.5% <sub>a</sub>	24.8% <sub>a</sub>	23.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.7%a	24.4% <sub>a</sub>	21.8% <sub>a</sub>
is a	Not at all a concern	12.6% <sup>1</sup>	15.3%a	9.7% <sub>b</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	16.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	18.1% <sub>b</sub>	14.9%a	10.0% <sub>b</sub>	12.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.8% <sub>a</sub>	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	13.6%a	7.1% <sub>b</sub>	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	17.4%a	4.4% <sub>b</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	22.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	6.4%1	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	10.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	5.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.7%a	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	22.9%a	4.0% <sub>b</sub>	4.2%a	20.3% <sub>b</sub>	4.3%a	4.4%	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	18.6% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1741	703	998	239	585	489	407	330	691	694	430	662	532	138	1562	1575	94	559	746	281	111	704	553

	Jefferson County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
	in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
To what extent do you feel the issue Major concern	29.1% <sup>1</sup>	25.4%a	33.5% <sub>b</sub>	27.3%a	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	30.5% <sub>a</sub>	19.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>	22.5% <sub>b</sub>	30.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.2% <sub>a</sub>	30.6% <sub>a</sub>	28.8%a	31.2% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.5% <sub>a</sub>	19.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.8% <sub>a</sub>	30.3%a	24.6% <sub>a</sub>
of homelessness in your community Moderate concern	34.7% <sup>1</sup>	34.0%a	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	41.7% <sub>a</sub>	41.5%a	27.9%a	41.8% <sub>b</sub>	39.3% <sub>b</sub>	26.6% <sub>a</sub>	40.6% <sub>b</sub>	39.5% <sub>b</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	35.6% <sub>a</sub>	37.5%a	20.3% <sub>b</sub>	41.1% <sub>a</sub>	34.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	37.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	21.9% <sub>b</sub>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	40.4%a
is a safety concern? Do you think it Minor concern	18.0% <sup>1</sup>	17.8%a	17.9%a	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.4% <sub>a</sub>	17.3% <sub>a</sub>	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.9% <sub>b</sub>	17.6%a	20.0%a	16.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	20.7% <sub>b</sub>	18.6%a	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	13.9%a	19.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.1% <sub>b</sub>	12.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.1%a	23.5% <sub>b</sub>
is a Not at all a concern	9.4%1	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.3% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.0%a	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.0%a	8.1%a	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	11.4%a	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.4%a	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.8%a
Don't Know	8.8%1	12.6%a	3.5% <sub>b</sub>	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>b</sub>	2.2% <sub>b</sub>	6.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>b</sub>	3.5% <sub>b</sub>	11.9%a	5.9% <sub>b</sub>	4.1% <sub>b</sub>	25.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	25.4% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a	27.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Unweighted n	730	307	400	157	251	169	140	111	290	310	180	260	243	115	593	620	66	225	315	112	57	267	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	iroups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc		Active M	1 '	Backs	cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
To what extent do you feel the issue	Major concern	16.8% <sup>1</sup>	20.9%a	13.4% <sub>b</sub>	14.1%	21.0%a	11.0% <sub>a</sub>	17.9%a	21.6%a	12.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.1% <sub>a</sub>	20.5%a	16.5%a	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.3%a	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	23.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>b</sub>
of homelessness in your community	Moderate concern	22.2%1	11.4%a	32.4% <sub>b</sub>	27.0%a	17.4%a	29.9%a	16.4%a	17.4%a	26.6%a	29.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.1%a	22.5%a	24.7% <sub>a</sub>	42.1%a	22.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.3%a	22.1%a	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.0%a	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	79.0% <sub>b</sub>	17.9% <sub>a</sub>	28.4% <sub>a</sub>
is a safety concern? Do you think it	Minor concern	31.5% <sup>1</sup>	33.2% <sub>a</sub>	29.9%a	29.5% <sub>a</sub>	33.0%a	30.8% <sub>a</sub>	32.9%a	25.8%a	35.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	41.7% <sub>b</sub>	26.4% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	34.7% <sub>a</sub>	18.7%a	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.9%a	40.1%a	9.6%a	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	29.3%a
is a	Not at all a concern	23.3% <sup>1</sup>	27.5%a	18.9% <sub>b</sub>	24.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.6%a	22.3%a	24.4%a	29.3%a	17.2% <sub>b</sub>	15.6% <sub>b</sub>	27.2%a	23.4%a	19.8%a	39.1%a	23.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.5%a	40.0% <sub>b</sub>	17.4% <sub>a</sub>	28.6% <sub>a</sub>	33.4%a	3.8%a	20.9%a	30.4% <sub>a</sub>
I	Don't Know	6.1% <sup>1</sup>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.4%a	5.1%a	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.6%a	0.9%a	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	471	172	295	51	129	163	125	121	196	152	112	185	141	8	455	442	19	183	199	60	17	223	121

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
To what extent do you feel the issue		20.1% <sup>1</sup>				21.6% <sub>a,b</sub>						17.4% <sub>a</sub>		9.6% <sub>a</sub>		20.5% <sub>a</sub>					33.4% <sub>c</sub>	11.6% <sub>b,d</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	12.4% <sub>a</sub>
of homelessness in your community	Moderate concern	33.3% <sup>1</sup>										30.6% <sub>a</sub>		40.9%a	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.6% <sub>b</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	51.5% <sub>b</sub>	28.9% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>a</sub>	24.0% <sub>a</sub>	40.0%a	38.1% <sub>a</sub>	33.5% <sub>a</sub>
is a safety concern? Do you think it	Minor concern	29.4% <sup>1</sup>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	26.6%a	30.3% <sub>a</sub>	27.9%a	29.0% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>a</sub>	27.9%a	33.9% <sub>a</sub>	24.1% <sub>a</sub>	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.1% <sub>b</sub>	31.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	52.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.8%a	29.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.9% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.2% <sub>b</sub>	33.9% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.8% <sub>b</sub>
is a	Not at all a concern	13.1% <sup>1</sup>	17.7%a	8.8% <sub>b</sub>	10.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	19.4%a	14.3%a	10.6% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.1%a	13.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.4%a	29.3%a	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	14.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	15.6%a	11.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>b</sub>	10.8%a	31.3% <sub>b</sub>
	Don't Know	4.0% <sup>1</sup>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.5%a	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	5.5% <sub>b</sub>	7.3% <sub>b</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	13.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.0% <sub>b</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	540	224	303	31	205	157	142	98	205	232	138	217	148	15	514	513	9	151	232	109	37	214	197

Table 44		All North Country Participants	Gender	Identity		Age Gr	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
To assist individuals experiencing homelessness, how supportive are	Very supportive Somewhat supportive	32.0% <sup>1</sup> 28.7% <sup>1</sup>										42.3% <sub>a</sub> 25.1% <sub>a</sub>	29.7% <sub>b</sub> 30.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.0% <sub>b</sub> 33.8% <sub>b</sub>				31.5% <sub>a</sub> 27.3% <sub>a</sub>	21.9% <sub>a</sub> 28.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.5% <sub>a,c</sub> 30.4% <sub>a</sub>	60.4% <sub>b</sub> 30.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	32.4% <sub>c</sub> 20.5% <sub>b</sub>	22.5% <sub>a</sub> 30.8% <sub>a</sub>	49.1% <sub>b</sub> 29.9% <sub>a</sub>
you of a shelter with limited or minimal requirements for entry, to	A little supportive Not at all supportive	17.2% <sup>1</sup> 15.1% <sup>1</sup>								15.8% <sub>a</sub> 17.7% <sub>a</sub>		11.2% <sub>a</sub> 12.7% <sub>a</sub>		19.6% <sub>ь</sub> 19.1% <sub>ь</sub>		18.7% <sub>ь</sub> 16.4% <sub>ь</sub>			20.3% <sub>a</sub> 20.4% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub> 17.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>b</sub> 1.6% <sub>b</sub>	20.2% <sub>a</sub> 9.5% <sub>c</sub>	19.3% <sub>a</sub> 22.5% <sub>a</sub>	12.8% <sub>ь</sub> 6.9% <sub>ь</sub>
be located in your community? Are you	Don't Know Total	7.0% <sup>1</sup> 100.0%		4.7% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%		5.0% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%					3.9% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%	8.7% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%	6.7% <sub>a,b</sub> 100.0%	3.6% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%	26.3% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%	4.4% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%		18.5% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%	8.4% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%	4.6% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%	1.9% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%	17.3%。 100.0%	4.9% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%	1.3% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%
	Unweighted n	1738	700	997	237	585	488	407	329	691	692	429	663	530	137	1560	1575	93	558	746	281	110	704	553

		Jefferson County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	ilitary in H		cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
To assist individuals experiencing homelessness, how supportive are	Very supportive											46.0%a	27.0% <sub>b</sub>	26.4% <sub>b</sub> 32.7% <sub>a</sub>	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>a</sub> 29.4% <sub>b</sub>			25.1%		69.5% <sub>b</sub>		23.8%	47.8% <sub>b</sub>
you of a shelter with limited or	Somewhat supportive A little supportive		25.2% <sub>a</sub> 17.0% <sub>a</sub>									22.4% <sub>a</sub> 8.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>b</sub>	16.8% <sub>b</sub>	19.5% <sub>a</sub> 8.7% <sub>a</sub>		29.2% <sub>a</sub>		33.3% <sub>a</sub> 13.4% <sub>a b</sub>	30.0% <sub>a</sub> 18.6% <sub>a</sub>	23.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.8% <sub>b</sub> 12.0% <sub>a.b</sub>	37.3% <sub>a</sub> 12.5% <sub>a</sub>	25.9% <sub>b</sub> 13.4% <sub>a</sub>
minimal requirements for entry, to be located in your community? Are	Not at all supportive			15.2%a								11.3% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>		17.2%a		21.9% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	9.8%a	22.8% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>b</sub>
you	Don't Know Total	9.9% <sup>1</sup> 100.0%		3.7% <sub>ь</sub> 100.0%						5.2% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%		11.8% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%	9.1% <sub>a,b</sub> 100.0%	5.0% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%	28.2% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%	4.1% <sub>b</sub> 100.0%	5.3% <sub>a</sub>		6.3% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%	7.4% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%	2.4% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%	32.8% <sub>ь</sub> 100.0%	3.6% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%	1.8% <sub>a</sub> 100.0%
	Unweighted n	728	305	399	156	251	168	140	109	290	310	180	259	242	114	592	620	65	224	315	112	57	266	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation L	vel	Anı	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	litary in		cial round		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
To assist individuals experiencing	Very supportive	26.0% <sup>1</sup>	22.9%a	28.8% <sub>a</sub>	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>b</sub>	20.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	17.0%a	37.7% <sub>b</sub>	26.8% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	28.6% <sub>a</sub>	40.9%a	25.7% <sub>a</sub>	27.3%a	8.6% <sub>b</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	27.9% <sub>b</sub>	52.8% <sub>c</sub>	10.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.0% <sub>a</sub>	44.1% <sub>b</sub>
homelessness, how supportive are	Somewhat supportive	29.3% <sup>1</sup>	24.9% <sub>a</sub>	33.7% <sub>b</sub>	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	29.1%a	34.0% <sub>a</sub>	24.4% <sub>a</sub>	24.5% <sub>a</sub>	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	24.6% <sub>a</sub>	37.6%a	29.3% <sub>a</sub>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	59.9% <sub>b</sub>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.5%a	37.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	59.6% <sub>b</sub>	26.8% <sub>a</sub>	35.8% <sub>a</sub>
you of a shelter with limited or	A little supportive	15.9% <sup>1</sup>	12.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.6% <sub>b</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	16.6% <sub>a</sub>	22.0%a	10.0% <sub>a</sub>	27.4% <sub>b</sub>	14.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	16.8%a	18.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.8%a	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	19.1%a	16.3%a	7.0%a	12.7% <sub>a</sub>	17.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.1%a
minimal requirements for entry, to	Not at all supportive	21.2%1	31.1%a	12.5% <sub>b</sub>	22.7% <sub>a</sub>	20.3% <sub>a</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	16.1%a	21.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.7% <sub>a</sub>	17.8%a	12.0% <sub>a</sub>	21.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	21.3% <sub>a</sub>	20.9%a	5.1%a	28.0%a	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	9.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.4% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>b</sub>
be located in your community? Are you	Don't Know	7.6%1	8.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.5%a	10.7% <sub>b</sub>	7.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.1% <sub>b</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.6%a	4.7% <sub>b</sub>	4.8% <sub>b</sub>	21.5%a	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.9%a	9.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.2%a	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>
you	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	472	171	297	50	130	164	125	122	196	152	112	187	140	8	455	443	19	183	200	60	17	224	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ıcation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi			icial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
To assist individuals experiencing homelessness, how supportive are you of a shelter with limited or minimal requirements for entry, to be located in your community? Are	Very supportive Somewhat supportive A little supportive Not at all supportive Don't Know	32.0% <sup>1</sup> 30.1% <sup>1</sup> 20.8% <sup>1</sup> 13.3% <sup>1</sup> 3.9% <sup>1</sup>	33.0% <sub>a</sub> 19.8% <sub>a</sub> 19.6% <sub>a</sub>	27.6% <sub>a</sub> 22.3% <sub>a</sub> 6.6% <sub>b</sub>	16.8% <sub>a</sub> 11.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.0% <sub>a</sub> 24.1% <sub>a</sub> 20.0% <sub>a</sub>	31.9% <sub>a,b</sub> 24.4% <sub>a</sub> 9.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	39.6% <sub>b</sub> 17.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.6% <sub>a,b</sub> 27.5% <sub>a</sub> 13.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.0% <sub>a</sub> 15.8% <sub>b</sub>	40.4% <sub>b</sub> 15.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	41.3% <sub>a</sub> 26.3% <sub>a</sub> 14.1% <sub>a</sub> 14.6% <sub>a</sub> 3.7% <sub>a</sub>	31.3% <sub>a</sub>	20.0% <sub>b</sub> 37.6% <sub>a</sub> 23.0% <sub>a</sub> 17.5% <sub>a</sub> 1.8% <sub>a</sub>	70.2% <sub>a</sub>	21.7% <sub>a</sub> 13.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.6% <sub>a</sub> 20.0% <sub>a</sub>	48.4% <sub>b</sub> 27.8% <sub>a</sub>	21.1% <sub>a</sub> 25.1% <sub>a</sub> 27.1% <sub>a</sub> 16.4% <sub>a</sub> 10.2% <sub>a</sub>	19.6% <sub>a</sub> 16.8% <sub>a</sub>	53.2% <sub>b</sub> 37.1% <sub>a</sub> 6.1% <sub>b</sub> 2.0% <sub>b</sub> 1.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	33.9% <sub>a,b</sub> 25.3% <sub>a</sub> 28.8% <sub>a</sub> 9.3% <sub>a,b</sub> 2.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	22.4% <sub>a</sub> 25.1% <sub>a</sub> 27.5% <sub>a</sub> 20.4% <sub>a</sub> 4.6% <sub>a</sub>	51.1% <sub>b</sub> 32.3% <sub>a</sub> 13.1% <sub>b</sub> 3.0% <sub>b</sub> 0.5% <sub>b</sub>
you	Total	100.0%					100.0%					100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	538	224	301	31	204	156	142	98	205	230	137	217	148	15	513	512	9	151	231	109	36	214	196

Table 45		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	iroups		Edu	cation Le	evel	Anı	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
. 48.6		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
What do you believe are the primary reasons for the homelessness in	Homelessness is not an issue in my community.	6.3% <sup>1</sup>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	4.9%a	10.6% <sub>b</sub>	10.6% <sub>b</sub>	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>
your community?	Substance use issues	57.0% <sup>1</sup>	53.0%a	61.2% <sub>b</sub>	62.9% <sub>a</sub>	60.5% <sub>a</sub>	46.0% <sub>b</sub>	46.2% <sub>b</sub>	50.1% <sub>a</sub>	62.8% <sub>b</sub>	61.4% <sub>b</sub>	53.9% <sub>a</sub>	56.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	63.2% <sub>b</sub>	66.0%a	55.6% <sub>b</sub>	56.7% <sub>a</sub>	58.9% <sub>a</sub>	50.1%a	56.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	65.5% <sub>b,c</sub>	68.1% <sub>c</sub>	43.0%a	54.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Mental illness	40.4% <sup>1</sup>	36.2%a	44.4% <sub>b</sub>	45.6%a	41.3%a	36.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	30.3% <sub>b</sub>	30.6%a	47.7% <sub>b</sub>	49.4%ь	41.9%a	33.7%ь	50.9%₀	42.9%a	39.8%a	41.1%a	38.2%a	30.1%a	40.2% <sub>b</sub>	54.6%c	50.8%c	28.1%a	44.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Lack of affordable housing	34.4% <sup>1</sup>	25.5% <sub>a</sub>	42.8% <sub>b</sub>	44.8%a	29.9% <sub>b</sub>	26.7% <sub>b</sub>	26.4% <sub>b</sub>	32.0%a	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.3% <sub>a</sub>	44.1%a	31.2% <sub>b</sub>	25.3% <sub>b</sub>	40.1%a	33.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	18.8% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>b</sub>	52.0% <sub>c</sub>	57.7% <sub>c</sub>	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor economic conditions/No jobs	41.0% <sup>1</sup>	39.6%a	42.7% <sub>a</sub>	50.0%a	36.9% <sub>b</sub>	33.6% <sub>b</sub>	34.4% <sub>b</sub>	39.3% <sub>a</sub>	43.3% <sub>a</sub>	39.6% <sub>a</sub>	44.5% <sub>a</sub>	40.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	35.5% <sub>b</sub>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	41.6% <sub>a</sub>	41.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.6% <sub>a</sub>	49.5% <sub>b</sub>	52.6% <sub>b</sub>	37.0% <sub>a</sub>	40.0%a
	Personal choices	33.1% <sup>1</sup>	36.6%a	29.6% <sub>b</sub>	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.3% <sub>a</sub>	27.5%a	34.8%a	32.3% <sub>a</sub>	34.6% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>		41.5% <sub>b</sub>	39.5%a	32.3% <sub>b</sub>	34.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.5% <sub>b</sub>	33.0% <sub>a</sub>	35.6% <sub>a</sub>	28.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	29.4%a	28.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Lazy/No work ethic	1.5% <sup>1</sup>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.7% <sub>b</sub>	3.5% <sub>b,c</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Illegal Immigrants	1.4%1	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.1%a	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.4%a	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Veterans	0.2%1	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%a	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.1%a	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.9%a	0.0%2
	Incompetent Government makes it too easy	3.0% <sup>1</sup>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	-	5.5% <sub>a</sub>			2.0% <sub>a,b</sub>			0.7% <sub>b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>b</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	-	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a,b</sub>		6.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Not sure	1.0%1	1.2% <sub>a</sub>		0.8%a						0.6%a	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>		0.8% <sub>a</sub>		0.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%			100.0%				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1727	699	988	238	582	486	400	328	685	688	426	659	529	138	1549	1563	93	555	742	279	108	702	551

		Jefferson County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ucation Le	evel	Anı	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
What do you believe are the primary reasons for the homelessness in	Homelessness is not an issue in my community.	5.7% <sup>1</sup>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.6%a	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>
your community?	Substance use issues	63.9% <sup>1</sup>	62.6% <sub>a</sub>	67.1% <sub>a</sub>	67.8%a	66.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	53.0% <sub>b</sub>	57.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	55.2% <sub>a</sub>	68.9% <sub>b</sub>	74.3% <sub>b</sub>	63.4% <sub>a</sub>	61.1% <sub>a</sub>	71.1% <sub>a</sub>	68.2% <sub>a</sub>	62.6% <sub>a</sub>	64.6% <sub>a</sub>	60.2% <sub>a</sub>	61.3% <sub>a</sub>	65.0% <sub>a</sub>	70.4% <sub>a</sub>	67.5% <sub>a</sub>	50.8% <sub>a</sub>	61.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Mental illness	46.4% <sup>1</sup>	42.1%a	52.2% <sub>b</sub>	46.6%a	50.7% <sub>a</sub>	45.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	55.2% <sub>b</sub>	65.0% <sub>b</sub>	49.3% <sub>a</sub>	34.9% <sub>b</sub>	64.6% <sub>c</sub>	44.0%a	47.4%a	46.3% <sub>a</sub>	51.4% <sub>a</sub>	41.4% <sub>a</sub>	40.9%a	71.2% <sub>b</sub>	52.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	46.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Lack of affordable housing	37.6% <sup>1</sup>	30.2% <sub>a</sub>	45.1% <sub>b</sub>	42.0% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	30.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.6% <sub>b</sub>	32.2% <sub>a</sub>	44.0% <sub>b</sub>	37.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	45.1% <sub>a</sub>	31.5% <sub>b</sub>	34.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.2% <sub>a</sub>	36.3% <sub>a</sub>	44.3%a	21.0% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>b</sub>	55.3% <sub>c</sub>	59.9% <sub>c</sub>	23.6% <sub>a</sub>	36.5% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor economic conditions/No jobs	35.0% <sup>1</sup>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	38.1% <sub>a</sub>	43.8% <sub>a</sub>	29.8% <sub>b</sub>	25.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.2% <sub>b</sub>	30.8% <sub>a</sub>	40.2% <sub>a</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	40.8% <sub>a</sub>	32.1% <sub>a</sub>	29.9% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	33.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	37.1% <sub>a</sub>	34.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.8% <sub>a</sub>	45.1% <sub>b,c</sub>	54.0% <sub>c</sub>	29.5% <sub>a</sub>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Personal choices	39.1% <sup>1</sup>					34.8% <sub>a</sub>	44.6%a	38.8% <sub>a</sub>		40.3% <sub>a</sub>	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	34.4% <sub>a</sub>	47.8% <sub>b</sub>	39.8% <sub>a</sub>	38.6% <sub>a</sub>			38.0% <sub>a</sub>		31.2% <sub>a</sub>	40.0% <sub>a</sub>	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	36.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Lazy/No work ethic	0.7%1	1.2% <sub>a</sub>			0.8% <sub>a</sub>		0.6% <sub>a</sub>			1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2		1.0% <sub>a</sub>		2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	Illegal Immigrants	0.8%1	0.8% <sub>a</sub>			1.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>				0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>		0.8% <sub>a</sub>	-	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	Veterans	0.2%1	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	Incompetent Government makes it too easy	3.0%1	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>
	Not sure	1.3% <sup>1</sup>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>		1.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>		3.3% <sub>a</sub>			0.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>		0.9% <sub>a</sub>		0.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%		100.0%				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	728	307	397	157	250	168	140	111	288	310	181	259	242	115	591	618	66	224	314	112	57	267	234

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inco	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH		White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
What do you believe are the primary reasons for the homelessness in	Homelessness is not an issue in my community.	7.0%1	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.3%a	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%²	9.0%a	7.5% <sub>a</sub>
your community?	Substance use issues	50.2% <sup>1</sup>	47.4%a	52.7%a	53.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	58.7%a	40.1%b	37.6% <sub>b,c</sub>	50.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	42.8%a	61.4% <sub>b</sub>	49.9%a	48.4%a	54.6%a	92.7%a	49.5%a	50.9%a	44.8%a	49.9%a	44.2%a	50.7%a	87.1% <sub>b</sub>	44.5%a	51.5%a
	Mental illness	38.0% <sup>1</sup>	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	44.4% <sub>b</sub>	39.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	45.5% <sub>a</sub>	$34.9\%_{a,b}$	23.8% <sub>b</sub>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	33.9% <sub>a</sub>	47.9% <sub>a</sub>	35.9% <sub>a</sub>	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	43.9% <sub>a</sub>	87.2% <sub>a</sub>	37.5% <sub>b</sub>	38.6% <sub>a</sub>	36.8% <sub>a</sub>	29.3%a	37.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	53.6% <sub>b,c</sub>	68.7% <sub>c</sub>	30.1% <sub>a</sub>	48.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Lack of affordable housing	27.6% <sup>1</sup>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	43.5% <sub>b</sub>	41.6% <sub>a</sub>	24.5% <sub>b</sub>	15.3% <sub>b</sub>	22.1% <sub>b</sub>	29.7% <sub>a</sub>	26.8%a	22.1% <sub>a</sub>	48.5% <sub>a</sub>	22.7% <sub>b</sub>	17.3% <sub>b</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.8% <sub>a</sub>	27.5%a	37.0% <sub>a</sub>	14.6% <sub>a</sub>	29.6% <sub>b</sub>	47.1% <sub>b</sub>	54.8% <sub>b</sub>	21.1% <sub>a</sub>	27.4% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor economic conditions/No jobs	34.5% <sup>1</sup>	30.6%a	38.9%a	48.8%a	34.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	24.5% <sub>b</sub>	20.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	33.2%a	35.8%a	37.0%a	36.2%a	35.3%a	34.1%a	28.0%a	34.6%a	33.9%a	57.3% <sub>b</sub>	29.3%a	35.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	53.5% <sub>b</sub>	15.7%a	27.9%a	35.0%a
	Personal choices	38.6% <sup>1</sup>	44.6% <sub>a</sub>	32.4% <sub>b</sub>	34.1% <sub>a</sub>	$37.5\%_a$	45.8% <sub>a</sub>	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	42.7% <sub>a</sub>	32.7% <sub>a</sub>	36.5% <sub>a</sub>	41.3% <sub>a</sub>	34.4% <sub>a</sub>	39.7% <sub>a</sub>	53.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.8% <sub>a</sub>	19.9% <sub>a</sub>	39.5% <sub>a</sub>	34.8% <sub>a</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	45.9% <sub>a</sub>	36.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Lazy/No work ethic	4.2%1	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>
	Illegal Immigrants	1.1%1	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Veterans	0.1% <sup>1</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3%a	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%2	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6%a	0.0%2
	Incompetent Government makes it too easy	1.4%1	2.2%a	0.6%a	0.0%2	1.3%a	3.7%a	1.5%a	1.6%a	1.3%a	1.0%a	1.6%a	0.3%a	1.9%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.4%a	1.4%a	2.1%a	2.3%a	1.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.3%a	0.7%a
	Not sure	0.7%1	0.1% <sub>a</sub>		1.5% <sub>a</sub>			1.3% <sub>a</sub>			2.9% <sub>b</sub>		0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>		0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.5%a	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%			100.0%							100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	466	172	290	51	129	162	121	120	195	149	108	186	140	8	450	437	19	183	197	59	16	224	120

		St. Lawrence County Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ıcation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Inco	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
What do you believe are the primary reasons for the homelessness in	Homelessness is not an issue in my community.	6.7% <sup>1</sup>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>b</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.2% <sub>b</sub>	11.7% <sub>b,c</sub>	7.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>b</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.4% <sub>a</sub>	12.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.9% <sub>b</sub>
your community?	Substance use issues	51.6% <sup>1</sup>	44.0%a	57.8% <sub>b</sub>	57.6%a	56.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	42.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	40.1% <sub>b</sub>	44.7%a	61.1% <sub>b</sub>	48.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	43.2% <sub>a</sub>	53.5% <sub>a</sub>	57.4%a	40.4%a	51.6% <sub>a</sub>	51.1%a	58.6% <sub>a</sub>	39.4%	51.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	63.4% <sub>b</sub>	67.3% <sub>b.c</sub>	33.5% <sub>a</sub>	49.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Mental illness	34.8% <sup>1</sup>	31.0%a	37.0%a	45.6%a	32.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	29.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	25.8% <sub>b</sub>	27.7%a	43.4% <sub>b</sub>	34.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	34.3%a	31.7%a	38.4%a	26.8%a	34.5%a	37.2%a	12.1%ь	19.7%a	40.2% <sub>b</sub>	38.6% <sub>b</sub>	47.8%ь	20.7%a	42.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Lack of affordable housing	32.7% <sup>1</sup>	24.0% <sub>a</sub>	40.4% <sub>b</sub>	49.8% <sub>a</sub>	24.1% <sub>b</sub>	26.5% <sub>b</sub>	27.3% <sub>b</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	33.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>a</sub>	41.9% <sub>a</sub>	33.1% <sub>a</sub>	18.0% <sub>b</sub>	79.3% <sub>a</sub>	31.8% <sub>b</sub>	35.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>b</sub>	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.0% <sub>a</sub>	49.6% <sub>b</sub>	55.8% <sub>b</sub>	19.3%a	32.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Poor economic conditions/No jobs	48.8% <sup>1</sup>	50.1% <sub>a</sub>	48.1% <sub>a</sub>	59.8% <sub>a</sub>	43.3% <sub>b</sub>	42.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	45.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	50.3% <sub>a</sub>	47.8% <sub>a</sub>	47.0% <sub>a</sub>	50.8% <sub>a</sub>	48.7% <sub>a</sub>	41.9% <sub>a</sub>	42.4%a	49.5% <sub>a</sub>	50.0%a	39.0% <sub>a</sub>	46.4% <sub>a</sub>	47.5% <sub>a</sub>	53.2% <sub>a</sub>	54.0% <sub>a</sub>	49.0% <sub>a</sub>	51.3% <sub>a</sub>
	Personal choices	25.5% <sup>1</sup>	27.0%a	24.6%a	27.4%a	27.7% <sub>a</sub>	16.7% <sub>a</sub>	26.5%a	22.3%a	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	22.3%a	22.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.4% <sub>b</sub>	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	25.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.4%a	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.8% <sub>a</sub>	27.5%a	24.9%a	19.8% <sub>a</sub>	21.3% <sub>a</sub>	20.6% <sub>a</sub>
	Lazy/No work ethic	1.5% <sup>1</sup>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	$0.0\%^{2}$	0.9%a	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.8%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Illegal Immigrants	2.1% <sup>1</sup>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Veterans	0.3%1	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6%a	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2
	Incompetent Government makes it too easy	3.5%1	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	-	8.7% <sub>a</sub>			0.8% <sub>a,b</sub>			1.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	_		1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>b</sub>	10.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>b</sub>
I	Not sure	0.7%1	0.5% <sub>a</sub>					0.4% <sub>a</sub>			0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>		0.8%a		0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	1.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%		100.0%				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	533	220	301	30	203	156	139	97	202	229	137	214	147	15	508	508	8	148	231	108	35	211	197

Table 46		All North Country Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	level	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			cial round		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
1 4010 40		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Are you a registered voter, and if yes, in which party?	Yes, registered as a Republican	39.7% <sup>1</sup>	43.8% <sub>a</sub>	36.9% <sub>b</sub>	28.2% <sub>a</sub>	44.9% <sub>b</sub>	44.6% <sub>b</sub>	54.5% <sub>b</sub>	40.7% <sub>a</sub>	39.0% <sub>a</sub>	40.9% <sub>a</sub>	30.8% <sub>a</sub>	41.0% <sub>b</sub>	50.0% <sub>c</sub>	26.7% <sub>a</sub>	42.2% <sub>b</sub>	42.8%a	17.1% <sub>b</sub>	71.7% <sub>a</sub>	35.7% <sub>b</sub>	5.7% <sub>c</sub>	18.7% <sub>d</sub>	81.1% <sub>a</sub>	19.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Yes, registered as a Democrat	20.9% <sup>1</sup>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>b</sub>	18.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.4% <sub>a</sub>	28.6% <sub>b</sub>	27.0% <sub>b</sub>	16.5% <sub>a</sub>	20.8% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>b</sub>	20.0%a	21.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.7% <sub>b</sub>	22.1%a	13.7% <sub>b</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	20.8% <sub>b</sub>	59.7% <sub>c</sub>	12.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	54.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Yes, registered as an Independent	14.3% <sup>1</sup>	12.4%a	16.2% <sub>b</sub>	16.3%a	15.1%a	12.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.3% <sub>b</sub>	13.2%a	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.5%a	9.5%a	16.8% <sub>b</sub>	17.1%ь	11.9%a	14.6%a	14.3%a	11.1%a	9.2%a	16.5% <sub>b</sub>	17.8% <sub>b</sub>	15.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.1%a	15.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Yes, registered in a different Party	2.2%1	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>b</sub>	4.1%a	1.3% <sub>b</sub>	1.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>b</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a
	Yes. registered as No Party	9.7%1	10.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	12.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.8% <sub>b</sub>	6.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>b</sub>	14.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>b</sub>	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	14.3%a	9.0% <sub>b</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	16.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>b</sub>	12.0% <sub>b</sub>	11.0% <sub>b</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.2% <sub>b</sub>
	No, NOT registered to vote	8.5% <sup>1</sup>	9.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.8% <sub>c</sub>	11.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>b</sub>	5.0% <sub>b</sub>	15.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>b</sub>	2.5% <sub>b</sub>	30.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>b</sub>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	23.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	21.9% <sub>c</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2
	Not sure	4.7%1			6.8%a							5.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>b</sub>	8.7% <sub>a</sub>		3.4% <sub>a</sub>	12.9% <sub>b</sub>		3.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	18.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1735	701	997	242	584	485	407	329	690	694	427	663	533	140	1556	1570	95	564	744	282	108	710	555

		Jefferson County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Are you a registered voter, and if yes, in which party?	Yes, registered as a Republican	36.5% <sup>1</sup>	39.9% <sub>a</sub>	34.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.1%a	42.5% <sub>b</sub>	50.9% <sub>b</sub>	54.4% <sub>b</sub>	34.2% <sub>a</sub>	38.9% <sub>a</sub>	39.6% <sub>a</sub>	24.5% <sub>a</sub>	44.2% <sub>b</sub>	44.9% <sub>b</sub>	24.1% <sub>a</sub>	41.3% <sub>b</sub>	42.6%a	10.3% <sub>b</sub>	68.1% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>b</sub>	5.0% <sub>c</sub>	10.0% <sub>c</sub>	81.8% <sub>a</sub>	26.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Yes, registered as a Democrat	20.5% <sup>1</sup>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	27.9% <sub>b</sub>	18.5% <sub>a</sub>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	28.8% <sub>a</sub>	23.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	23.5% <sub>b</sub>	32.7% <sub>b</sub>	19.0% <sub>a</sub>	17.9% <sub>a</sub>	26.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	24.7% <sub>b</sub>	22.4% <sub>a</sub>	14.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	20.2% <sub>b</sub>	59.5% <sub>c</sub>	17.7% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	46.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Yes, registered as an Independent	13.7% <sup>1</sup>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	19.8% <sub>b</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	17.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	14.9% <sub>a</sub>	13.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	12.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>b</sub>	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	19.0% <sub>a</sub>	9.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	16.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Yes, registered in a different Party	2.5% <sup>1</sup>	3.5%a	1.6%a	3.9%a	2.2%a	0.7%a	0.3%a	2.5%a	2.4%a	3.0%a	2.0%a	4.1%a	1.4%a	0.0%2	3.5%a	1.3%a	9.3% <sub>b</sub>	1.8%a	2.8%a	3.3%a	2.9%a	0.3%a	1.9%a
	Yes. registered as No Party	11.9% <sup>1</sup>	15.0% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>b</sub>	14.6% <sub>a</sub>	11.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	10.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	14.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>b</sub>	18.9% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>b</sub>	7.1% <sub>b</sub>	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.6% <sub>a</sub>	18.1% <sub>b</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>
	No, NOT registered to vote	11.1% <sup>1</sup>	14.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>b</sub>	19.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	4.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	17.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.2% <sub>b</sub>	19.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>b</sub>	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	31.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.2% <sub>b</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	30.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2
	Not sure	3.7% <sup>1</sup>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.9%a	2.0%a	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.9%a	0.6%a	13.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	729	307	400	159	251	168	140	111	290	311	181	259	243	117	592	620	67	226	316	112	56	268	235

	Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	Anı	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			icial ground		Political Be			President 2 Choice (am	
	in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road		Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Yes, registered as a Republican	58.7% <sup>1</sup>	73.1%a	45.1% <sub>b</sub>	54.2%a	58.4%a	63.0%a	61.9%a	57.8%a	63.5%a	53.6%a	48.8%a	58.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	64.1% <sub>b</sub>	33.4%a	58.9%a	60.0%a	29.1% <sub>b</sub>	79.9%a	51.3%ь	10.8%c	27.0% <sub>b,c</sub>	83.7% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>b</sub>
Yes, registered as a Democrat	19.6% <sup>1</sup>	10.8% <sub>a</sub>	28.2% <sub>b</sub>	23.1% <sub>a</sub>	17.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.3% <sub>a</sub>	22.4% <sub>a</sub>	20.6% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.6% <sub>a</sub>	23.0% <sub>a</sub>	17.9% <sub>a</sub>	20.6% <sub>a</sub>	33.7% <sub>a</sub>	19.4% <sub>a</sub>	17.8% <sub>a</sub>	53.3% <sub>b</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	21.5% <sub>b</sub>	75.3% <sub>c</sub>	18.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	62.2% <sub>b</sub>
Yes, registered as an Independent	11.5% <sup>1</sup>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	17.3% <sub>b</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	12.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.4% <sub>a</sub>	12.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	32.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.3% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>b</sub>	8.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	46.0% <sub>c</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	11.0% <sub>a</sub>
Yes, registered in a different Party	2.0%1	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>
Yes. registered as No Party	4.3% <sup>1</sup>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.0% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.0%²	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>
No, NOT registered to vote	2.9%1	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	4.5%a	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.9%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%²
Not sure	1.0%1	1.1%a		0.0%2					2.3%a	0.5%a		1.2%a	0.4%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>		1.1%a		1.2%a	0.4%a	0.8%a	6.2%a	0.0%2	0.0%2
Total Unweighted n	100.0% 466	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 187	100.0% 142	100.0%	100.0% 451	100.0% 439	100.0%	100.0% 185	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 226	100.0% 122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ıcation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Ince	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
Are you a registered voter, and if yes, in which party?	Yes, registered as a Republican	38.3% <sup>1</sup>	41.2% <sub>a</sub>	37.2% <sub>a</sub>	28.0% <sub>a</sub>	43.5% <sub>b</sub>	34.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	52.8% <sub>b</sub>	42.3% <sub>a</sub>	34.3% <sub>a</sub>	39.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.4% <sub>a</sub>	34.0% <sub>a</sub>	51.0% <sub>b</sub>	52.4% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	29.0%a	72.2% <sub>a</sub>	29.4% <sub>b</sub>	5.5% <sub>c</sub>	26.6% <sub>b</sub>	79.4% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>b</sub>
	Yes, registered as a Democrat	21.6% <sup>1</sup>	19.5%a	21.6%a	16.7% <sub>a,c</sub>	16.3%a	32.0% <sub>b</sub>	30.2% <sub>b,c</sub>	19.1%a	19.6%a	29.8%a	20.5%a	25.7%a	17.0%a	1.8%a	21.9%a	23.0%a	5.2% <sub>b</sub>	10.8%a	21.3%ь	57.0%c	7.5%a	6.1%a	60.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Yes, registered as an Independent	15.5% <sup>1</sup>	18.7% <sub>a</sub>	12.5% <sub>a</sub>	20.0% <sub>a</sub>	14.2% <sub>a</sub>	16.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	18.6% <sub>a</sub>	17.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.6% <sub>b</sub>	25.2% <sub>b</sub>	16.2% <sub>a</sub>	15.7% <sub>a</sub>	15.1% <sub>a</sub>	21.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.1% <sub>a</sub>	17.4% <sub>a</sub>	18.4% <sub>a</sub>	19.1% <sub>a</sub>	9.6% <sub>a</sub>	16.1% <sub>b</sub>
	Yes, registered in a different Party	1.9%1	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	$0.9\%_{\mathrm{a,b}}$	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Yes. registered as No Party	8.9% <sup>1</sup>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	10.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	14.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.5% <sub>a</sub>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	9.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	13.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.9% <sub>b</sub>	7.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	4.8% <sub>a</sub>	11.4% <sub>b</sub>
	No, NOT registered to vote	7.2%1	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a</sub>	13.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	7.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.0%2	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	12.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	28.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.6% <sub>b</sub>	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	10.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	14.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%²
	Not sure	6.6% <sup>1</sup>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.6%a	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.3%a	11.1%a	4.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	9.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	23.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.2%a	24.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	540	223	305	32	203	157	143	98	206	231	137	217	148	15	513	511	9	153	230	110	37	216	198

Table 47		All North Country Participan	Gende	er Identity		Age G	iroups		Edu	cation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	ilitary in H		cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 20		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
How likely are you to vote in the	Definitely will Vote	85.4% <sup>1</sup>	84.9%	86.2%	75.2% <sub>a</sub>	88.2% <sub>b</sub>						74.0% <sub>a</sub>	87.6% <sub>b</sub>	93.8% <sub>c</sub>	64.1% <sub>a</sub>	87.3% <sub>b</sub>	87.7% <sub>a</sub>	65.1% <sub>b</sub>	91.4% <sub>a</sub>	82.8% <sub>b</sub>	92.0% <sub>a</sub>	64.5% <sub>c</sub>	100.0%ª	100.0%ª
elections in November 2024 that	Probably will Vote	7.9% <sup>1</sup>	7.2%	7.8%a	13.2%a	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	5.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.4%b	9.9%a	6.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.2% <sub>b</sub>	10.8%a	8.0%a	3.7% <sub>b</sub>	12.8%a	7.3% <sub>b</sub>	6.3%a	23.7% <sub>b</sub>	4.4%a	8.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.8%c	0.0%ª	0.0%ª
include the US Presidency? (among	Unlikely to Vote	3.7% <sup>1</sup>	5.7%	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	$3.3\%_{a,b}$		4.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.7% <sub>c</sub>	14.3%a	2.7% <sub>b</sub>	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.5% <sub>b</sub>	3.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.0%ª	0.0%ª
registered voters)	Definitely will not Vote	0.9%1	0.4%	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%ª	0.0%ª

1	Not sure	2.2%1	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	2.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	5.6%a	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	1.6% <sub>b</sub>	6.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.8% <sub>b</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	0.6%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	13.9% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%ª	0.0% <sup>a</sup>
L	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Г	Unweighted n	1610	640	941	199	551	458	389	290	646	659	380	630	506	102	1477	1487	68	535	699	272	79	710	555

		Jefferson County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	iroups		Ed	ucation Le	vel	Anı	nual HH Inc		Active Mi	1	Backg	cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000		Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
How likely are you to vote in the	Definitely will Vote	79.8% <sup>1</sup>	75.0% <sub>a</sub>	86.0% <sub>b</sub>	66.6% <sub>a</sub>	85.1% <sub>b</sub>	94.8% <sub>b</sub>	91.4% <sub>b</sub>	70.8% <sub>a</sub>	83.0% <sub>b</sub>	91.5% <sub>b</sub>	65.0% <sub>a</sub>	83.3% <sub>b</sub>	93.1% <sub>c</sub>	59.8% <sub>a</sub>	84.0% <sub>b</sub>	84.9% <sub>a</sub>	50.1% <sub>b</sub>	87.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	78.9% <sub>a</sub>	91.0% <sub>b</sub>	43.4% <sub>c</sub>	100.0%ª	100.0%ª
elections in November 2024 that	Probably will Vote	10.9% <sup>1</sup>	12.5% <sub>a</sub>	7.6% <sub>b</sub>	19.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>b</sub>	4.3% <sub>b</sub>	4.7% <sub>b</sub>	16.0% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.8% <sub>b</sub>	17.2% <sub>a</sub>	9.3% <sub>b</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	14.1% <sub>a</sub>	10.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	33.4% <sub>b</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.3%a	7.8% <sub>a</sub>	34.0% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%ª	0.0%ª
include the US Presidency? (among	Unlikely to Vote	5.1% <sup>1</sup>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>b</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>a</sub>			1.1% <sub>b</sub>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	16.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	14.7% <sub>b</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%ª	0.0%ª
registered voters)	Definitely WILL NOT Vote	1.0%1	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	$0.0\%^{2}$	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.0%2	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.9%a	0.0%ª	0.0%ª
	Not sure	3.2% <sup>1</sup>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	6.0%a	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	0.4%a	7.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.4%a	2.4% <sub>b</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	21.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%ª	0.0%ª
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	660	267	376	123	234	161	134	90	270	289	154	242	227	83	562	586	43	209	295	109	36	268	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024		Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road		Don't Know	Trump	Harris
How likely are you to vote in the	Definitely will Vote	82.4% <sup>1</sup>	91.2% <sub>a</sub>	73.8% <sub>b</sub>	61.1% <sub>a</sub>	93.0% <sub>b</sub>	92.8% <sub>b</sub>	88.2% <sub>b</sub>	82.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	76.6% <sub>a</sub>	92.3% <sub>b</sub>	74.5%a	83.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	88.0% <sub>b</sub>	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	82.0% <sub>a</sub>	83.5% <sub>a</sub>	55.0% <sub>b</sub>	89.7% <sub>a</sub>	81.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	64.8% <sub>b</sub>	58.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	100.0%ª	100.0%a
elections in November 2024 that	Probably will Vote	11.0% <sup>1</sup>	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>b</sub>	23.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	6.2% <sub>b</sub>	9.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>b</sub>	7.4%a	14.8%a	8.6% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.5% <sub>a</sub>	23.1% <sub>a</sub>	8.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.0% <sub>a</sub>	20.9% <sub>b,c</sub>	41.7% <sub>c</sub>	0.0%ª	0.0% <sup>a</sup>
include the US Presidency? (among	Unlikely to Vote	1.7%1	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>b</sub>	4.5%a	0.5%a	0.5%a	0.6%a	0.0%2	4.2%	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.8%a	1.9%a	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%ª	0.0% <sup>a</sup>
registered voters)	Definitely WILL NOT Vote	2.9%1	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>b</sub>	9.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.4%a	0.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.9%a	21.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.2%a	4.0% <sub>b</sub>	10.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%a	0.0%ª
	Not sure	2.0%1	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.6% <sub>b</sub>	2.4%a	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	5.6%a	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.0%a	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.0%a	0.0%2	0.0%ª	0.0% <sup>a</sup>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	445	165	277	50	126	153	115	113	183	149	102	180	137	8	430	418	19	178	189	59	13	226	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ucation Le	evel	Anı	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road		Don't Know	Trump	Harris
How likely are you to vote in the	Definitely will Vote	91.7% <sup>1</sup>	92.5% <sub>a</sub>	90.2% <sub>a</sub>	91.5% <sub>a</sub>	89.6% <sub>a</sub>	94.0%	93.2% <sub>a</sub>	92.1% <sub>a</sub>	90.1% <sub>a</sub>	93.4% <sub>a</sub>	84.1% <sub>a</sub>	92.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	96.2% <sub>b</sub>	94.6% <sub>a</sub>	91.5% <sub>a</sub>	91.3%	92.5% <sub>a</sub>	95.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	87.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	97.9%a	84.6% <sub>b</sub>	100.0%a	100.0%a
elections in November 2024 that	Probably will Vote	4.0% <sup>1</sup>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>b</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.9%a	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	4.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	7.5%a	1.9%a	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.5%a	0.0%ª	0.0%ª
include the US Presidency? (among	Unlikely to Vote	2.8%1	4.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	6.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	3.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.8%a	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	2.6%a	0.0%ª	0.0%ª
registered voters)	Definitely WILL NOT Vote	0.3% <sup>1</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.8%a	0.0%2	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.9%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.0%ª	0.0%ª
	Not sure	1.2%1	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.5%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	8.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>a</sup>	0.0%ª
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	505	208	288	26	191	144	140	87	193	221	124	208	142	11	485	483	6	148	215	104	30	216	198

Table 48		All North Country Participants		Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ucation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi	1		cial round		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
If you were registered to vote in 2020, who did you vote for in the	I was not registered in 2020	1.2%1	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	3.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>b</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	6.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>
Presidential Election when Donald	I did not vote in 2020	7.6%1	7.3% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	14.9%a	5.4% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>b</sub>	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	10.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>b</sub>	4.8% <sub>b</sub>	8.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>b</sub>	15.6% <sub>a</sub>	6.9% <sub>b</sub>	6.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	13.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2
Trump ran against Joe Biden?	Donald Trump	53.0% <sup>1</sup>	59.4%a	47.1% <sub>b</sub>	45.8%a	62.2% <sub>b</sub>	51.3%a	50.7%a	59.3%a	51.6% <sub>b</sub>	43.9% <sub>b</sub>	42.9%a	53.3% <sub>b</sub>	62.2%c	43.9%a	53.8% <sub>b</sub>	53.7%a	39.9% <sub>b</sub>	87.4%a	45.3% <sub>b</sub>	9.2%₀	39.7%ь	97.0%a	3.6% <sub>b</sub>
	Joe Biden	29.2% <sup>1</sup>	23.3% <sub>a</sub>	34.4% <sub>b</sub>	26.1%a	20.8%a	40.6% <sub>b</sub>	41.1% <sub>b</sub>	20.5%a	29.1% <sub>b</sub>	45.5% <sub>c</sub>	32.5% <sub>a</sub>	28.0% <sub>a</sub>	27.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.6%a	30.4% <sub>b</sub>	30.6% <sub>a</sub>	21.8% <sub>b</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	35.7% <sub>b</sub>	75.5% <sub>c</sub>	6.4%a	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	96.3% <sub>b</sub>
	A different candidate	3.4% <sup>1</sup>	3.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	4.8%a	3.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	$2.6\%_{a,b}$	0.8% <sub>b</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	6.2% <sub>b</sub>	3.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2
	Not sure	5.7% <sup>1</sup>	4.9%a	6.4% <sub>a</sub>	6.3% <sub>a</sub>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.3%a	5.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	9.4% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.1% <sub>c</sub>	16.6%a	4.7% <sub>b</sub>	4.6%a	12.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.1% <sub>b</sub>	29.7% <sub>c</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1578	631	924	197	546	449	379	285	632	651	374	620	505	101	1455	1466	66	524	691	271	75	710	555

		Jefferson County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation Le	vel	An	nual HH Ince	ome	Active Mi	1	Backg	cial ground		Political Be			President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
If you were registered to vote in 2020, who did you vote for in the	I was not registered in 2020	2.2%1	2.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%²	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	7.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	9.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>
Presidential Election when Donald	I did not vote in 2020	7.6% <sup>1</sup>	9.6%a	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	14.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.5% <sub>b</sub>	11.4%a	$5.6\%_{a,b}$	4.0% <sub>b</sub>	12.8% <sub>a</sub>	8.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>b</sub>	17.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>b</sub>	4.1%a	37.1% <sub>b</sub>	5.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	12.0%a	3.3% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2
Trump ran against Joe Biden?	Donald Trump	47.7% <sup>1</sup>	52.5% <sub>a</sub>	42.9% <sub>b</sub>	37.7% <sub>a</sub>	59.2% <sub>b</sub>	48.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	49.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	55.6%a	44.4% <sub>b</sub>	39.3% <sub>b</sub>	33.1% <sub>a</sub>	51.5% <sub>b</sub>	58.3% <sub>b</sub>	40.6%a	48.9% <sub>a</sub>	50.4%a	22.2% <sub>b</sub>	81.7% <sub>a</sub>	43.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.4% <sub>c</sub>	43.7% <sub>b</sub>	96.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>
	Joe Biden	31.2% <sup>1</sup>	23.5%a	39.2% <sub>b</sub>	25.9%a	27.3%a	$40.5\%_{a,b}$	46.3%b	20.5%a	30.7% <sub>b</sub>	51.7%c	29.9%a	31.0%a	34.1%a	15.5%a	34.6% <sub>b</sub>	33.4%a	25.6%a	4.3%a	34.8% <sub>b</sub>	83.2% <sub>c</sub>	8.7%a	3.0%a	98.7% <sub>b</sub>
	A different candidate	5.1% <sup>1</sup>	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	4.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	6.0% <sub>a</sub>	3.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	5.3% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	10.0%a	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Not sure	6.3% <sup>1</sup>			11.9% <sub>a</sub>				4.1% <sub>a</sub>			11.6% <sub>a</sub>	4.8% <sub>b</sub>	1.3% <sub>b</sub>	15.8% <sub>a</sub>		4.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.0% <sub>a</sub>		5.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	30.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	647	263	370	122	232	156	132	88	264	286	151	238	227	82	552	577	41	206	289	109	34	268	235

		Lewis County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	cation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			cial ground		Political Be	liefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Harris
If you were registered to vote in 2020, who did you vote for in the	I was not registered in 2020	1.7%1	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.3%a	5.4%a	0.0%²	0.0%2	0.0%²	3.2%a	0.0%2	0.0%²	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	4.1%a	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	1.7%a	1.8%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%²	0.0%²	41.5%a	0.0%1	0.0% <sup>1</sup>
Presidential Election when Donald	I did not vote in 2020	5.0% <sup>1</sup>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.8%a	12.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	0.9% <sub>b</sub>	2.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>a</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	14.4% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%1	0.0%1
Trump ran against Joe Biden?	Donald Trump	63.5% <sup>1</sup>	75.1% <sub>a</sub>	52.3% <sub>b</sub>	59.9%a	68.9% <sub>a</sub>	63.3% <sub>a</sub>	57.8%a	67.7% <sub>a</sub>	66.0% <sub>a</sub>	48.1% <sub>b</sub>	59.0%a	62.8% <sub>a</sub>	68.1% <sub>a</sub>	33.4%a	64.0% <sub>a</sub>	65.6% <sub>a</sub>	23.8% <sub>b</sub>	93.0% <sub>a</sub>	49.2% <sub>b</sub>	11.9% <sub>c</sub>	33.5% <sub>b,c</sub>	97.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>b</sub>
	Joe Biden	22.4% <sup>1</sup>	15.8% <sub>a</sub>	29.0% <sub>b</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	21.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	23.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	36.8% <sub>b</sub>	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	17.5% <sub>a</sub>	38.7% <sub>b</sub>	27.5%a	19.3%a	22.9%a	45.1%a	22.0%a	20.5% <sub>a</sub>	57.9% <sub>b</sub>	3.4% <sub>a</sub>	30.7% <sub>b</sub>	68.8% <sub>c</sub>	11.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	95.8% <sub>b</sub>
	A different candidate	3.0% <sup>1</sup>	3.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>a</sub>	4.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	3.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.2% <sub>b</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.6% <sub>a</sub>	10.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	7.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%1	0.0%1
	Not sure	4.5% <sup>1</sup>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>					2.1% <sub>a</sub>			1.6% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	3.5% <sub>a,b</sub>	1.5% <sub>b</sub>	21.5% <sub>a</sub>	4.2% <sub>a</sub>			1.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.8% <sub>b</sub>	5.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	13.7% <sub>b</sub>	0.0%1	0.0%1
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	435	162	270	49	125	150	110	110	177	148	99	177	136	8	422	409	19	173	187	59	12	226	122

		St. Lawrence County Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edi	ucation Le	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active Mi			cial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choice (am	
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	ВІРОС	Conservative	Middle of the Road		Don't Know	Trump	Harris
If you were registered to vote in 2020, who did you vote for in the	I was not registered in 2020	0.1% <sup>1</sup>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%²	0.0%²	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%²	0.3%a	0.0%²	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.0%2	0.0%²	0.0%1	0.0%1
Presidential Election when Donald	I did not vote in 2020	8.2% <sup>1</sup>	6.2% <sub>a</sub>	10.9% <sub>a</sub>	15.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.3% <sub>b</sub>	4.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.7% <sub>a</sub>	6.0%a	5.9% <sub>a</sub>	4.6%a	11.3%a	8.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	8.4% <sub>a</sub>	9.1%a	0.0%2	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	11.6% <sub>b</sub>	1.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	27.6% <sub>c</sub>	0.0%1	0.0%1
Trump ran against Joe Biden?	Donald Trump	55.3% <sup>1</sup>	61.8% <sub>a</sub>	50.0% <sub>b</sub>	53.0%a	63.1% <sub>a</sub>	50.3% <sub>a</sub>	49.9%a	60.0%a	55.4% <sub>a</sub>	47.5%a	49.6%a	52.4%a	64.2% <sub>a</sub>	72.8% <sub>a</sub>	55.1% <sub>a</sub>	53.5% <sub>a</sub>	73.0% <sub>b</sub>	90.3%a	45.9% <sub>b</sub>	17.0% <sub>c</sub>	36.8% <sub>b,c</sub>	97.1% <sub>a</sub>	5.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Joe Biden	29.0% <sup>1</sup>	24.8%a	31.1% <sub>a</sub>	29.3%a	15.0% <sub>b</sub>	45.6% <sub>a</sub>	38.5% <sub>a</sub>	21.0%a	29.9% <sub>a,b</sub>	41.0% <sub>b</sub>	36.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.4%a	23.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	29.3%a	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	7.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	38.0% <sub>b</sub>	69.5% <sub>c</sub>	3.7%a	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	94.3% <sub>b</sub>
	A different candidate	1.8%1	2.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.4%a	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.8%a	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.3%a	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.9%a	0.0%2	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.1%a	0.0%1	0.0%1
	Not sure	5.5% <sup>1</sup>	4.8%a	6.6%a	0.0%2	11.6% <sub>a</sub>	2.0% <sub>b</sub>	5.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	7.2% <sub>a</sub>	6.6% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.6% <sub>b</sub>	7.1% <sub>a</sub>	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.9% <sub>a</sub>	22.6%a	5.2% <sub>b</sub>	4.4%a	19.6% <sub>b</sub>	0.8%a	2.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	8.2% <sub>b</sub>	30.7% <sub>c</sub>	0.0%1	0.0%1
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	496	206	284	26	189	143	137	87	191	217	124	205	142	11	481	480	6	145	215	103	29	216	198

Table 49		All North Country Participants	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	ucation L	evel		nual HH Inc		Active M H	н	Backg			Political Be			President 2 Cho		Part	y Affiliation	
1 3.3.7 3		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD		\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Biden	Republican	Democrat	Other
President 2024 Vote Choice (among	Trump	59.3% <sup>1</sup>	67.5%a	51.3% <sub>b</sub>	57.9% <sub>a</sub>	72.3% <sub>b</sub>	49.2% <sub>a,c</sub>	45.0% <sub>c</sub>	68.7% <sub>a</sub>	53.3% <sub>b</sub>	40.0% <sub>c</sub>	48.5% <sub>a</sub>	60.7% <sub>b</sub>	65.1% <sub>b</sub>	69.4% <sub>a</sub>	59.1% <sub>a</sub>	59.3% <sub>a</sub>	59.6%a	92.5%a	46.5% <sub>b</sub>	6.2% <sub>c</sub>	78.8% <sub>d</sub>	97.0%a	4.2% <sub>b</sub>	85.4%a	11.4% <sub>b</sub>	44.1% <sub>c</sub>
likely voters)	Harris	39.5% <sup>1</sup>	31.6% <sub>a</sub>	47.3% <sub>b</sub>	41.9% <sub>a</sub>	27.2% <sub>b</sub>	48.9% <sub>a</sub>	52.0% <sub>a</sub>	29.9%a	45.9% <sub>b</sub>	58.5% <sub>c</sub>	49.5%a	38.6% <sub>b</sub>	33.6% <sub>b</sub>	28.4%a	39.8% <sub>a</sub>	39.5% <sub>a</sub>	40.4%a	5.8% <sub>a</sub>	52.3% <sub>b</sub>	93.3% <sub>c</sub>	21.2% <sub>d</sub>	2.4% <sub>a</sub>	93.6% <sub>b</sub>	13.5% <sub>a</sub>	87.6% <sub>b</sub>	54.0% <sub>c</sub>
	Someone else	1.2%1	0.9%a	1.5%a	0.2% <sub>a,b</sub>	0.5%a	1.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	1.4%a	0.8%a	1.6%a	2.0%a	0.6%a	1.3%a	2.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.1%a	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6%a	2.1% <sub>b</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	1.0%a	1.8%a
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	1286	510	764	116	439	398	329	224	495	562	280	510	436	57	1212	1225	36	466	535	240	35	707	577	661	394	231

		Jefferson County	Gender	Identity		Age G	iroups		Ed	ucation L	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M			icial ground		Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Cho		Part	y Affiliation	
		All Participants	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100.000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Biden	Republican	Democrat	Other
President 2024 Vote Choice (among	Trump	60.7% <sup>1</sup>	70.0% <sub>a</sub>	51.1% <sub>b</sub>	64.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	71.4%a	48.5% <sub>b</sub>	46.3% <sub>b,c</sub>	68.5% <sub>a</sub>	58.4% <sub>a</sub>	42.4% <sub>b</sub>	48.5%a	63.9% <sub>b</sub>	64.9% <sub>b</sub>	66.5% <sub>a</sub>	60.4% <sub>a</sub>	60.9%	55.0%a	95.8% <sub>a</sub>	51.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.5% <sub>c</sub>	69.9% <sub>b</sub>	98.6%a	4.5% <sub>b</sub>	82.7% <sub>a</sub>	10.1% <sub>b</sub>	45.1% <sub>c</sub>
likely voters)	Harris	38.2% <sup>1</sup>	28.4% <sub>a</sub>	48.5% <sub>b</sub>	35.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	28.1%a	48.0% <sub>b</sub>	52.6% <sub>b,c</sub>	30.5% <sub>a</sub>	40.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	55.8% <sub>b</sub>	51.2% <sub>a</sub>	35.5% <sub>b</sub>	33.2% <sub>b</sub>	32.4%a	38.7% <sub>a</sub>	38.0%	45.0%a	3.7% <sub>a</sub>	47.1% <sub>b</sub>	98.4% <sub>c</sub>	30.1% <sub>b</sub>	0.6%a	93.8% <sub>b</sub>	16.9%a	88.1% <sub>b</sub>	52.0% <sub>c</sub>
	Someone else	1.2%1	1.5%a	0.3%a	0.3%a	0.5%a	3.4%a	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	1.1%a	0.9%a	1.8%a	0.3%a	0.6%a	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.1%	1.0%a	1.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.6%a	1.8% <sub>a</sub>	1.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.8%a	1.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.3%a	1.8%a	3.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	513	203	306	68	184	139	120	62	201	246	104	190	199	42	462	482	19	181	220	96	13	266	245	256	161	96

		Lewis County				Age G	iroups		Edu	ıcation L	evel	An	nual HH Inc	ome	Active M	lilitary in H	Ra Backç			Political Be	eliefs		President 2 Choi		Party	/ Affiliation	
		All Participants	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50.000	\$50,001 - \$100.000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC		Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Biden	Republican	Democrat	Other
President 2024 Vote Choice (among	Trump	69.9% <sup>1</sup>	77.6%a	61.1% <sub>b</sub>	78.2% <sub>a</sub>	76.0% <sub>a</sub>	68.0% <sub>a,b</sub>	54.5% <sub>b</sub>	74.1% <sub>a</sub>	71.8% <sub>a</sub>	48.1% <sub>b</sub>	66.5% <sub>a</sub>	68.5% <sub>a</sub>	72.6%a	35.7% <sub>a</sub>	70.7% <sub>a</sub>	70.6%a	49.1% <sub>a</sub>	93.9% <sub>a</sub>	51.7% <sub>b</sub>	21.1% <sub>c</sub>	67.9% <sub>b,c</sub>	97.3% <sub>a</sub>	6.2% <sub>b</sub>	88.6%a	16.6% <sub>b</sub>	64.3% <sub>c</sub>
likely voters)	Harris	29.5% <sup>1</sup>	21.6% <sub>a</sub>	38.4% <sub>b</sub>	21.0% <sub>a</sub>	24.0%a	30.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	45.0% <sub>b</sub>	25.2% <sub>a</sub>	27.8% <sub>a</sub>	51.0% <sub>b</sub>	31.9% <sub>a</sub>	31.0% <sub>a</sub>	27.4%a	64.3% <sub>a</sub>	28.6% <sub>a</sub>	28.7%a	50.9% <sub>a</sub>	5.2% <sub>a</sub>	47.7% <sub>b</sub>	78.9% <sub>c</sub>	32.1% <sub>b,c</sub>	1.8%a	93.8% <sub>b</sub>	11.2% <sub>a</sub>	83.4% <sub>b</sub>	31.1% <sub>c</sub>
	Someone else	0.7%1	0.8%a	0.5%a	0.8%a	0.0%2	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.4%a	0.7%a	0.4%	0.9% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.0%2	0.7%a	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.9%a	0.6%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.9%a	0.0%2	0.1%a	0.0%2	4.7% <sub>b</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	351	134	215	28	106	126	90	90	136	125	75	147	112	7	340	332	13	150	140	49	8	225	126	219	81	51

			St. Lawrence County	Gender	Identity		Age G	roups		Edu	cation L	evel	An	nual HH Inc		Active M	н ′	Back	cial ground		Political Be			President 2 Cho		Part	y Affiliation	
			All Participants	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD	Up to \$50,000	\$50,001 - \$100,000	Over \$100,000	Yes, AM in HH	No AM in HH	White	BIPOC	Conservative	Middle of the Road	Liberal	Don't Know	Trump	Biden	Republican	Democrat	Other
Presid	lent 2024 Vote Choice (among	Trump	54.9% <sup>1</sup>	62.2% <sub>a</sub>	48.6% <sub>b</sub>	45.6% <sub>a</sub>	72.2% <sub>b</sub>	44.5% <sub>a</sub>	41.2% <sub>a</sub>	67.2% <sub>a</sub>	43.0% <sub>b</sub>	35.8% <sub>b</sub>	43.4% <sub>a</sub>	55.3% <sub>a,b</sub>	62.9% <sub>b</sub>	88.9% <sub>a</sub>	54.5% <sub>a</sub>	54.5% <sub>a</sub>	100.0% <sup>2</sup>	89.0% <sub>a</sub>	39.7% <sub>b</sub>	8.1% <sub>c</sub>	89.3%a	95.2% <sub>a</sub>	3.7% <sub>b</sub>	87.2% <sub>a</sub>	11.2% <sub>b</sub>	39.8% <sub>c</sub>
likely	voters)	Harris	43.6% <sup>1</sup>	37.5% <sub>a</sub>	48.6% <sub>b</sub>	54.4% <sub>a</sub>	27.2% <sub>b</sub>	55.1% <sub>a</sub>	53.6% <sub>a</sub>	30.9% <sub>a</sub>	56.2% <sub>b</sub>	62.8% <sub>b</sub>	53.0% <sub>a</sub>	44.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	36.2% <sub>b</sub>	5.0% <sub>a</sub>	44.0%a	44.0% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	7.9% <sub>a</sub>	59.4% <sub>b</sub>	91.9% <sub>c</sub>	10.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.4%a	93.5% <sub>b</sub>	10.3%a	88.2% <sub>b</sub>	60.0% <sub>c</sub>
		Someone else	1.5%1	0.3%a	2.8% <sub>b</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.6%a	0.4% <sub>a,b</sub>	5.3% <sub>b</sub>	1.9% <sub>a</sub>	0.8%a	1.4%a	3.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.9%a	6.1% <sub>a</sub>	1.5% <sub>a</sub>	1.5%a	0.0%2	3.1% <sub>a</sub>	0.9%a	0.0%2	0.0% <sup>2</sup>	0.4%a	2.9% <sub>b</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.6%a	0.2%a
		Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		Unweighted n	422	173	243	20	149	133	119	72	158	191	101	173	125	8	410	411	4	135	175	95	14	216	206	186	152	84

# Appendix III - Technical Comments – Assistance in Interpretation of the Statistical Results in this Report

The results of this study will be disseminated to, and utilized in decision-making by, a very wide array of readers – who, no doubt, have a very wide array of statistical backgrounds. The following comments are provided to give guidance for interpretation of the presented findings so that readers with less-than-current statistical training might maximize the use of the information contained in the 25<sup>th</sup> Annual North Country Survey of the Community.

#### Margin of Error – Constructing Confidence Intervals to Estimate for an Entire Population

When data is collected, of course, it is only possible for the researcher to analyze the results of the sample data, the data from the group of individuals actually sampled, or in this case, actually interviewed. However, it is typically the goal of the researcher to use this sample data to draw a conclusion, or estimate that which they believe is true, for the entire population from which the sample was selected. To complete this estimation the standard statistical technique is to construct a confidence interval – an interval of values between which one can be 95% certain, or confident, that the true population value will fall. For example, if a researcher interviews n=500 randomly selected participants from some population of size N=100,000 individuals, and the researcher finds that x=200 of the 500 sampled participants indicate that they "agree" with some posed statement (200 out of 500 would be 40%), then the researcher can never be 100% certain that if all 100,000 population members were, in fact, interviewed then the result for this entire population investigation would be that 40% (that would be 40,000 out of the 100,000) would "agree." In general, one can never guarantee with 100% certainty that a statistic for some random sample will perfectly, exactly, result the same as the population value that describes the entire population (this value is called a "parameter"). Fortunately, considering the types of variables and resulting data that typically are generated in survey research, use of the statistical tools of probability distributions and sampling distributions allows the determination of a very important distance - the distance that one would expect 95% of the samples of size n to fall either above or below the true population value. This distance is commonly referred to as the margin of error. Once this distance (margin of error) is measured, there is a 95% probability that the sample result (the result of the n=500 sampled participants in the illustration above) will fall within that distance of the true population value. Therefore, to construct the very useful and easily-interpreted statistical estimation tool known as a confidence interval, all one must do is calculate the margin of error and add-and-subtract it to-and-from the sample result (statistic) and the outcome is that there is a 95% chance that the resulting interval does, in fact, include the true population value within the interval.

To illustrate the above-described concepts of margin of error and confidence intervals, recall that the 2024 margin of error for this regional survey has been earlier stated in Table 3 in the Methodology section in this report as approximately ±3.0 percentage points. Therefore, when a percentage is observed in one of the included tables of statistics in this report, the appropriate interpretation is that we are 95% confident that if all North Country adult residents were surveyed (rather than only the 1,790 that were actually surveyed), the percentage that would result for all residents would be within ±3.0 percentage points of the sample percentage that we surveyed, calculated, and reported in this study. For example, in Table 16, it can be observed that 20.9% of the sample of 1,785 adults (5 of the 1,790 participants omitted this survey question) report that they believe that the quality of the environment in their county is "Excellent". With this sample result, one could infer with 95% confidence that if all North Country adults were asked - somewhere between 17.9% and 23.9% of the population of approximately 190,000 adults in the North Country believe that the quality of the environment in their country is "Excellent" (started with the 20.9% that was found in the sample and added-and-subtracted a margin of error of ±3.0%). This resulting interval (17.9%–23.9%) is known as a 95% Confidence Interval. The consumer of this report should use this pattern when attempting to generalize any of these survey findings for survey questions that were answered by all ≈1,790 participants in this study to the entire adult population of the North Country in 2024. When attempting to generalize results for survey questions which had smaller sample sizes (the result of either screening questions, or participants refusing to answer certain questions, or investigating smaller demographic subgroups, such as only those over the age of 60), the resulting margin of error will be larger than ±3.0 percentage points. Table 3 presented earlier in this report, provides approximate margin of error values that should be used with sample sizes of less than n=1,790.

#### Margin of Error – More Detail for Those Interested in Maximizing Precision and Accuracy of Estimates

The introductory example above relating to *the quality of the environment* used a margin of error of ±3.0%, as a result of an illustration that used all 1,790 participants in this study. However, again, the margin of error when using the sample results in this study to construct a confidence interval to estimate a population percentage will <u>not</u> always be ±3.0%. There is not one universal value of a margin of error that can be precisely calculated and used for the results for every

question included in this survey, or for that matter, any multiple-question survey. Calculation methods used in this study for generating the margin of error depend upon the following factors (which include three factors in addition to the sample-size factor that has been mentioned earlier in Table 3):

- 1. The **sample size** is the number of adults who validly answered the survey question. The sample size will not always be n=1,790 since individuals have a right to omit any question. Additionally, some survey questions were only posed after screening questions. In general, the smaller the sample size then the larger the margin of error, and conversely, the larger the sample size then the smaller the margin of error.
- 2. The **sample proportion or percentage** is the calculated percentage of the sample who responded with the answer or category of interest (i.e. responded "Agree"). This percentage can vary from 0%-100%, and, of course, will change from question to question throughout the survey. In general, the further that a sample percentage varies from 50%, in either direction (approaching either 0% or 100%), the smaller the margin of error, and conversely, the closer that the actual sample percentage is to 50% then the larger the resulting margin of error. As an example, if 160 out of 400 sampled residents "Agree" with some posed statement, then the sample proportion would be (160÷400=0.4=40%)
- 3. The *confidence level* used in generalizing the results of the sample to the population that the sample represented. In this study, the standard confidence level used in survey research, 95% confidence level, will be used for all survey questions.
- 4. The **design effect** (DEFF) is a factor used in the calculation of the margin of error that compensates for the impact upon the size of the margin of error of having a sample whose demographic distributions do **not** well-parallel the distributions of the entire population that the sampling is attempting to represent. In general, the further that the sample demographic distributions deviate from the population distributions then the larger the design effect (margin of error), and conversely, the closer that the sample demographic distributions parallel the population distributions then the smaller the design effect (margin of error). Essentially the design effect reflects the magnitude of the impact that reliance upon weighting of sample results will have upon the reliability of population estimates. Note that the design effect for this study is approximately 2.66.

In mathematical notation, the margin of error (ME) for each sample result for this study would be represented as:

$$ME = 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{p(100 - p)}{n}} \cdot \sqrt{DEFF}$$

Where n=sample size = # valid responses to the survey question p=sample percentage for the survey question (between 0%-100%)
1.96 = the standard normal score associated with the 95% confidence level DEFF = the design effect

and 
$$DEFF = \frac{n \cdot \sum w_i^2}{\left(\sum w_i\right)^2}$$

with  $w_i$ =the post-stratification weight associated with  $i^{th}$  of the 1,790 sampled individuals

An example of using this Margin of Error formula would be that if 300 residents are sampled and validly answer some survey question, and 60 of those 300 residents report that they "Strongly Agree" with some statement, then the sample proportion is p=(60/300)=0.2=20%. Therefore the margin of error for this sample (whose n is only 300) that has a sample proportion that deviates quite largely from 50%, is found by: (please refer to Table 50 to verify)

$$ME = 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{p(100 - p)}{n}} \cdot \sqrt{DEFF} = 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{(20)(100 - 20)}{300}} \cdot \sqrt{2.66} = 7.4\%$$

Since the sample size varies (in fact, could conceivably be different for every question included in the survey) and the sample percentage varies (also, could conceivably be different for every question included in the survey) the following table (Table 50) has been provided for the reader to determine the correct margin of error to use whenever constructing a confidence interval using the sample data presented in this study. This table was generated using the ME formula shown above.

## Table 50 – More Detailed Margins of Error for Varying Sample Sizes and Varying Sample Proportions

								/aryir	ıg Sar	nple \$	Sizes	(n=	)						
Varying Sample %'s:	30	50	100	150	200	250	300	400	485	556	600	700	749	800	1000	1200	1500	1600	1790
2%	8.2%	6.3%	4.5%	3.7%	3.2%	2.8%	2.6%	2.2%	2.0%	1.9%	1.8%	1.7%	1.6%	1.6%	1.4%	1.3%	1.2%	1.1%	1.1%
4%	11.4%	8.9%	6.3%	5.1%	4.4%	4.0%	3.6%	3.1%	2.8%	2.7%	2.6%	2.4%	2.3%	2.2%	2.0%	1.8%	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%
6%	13.9%	10.7%	7.6%	6.2%	5.4%	4.8%	4.4%	3.8%	3.4%	3.2%	3.1%	2.9%	2.8%	2.7%	2.4%	2.2%	2.0%	1.9%	1.8%
8%	15.8%	12.3%	8.7%	7.1%	6.1%	5.5%	5.0%	4.3%	3.9%	3.7%	3.5%	3.3%	3.2%	3.1%	2.7%	2.5%	2.2%	2.2%	2.0%
10%	17.5%	13.6%	9.6%	7.8%	6.8%	6.1%	5.5%	4.8%	4.4%	4.1%	3.9%	3.6%	3.5%	3.4%	3.0%	2.8%	2.5%	2.4%	2.3%
12%	19.0%	14.7%	10.4%	8.5%	7.3%	6.6%	6.0%	5.2%	4.7%	4.4%	4.2%	3.9%	3.8%	3.7%	3.3%	3.0%	2.7%	2.6%	2.5%
14%	20.3%	15.7%	11.1%	9.1%	7.8%	7.0%	6.4%	5.5%	5.0%	4.7%	4.5%	4.2%	4.1%	3.9%	3.5%	3.2%	2.9%	2.8%	2.6%
16%	21.4%	16.6%	11.7%	9.6%	8.3%	7.4%	6.8%	5.9%	5.3%	5.0%	4.8%	4.4%	4.3%	4.1%	3.7%	3.4%	3.0%	2.9%	2.8%
18%	22.4%	17.4%	12.3%	10.0%	8.7%	7.8%	7.1%	6.1%	5.6%	5.2%	5.0%	4.6%	4.5%	4.3%	3.9%	3.5%	3.2%	3.1%	2.9%
20%	23.3%	18.1%	12.8%	10.4%	9.0%	8.1%	7.4%	6.4%	5.8%	5.4%	5.2%	4.8%	4.7%	4.5%	4.0%	3.7%	3.3%	3.2%	3.0%
22%	24.2%	18.7%	13.2%	10.8%	9.4%	8.4%	7.6%	6.6%	6.0%	5.6%	5.4%	5.0%	4.8%	4.7%	4.2%	3.8%	3.4%	3.3%	3.1%
24%	24.9%	19.3%	13.7%	11.1%	9.7%	8.6%	7.9%	6.8%	6.2%	5.8%	5.6%	5.2%	5.0%	4.8%	4.3%	3.9%	3.5%	3.4%	3.2%
26%	25.6%	19.8%	14.0%	11.4%	9.9%	8.9%	8.1%	7.0%	6.4%	5.9%	5.7%	5.3%	5.1%	5.0%	4.4%	4.0%	3.6%	3.5%	3.3%
28%	26.2%	20.3%	14.4%	11.7%	10.1%	9.1%	8.3%	7.2%	6.5%	6.1%	5.9%	5.4%	5.2%	5.1%	4.5%	4.1%	3.7%	3.6%	3.4%
30%	26.7%	20.7%	14.6%	12.0%	10.4%	9.3%	8.5%	7.3%	6.7%	6.2%	6.0%	5.5%	5.4%	5.2%	4.6%	4.2%	3.8%	3.7%	3.5%
32%	27.2%	21.1%	14.9%	12.2%	10.5%	9.4%	8.6%	7.5%	6.8%	6.3%	6.1%	5.6%	5.4%	5.3%	4.7%	4.3%	3.9%	3.7%	3.5%
34%	27.6%	21.4%	15.1%	12.4%	10.7%	9.6%	8.7%	7.6%	6.9%	6.4%	6.2%	5.7%	5.5%	5.4%	4.8%	4.4%	3.9%	3.8%	3.6%
36%	28.0%	21.7%	15.3%	12.5%	10.8%	9.7%	8.9%	7.7%	7.0%	6.5%	6.3%	5.8%	5.6%	5.4%	4.9%	4.4%	4.0%	3.8%	3.6%
38%	28.3%	21.9%	15.5%	12.7%	11.0%	9.8%	9.0%	7.8%	7.0%	6.6%	6.3%	5.9%	5.7%	5.5%	4.9%	4.5%	4.0%	3.9%	3.7%
40%	28.6%	22.1%	15.7%	12.8%	11.1%	9.9%	9.0%	7.8%	7.1%	6.6%	6.4%	5.9%	5.7%	5.5%	5.0%	4.5%	4.0%	3.9%	3.7%
42%	28.8%	22.3%	15.8%	12.9%	11.2%	10.0%	9.1%	7.9%	7.2%	6.7%	6.4%	6.0%	5.8%	5.6%	5.0%	4.6%	4.1%	3.9%	3.7%
44%	29.0%	22.4%	15.9%	13.0%	11.2%	10.0%	9.2%	7.9%	7.2%	6.7%	6.5%	6.0%	5.8%	5.6%	5.0%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	3.8%
46%	29.1%	22.5%	15.9%	13.0%	11.3%	10.1%	9.2%	8.0%	7.2%	6.8%	6.5%	6.0%	5.8%	5.6%	5.0%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	3.8%
48%	29.2%	22.6%	16.0%	13.0%	11.3%	10.1%	9.2%	8.0%	7.3%	6.8%	6.5%	6.0%	5.8%	5.6%	5.1%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	3.8%
50%	29.2%	22.6%	16.0%	13.1%	11.3%	10.1%	9.2%	8.0%	7.3%	6.8%	6.5%	6.0%	5.8%	5.7%	5.1%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	3.8%
52%	29.2%	22.6%	16.0%	13.0%	11.3%	10.1%	9.2%	8.0%	7.3%	6.8%	6.5%	6.0%	5.8%	5.6%	5.1%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	3.8%
54%	29.1%	22.5%	15.9%	13.0%	11.3%	10.1%	9.2%	8.0%	7.2%	6.8%	6.5%	6.0%	5.8%	5.6%	5.0%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	3.8%
56%	29.0%	22.4%	15.9%	13.0%	11.2%	10.0%	9.2%	7.9%	7.2%	6.7%	6.5%	6.0%	5.8%	5.6%	5.0%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	3.8%
58%	28.8%	22.3%	15.8%	12.9%	11.2%	10.0%	9.1%	7.9%	7.2%	6.7%	6.4%	6.0%	5.8%	5.6%	5.0%	4.6%	4.1%	3.9%	3.7%
60%	28.6%	22.1%	15.7%	12.8%	11.1%	9.9%	9.0%	7.8%	7.1%	6.6%	6.4%	5.9%	5.7%	5.5%	5.0%	4.5%	4.0%	3.9%	3.7%
62%	28.3%	21.9%	15.5%	12.7%	11.0%	9.8%	9.0%	7.8%	7.0%	6.6%	6.3%	5.9%	5.7%	5.5%	4.9%	4.5%	4.0%	3.9%	3.7%
64%	28.0%	21.7%	15.3%	12.5%	10.8%	9.7%	8.9%	7.7%	7.0%	6.5%	6.3%	5.8%	5.6%	5.4%	4.9%	4.4%	4.0%	3.8%	3.6%
66%	27.6%	21.4%	15.1%	12.4%	10.7%	9.6%	8.7%	7.6%	6.9%	6.4%	6.2%	5.7%	5.5%	5.4%	4.8%	4.4%	3.9%	3.8%	3.6%
68%	27.2%	21.1%	14.9%	12.2%	10.5%	9.4%	8.6%	7.5%	6.8%	6.3%	6.1%	5.6%	5.4%	5.3%	4.7%	4.3%	3.9%	3.7%	3.5%
70%	26.7%	20.7%	14.6%	12.0%	10.4%	9.3%	8.5%	7.3%	6.7%	6.2%	6.0%	5.5%	5.4%	5.2%	4.6%	4.2%	3.8%	3.7%	3.5%
72%	26.2%	20.3%	14.4%	11.7%	10.1%	9.1%	8.3%	7.2%	6.5%	6.1%	5.9%	5.4%	5.2%	5.1%	4.5%	4.1%	3.7%	3.6%	3.4%
74%	25.6%	19.8%	14.0%	11.4%	9.9%	8.9%	8.1%	7.0%	6.4%	5.9%	5.7%	5.3%	5.1%	5.0%	4.4%	4.0%	3.6%	3.5%	3.3%
76%	24.9%	19.3%	13.7%	11.1%	9.7%	8.6%	7.9%	6.8%	6.2%	5.8%	5.6%	5.2%	5.0%	4.8%	4.3%	3.9%	3.5%	3.4%	3.2%
78%	24.2%	18.7%	13.2%	10.8%	9.4%	8.4%	7.6%	6.6%	6.0%	5.6%	5.4%	5.0%	4.8%	4.7%	4.2%	3.8%	3.4%	3.3%	3.1%
80%	23.3%	18.1%	12.8%	10.4%	9.0%	8.1%	7.4%	6.4%	5.8%	5.4%	5.2%	4.8%	4.7%	4.5%	4.0%	3.7%	3.3%	3.2%	3.0%
82%	22.4%	17.4%	12.3%	10.0%	8.7%	7.8%	7.1%	6.1%	5.6%	5.2%	5.0%	4.6%	4.5%	4.3%	3.9%	3.5%	3.2%	3.1%	2.9%
84%	21.4%	16.6%	11.7%	9.6%	8.3%	7.4%	6.8%	5.9%	5.3%	5.0%	4.8%	4.4%	4.3%	4.1%	3.7%	3.4%	3.0%	2.9%	2.8%
86%	20.3%	15.7%	11.1%	9.1%	7.8%	7.0%	6.4%	5.5%	5.0%	4.7%	4.5%	4.2%	4.1%	3.9%	3.5%	3.2%	2.9%	2.8%	2.6%
88%	19.0%	14.7%	10.4%	8.5%	7.3%	6.6%	6.0%	5.2%	4.7%	4.4%	4.2%	3.9%	3.8%	3.7%	3.3%	3.0%	2.7%	2.6%	2.5%
90%	17.5%	13.6%	9.6%	7.8%	6.8%	6.1%	5.5%	4.8%	4.4%	4.1%	3.9%	3.6%	3.5%	3.4%	3.0%	2.8%	2.5%	2.4%	2.3%
92%	15.8%	12.3%	8.7%	7.1%	6.1%	5.5%	5.0%	4.3%	3.9%	3.7%	3.5%	3.3%	3.2%	3.1%	2.7%	2.5%	2.2%	2.2%	2.0%
94%	13.9%	10.7%	7.6%	6.2%	5.4%	4.8%	4.4%	3.8%	3.4%	3.2%	3.1%	2.9%	2.8%	2.7%	2.4%	2.2%	2.0%	1.9%	1.8%
96%	11.4%	8.9%	6.3%	5.1%	4.4%	4.0%	3.6%	3.1%	2.8%	2.7%	2.6%	2.4%	2.3%	2.2%	2.0%	1.8%	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%
98%	8.2%	6.3%	4.5%	3.7%	3.2%	2.8%	2.6%	2.2%	2.0%	1.9%	1.8%	1.7%	1.6%	1.6%	1.4%	1.3%	1.2%	1.1%	1.1%
Average	23.3%	18.1%	12.8%	10.4%	9.0%	8.1%	7.4%	6.4%	5.8%	5.4%	5.2%	4.8%	4.7%	4.5%	4.0%	3.7%	3.3%	3.2%	3.0%

#### Illustration of how to use Table 50 to determine the correct margin of error when investigating subgroups:

To estimate the percentage in the entire population of *Lewis County adults* who believe that the *overall state of the local economy* is *at least good (Excellent or Good)* one must simply refer to Table 24 and it can be observed that 22.7% of the 483 sampled Lewis County participants replied with *at least good* (0.4% indicated *Excellent*, while another 22.3% indicated *Good*). Reference to Table 50 on the preceding page indicates that the appropriate margin of error would be ±6.0% (used p=22%, the closest to 22.7% that is shown in Table 50; and used n=485, the closest to 483 that is included in Table 50). Therefore, we can be 95% confident that if *all* Lewis County adults were to evaluate the *state of the local economy* the resulting percentage who would indicate *at least good* among this population would be within ±6.0% of the 22.7% found in our sample. The interpretation of this would be that we are 95% confident that among *all* Lewis County adults the percentage who believe that the *state of the local economy* is *at least good* would be somewhere between 16.7% and 28.7%. Note that this margin of error of 6.0 percentage points is larger than the earlier-cited study margin of error of approximately 3.0 percentage points as a result of there being only 483 adults in this county-specific sample (n=483, not 1,790, for this example). Also, please note that readers who desire a greater level of accuracy than this estimated margin of error that has been excerpted from Table 50, one may directly calculate the exact margin of error using p=22.7 and n=483 and DEFF=2.66 in the ME formula shown two pages earlier.

### <u>Significance Testing - Testing for Statistically Significant Trends, Differences, and Relationships</u>

The technical discussion of statistical techniques above has focused on the statistical inference referred to as estimation – construction of confidence intervals using the margins of error described in the tables shown on preceding pages. To take full advantage of the data collected in this study, other statistical techniques are of value. Tests for significant trends over time within the North Country, tests for differences between the three annually studied North Country counties, tests for significantly correlated factors with measured variables, and tests to compare response distributions for similarly-scaled variables within the North Country data in 2024 are presented as well.

A comment or two regarding "statistical significance" could help readers of varying quantitative backgrounds most appropriately interpret the results of what has been statistically analyzed. Again, because the data for the 25<sup>th</sup> Annual North Country Survey of the Community is based on a *sample* of 1,790 adult residents, as opposed to obtaining information from every single adult resident in the three counties, there must be a method of determining whether an observed relationship or difference in the *sample* survey data is likely to continue to hold true if *every* adult resident of the region were, in fact, interviewed. To make this determination, *tests of statistical significance* are standard practice in evaluating sample survey data.

For example, if the *sample* data shows that male residents are more likely to report that *the quality of the environment* is *Excellent* in **Lewis County** than female residents (38.3% vs. 20.5%, respectively, Table 16 in Appendix II), the researcher would want to know if this higher satisfaction with *the quality of the environment* among male residents would still be present if they interviewed *every* Lewis County adult rather than just the sample of 483 Lewis County adults who were actually interviewed. To answer this question, the researcher uses a *test of statistical significance*. The outcome of a test of statistical significance will be that the result is either "not statistically significant" or the result is "statistically significant."

The meaning of "not statistically significant" is that if the sample were repeated many more times (in this case that would mean many more different groups of n=483 randomly selected adults from the approximately 20,000 adults in Lewis County), then the results of these samples would <u>not</u> consistently show that male residents are more likely to report that *the quality of the environment* is *Excellent* in Lewis County than female residents; some samples would have males higher and some would have females higher. In this case, the researcher could <u>not</u> report *with high levels of confidence* that the male satisfaction rate is statistically significantly different from the female rate. Rather, in this case the difference found between males and females in the one actually selected sample of size n=483 Lewis County residents would be interpreted as small enough that it could be due simply to the random chance of sampling – <u>not</u> statistically significant. Again, the determination of "how far apart is far enough apart to be statistically significant?" is calculated by using sampling distributions and the margins of error described earlier. These tools allow the measurement of how far apart sample subgroups must be to be interpreted as a very *unlikely* difference to occur simply by random chance (if one assumes that the population values for the subgroups are, in fact, equal).

Conversely, the meaning of "statistically significant" is that if the sample were repeated many more times, then the results of these samples would consistently show that male Lewis County adults are more likely to report *the quality of the environment* is *Excellent* than females; and further, if *every* adult were interviewed, we are confident that the population "perceived as *Excellent*" rate among males would be higher than the rate among females. One can never be 100% certain (or confident) that the result of a sample will indicate appropriately whether the population percentages are, in fact, statistically significantly different from one another or not. However, using the standard confidence level of 95%, an interpretation of "not statistically significant" means that the size of the observed sample difference would naturally be expected to be found in 95 out of 100 random samples of similar size n. The interpretation of a "statistically significant" difference is that it is so large that there is a probability of less than 5% that this difference occurred simply due to the

random chance of sampling (if one assumes that the population values for the subgroups are, in fact, equal) – instead, it is considered a "real" difference. In statistical vocabulary and notation, this would be represented as a p-value of less than 5% (p<0.05).

## <u>Correlated Explanatory Variables – How does one decide if there is a "statistically significant"</u> correlation?

Throughout this report, cross-tabulation comparisons for "relationships between collected variables" have been completed. With investigations for *relationships between variables*, the focus is the identification of correlations *between* variables – is the result for some survey question different when looking at various subgroups (or, levels) of some other variable? Again, referring to the "quality of the environment" scenario, one could observe in Table 16 in Appendix II that the "Excellent" rate *among males is 38.3%*, and compare this to the rate *among females (which is only 20.5%)*. A very small difference between these within-subgroup rates (or, proportions) could be small enough to quite likely occur simply due to the random chance of sampling when the real population values for all males and all females in the county are equal – found to be <u>not</u> a statistically significant difference (p>0.05). Conversely, a very large difference between these within-subgroup proportions could be large enough to be quite *un*likely to occur simply due to the random chance of sampling when the real population values for all males and all females in the county are equal – found to be a statistically significant difference (p<0.05).

How does one determine if the observed difference in rates (or, percentages) when comparing subgroups is large enough to be statistically significant, or so small that it is not statistically significant? The rule that should be applied to determine statistical significance is:

- 1. Sample percentages in the same row and subtable (comparing demographic subgroups) <u>not sharing</u> the same subscript <u>are</u> significantly different at p< .05.
- 2. Sample percentages in the same row and subtable (comparing demographic subgroups) <u>sharing</u> the same subscript are not significantly different at p< .05.

All tests have been completed using the two-proportion z-test. Subsequent cell adjustment for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost sub-table using the Bonferroni Multiple Comparison corrections has been completed when necessary. Tests assume equal variances. All results for all significance tests are reported in the associated cross-tabulation contingency tables using APA-style subscripts.

As an example, the demographic cross-tabulations for satisfaction with "quality of the environment" for Lewis County

in 2024 are shown below (and, also later in this report this is Table 16 in Appendix II):

	•	Lewis County	Gender	Identity		Age G	iroups		Edu	cation L	evel
		in Year 2024	Male	Female	18-39	40-59	60-69	70+	HSG	sc	4+YD
Quality of the	Excellent	29.5% <sup>1</sup>	38.3% <sub>a</sub>	20.5% <sub>b</sub>	18.3% <sub>a</sub>	33.8% <sub>b</sub>	33.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	33.7% <sub>a,b</sub>	26.2% <sub>a</sub>	25.1% <sub>a</sub>	42.1% <sub>b</sub>
environment	Good	50.7% <sup>1</sup>	43.7% <sub>a</sub>	57.6% <sub>b</sub>	50.5% <sub>a</sub>	51.6% <sub>a</sub>	51.8% <sub>a</sub>	50.3% <sub>a</sub>	49.4% <sub>a</sub>	58.4% <sub>a</sub>	43.1% <sub>a</sub>
	Fair	16.3% <sup>1</sup>	16.5% <sub>a</sub>	17.0% <sub>a</sub>	26.0% <sub>a</sub>	11.8% <sub>b</sub>	13.8% <sub>a,b</sub>	11.1% <sub>a,b</sub>	19.2% <sub>a</sub>	13.9% <sub>a</sub>	14.0% <sub>a</sub>
	Poor	2.9% <sup>1</sup>	1.3% <sub>a</sub>	4.6% <sub>b</sub>	5.1% <sub>a</sub>	2.5% <sub>a</sub>	0.8% <sub>a</sub>	2.7% <sub>a</sub>	4.4% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0% <sup>2</sup>
	Don't Know	0.6% <sup>1</sup>	0.2% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.0%2	0.4% <sub>a</sub>	0.5% <sub>a</sub>	2.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>	0.3% <sub>a</sub>	0.7% <sub>a</sub>
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Unweighted n	483	173	298	51	130	166	125	123	198	152

This cross-tabulation table for Lewis County shows that in 2024, 38.3% of male participants rate *the quality of the environment* in the county as "Excellent", while only 20.5% of female participants do so, and since these two groups <u>do not share</u> a subscript (males are designated as "a", while females are "b"), the two groups <u>do differ statistically significantly</u>. In 2024 in Lewis County, men are significantly more satisfied with *the quality of the environment* than are females (when "satisfaction" is defined as a rating of "Excellent"). The above-described process is the appropriate process to use whenever comparing subgroups within the data set that has been collected and analyzed within this study. Note that if the two subscripts are *the same* (such as "a" and "a"), then the two groups do *not* differ statistically significantly.

## <u>Regional Comparisons – How does one decide if a county is "statistically significantly" different from other counties?</u>

The same concept of statistical significance that has described in the preceding pages regarding "Correlational Analyses" is also applied when a researcher attempts to complete a "Comparison among North Country Counties" in 2024. The focus now becomes the comparison of the 2024 county-specific results to one another. How does one determine if the observed difference in rates (or, percentages) when comparing counties is large enough to be statistically significant, or so small that it is not statistically significant? The rule that should be applied to determine statistical significance is:

1. Sample percentages in the same row and subtable (comparing demographic subgroups) <u>not sharing</u> the same subscript <u>are</u> significantly different at p< .05.

## 2. Sample percentages in the same row and subtable (comparing demographic subgroups) <u>sharing</u> the same subscript are not significantly different at p< .05.

All tests have been completed using the two-proportion z-test. Subsequent cell adjustment for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost sub-table using the Bonferroni Multiple Comparison corrections has been completed when necessary. Tests assume equal variances. All results for all significance tests are reported in the associated cross-tabulation contingency tables using APA-style subscripts.

As an example, the county comparison cross-tabulations for satisfaction with "Overall State of the Local Economy" for this North Country study in 2024 are shown below (and, also in the body of the report this is Table 24):

		Jefferson	Lewis	St. Lawrence	3-County Region
	Excellent	4.1% a	0.4%b	0.1%b	1.9%
	Good	23.4% a	22.3% a	9.7% b	17.2%
The overall state of the	Fair	37.9% a	40.1% a	38.0% a	38.2%
local economy	Poor	29.7% a	35.0% a	51.7% b	40.1%
<b>,</b>	Don't Know	5.0% a	2.1% a	0.4%b	2.6%
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Sample Sizes	748	483	552	1783

This above cross-tabulation table shows that in 2024, 29.7% of Jefferson participants rate *Overall State of the Local Economy* in their county as "Poor", while 35.0% of Lewis participants do so, and a much larger 51.7% of St. Lawrence participants do so. Since these three counties <u>do all not share</u> the same subscript (Jefferson and Lewis are "a", while St. Lawrence is "b"), the groups <u>do differ statistically significantly</u>. In 2024 in St. Lawrence County residents are significantly more likely to rate the *Overall State of the Local Economy* as "Poor" than are residents of either of the other two counties, and Jefferson and Lewis do not differ from one another. The above-described process is the appropriate process to use whenever comparing counties within the data set that has been collected and analyzed within this study.

#### <u>Trend Analysis – How does one decide if a county has "statistically significantly" changed over time?</u>

Whenever possible in this report, comparisons are made between the current results and the results in earlier community studies completed in each county. The research question that is being investigated in these comparisons is, "Has there been any statistically significant change in attitudes or behaviors among the adult residents in the county between 2000 and 2024?"

When interpreting the comparisons that have been provided, the reader should consider the following factors. The *Center for Community Studies* also completed the earlier studies. The earlier studies used sampling methodology that was very similar to that which was utilized in the present 2024 study, as well as similar post-stratification weighting procedures. However, the earlier survey instruments that were used are not exactly the same instrument that has been used in 2024. Therefore, only the questions/items that were also measured in earlier studies are available for trend analysis to compare with the current results. With the similar methodologies and weighting procedures that have been applied, it is valid to make comparisons between the studies – observe changes or trends. The sample sizes for each of the twenty-five years of the Annual Surveys of the Community are summarized earlier in Table 4.

The same concept of statistical significance that has described in the preceding pages regarding "Correlational Analyses" and "Comparison among North Country Counties" in 2024 is also applied when a researcher attempts to complete a trend analysis. The focus now becomes the comparison of the 2024 results to earlier-year results (rather than the comparison of counties to each other in a year, illustrated earlier). The technique that is recommended in this study to determine whether a statistically significant trend has occurred in a county is to apply the following method that has also been recommended by the New York State Department of Health in its presentation of the Expanded Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). The NYSDOH 2009 Expanded BRFSS (on page 12 of 151 in that report) cites the following:

"When the confidence intervals of two estimates of the same indicator from different areas (or, subgroups) do not overlap, they may be said to be statistically significantly different, i.e., these differences are unlikely related to chance and are considered true differences. If there is any value that is included in both intervals, the two estimates are not statistically significantly different."

In other words, first the reader must identify the specific response choice of interest. For example, is one interested in only investigating use "Excellent", or is one more interested in collapsing the two possible response choices of "Excellent" and "Good" together into a response choice group that could be referred to as "At Least Good"? Then, after observing the sample sizes for the years to be compared (in Table 4 of this report), one may refer to Table 50 in this study to identify the correct *approximate* margins of error (or directly calculate these margins of error with more accuracy and precision using the ME formula shown and demonstrated on page 127) if estimating proportions (or, "percentages" or "rates") for differing years. With these margins of error, two separate confidence intervals may be constructed, one for each year, and the overlap-vs.-non-overlap rule recommended above by the NYSDOH may be applied to determine whether the observed

sample difference between years should be considered statistically significant. This technique for testing for statistical significance does include the design effect in measuring the standard error.

To illustrate a trend analysis, please consider the "Quality of K-12 Education" variable for <u>St. Lawrence</u> County. Reference to Table 23 in Appendix I of this report shows that:

In 2015: in St. Lawrence County: n=442 participants (found in Table 4 earlier in this report), and in Table 23 in Appendix I p=65% responded *Excellent or Good*; therefore, from Table 50 the approximate margin of error is ±7.0%. The resulting confidence interval for 2015 is: 65%±7.0%, or (58.0%,72.0%).

In 2024: in St. Lawrence County: n=554 participants, and in Table 23 in Appendix I p=55% responded *Excellent or Good*; therefore, from Table 50 the approximate margin of error is ±6.8%. The resulting confidence interval for 2024 is: 55%±6.8%, or (48.2%,61.8%).

Since these two confidence intervals <u>do</u> overlap, the difference between 2015 and 2024 in St. Lawrence County (the ten-year trend) <u>is not</u> considered statistically significant. In other words, based upon the sample data collected in this survey, the rate of evaluating the "Quality of K-12 Education" in St. Lawrence County as "Excellent or Good" <u>has not</u> changed significantly between 2015 and 2024. The 55% rate of responding Excellent or Good in 2024 is not far enough away from (below) the 65% rate found in 2015 to be a statistically significant change, this 10% difference is not tremendously unlikely to occur by random chance if the satisfaction rates in the entire adult population in the county are truly the same in these two compared years.

#### Comparing Similarly-scaled Variables (Survey Items):

Finally, to determine whether or not a difference observed between two similarly-measured items is statistically significant, the same significant testing method as that which was shown for trend analyses and county comparisons has been applied in this study. The focus now becomes the comparison of the level of satisfaction, or support, or whatever is measured for various similarly-scaled survey items ... for example, is there statistically significantly more (or less) satisfaction for one item versus another? Again, first the reader must identify the specific response choice of interest. For example, is one interested in only investigating "Every day", or is one more interested in collapsing the two possible response choices of "Every day and Most days" together into a response choice group that could be referred to as "At Least Most Days"? Then, one may refer to Table 50 in this study to identify the correct *approximate* margins of error (or directly calculate these margins of error with more accuracy and precision using the ME formula shown and demonstrated on page 127) if estimating proportions (or, "percentages" or "rates") for differing survey questions that are measured on the same scale. With these margins of error, two separate confidence intervals may be constructed, one for each issue, and the overlap-vs.-non-overlap rule recommended above by the NYSDOH may be applied to determine whether or not the observed sample difference between the survey items should be considered statistically significant. This technique for testing for statistical significance does include the design effect in measuring the standard error.

To illustrate a comparison of responses for two separate survey items, please consider the following two direction-of-\_\_\_\_\_ survey items among all North Country participants in 2024 – "Generally speaking, would you say things in the country are heading in the right or wrong direction?" (Table 31, in Section 3.2), and "Generally speaking, would you say things in your county are heading in the right or wrong direction?" (Table 33, in Section 3.2).

Country: in 2024 from Table 31, n=1,756 participants and p=69.3% responded "Wrong Direction"; therefore from Table 50 the approximate margin of error is ±3.5%. The resulting confidence interval for "Country Wrong Direction" in 2024 is: 69.3%±3.5%, or (65.8%,72.8%).

County: in 2024 from Table 33, n=1,751 participants and p=43.8% responded "Wrong Direction"; therefore from Table 50 the approximate margin of error is ±3.8%. The resulting confidence interval for "County Wrong Direction" in 2024 is: 43.8%±3.8%, or (40.0%,47.6%).

Since these two confidence intervals <u>do not</u> overlap, the difference in rate of responding "Generally speaking, things in my county are heading in the wrong direction" (43.8%) and the rate of responding "Generally speaking, things in the country are heading in the wrong direction" (69.3%) in 2024 among North Country adults <u>is</u> considered statistically significant. The 43.8% rate found for the country is far enough away from (below) the 69.3% rate found for the country to be a statistically significant difference, this 25.5% difference in responding "Wrong Direction" is very *un*likely to occur by random chance if the rates in the entire North Country adult population are truly the same for these two compared similarly-scaled types of attitudes.

Finally, the preceding comments regarding statistically significant differences between subgroups, statistically significant differences between North Country Counties, statistically significant changes between study years, and statistically significant differences between like-scaled variables are comments addressing **statistical** significance ...

which, of course, is not one-and-the-same as *practical* significance. The reader should be reminded that statistical significance addresses the concept of *probability*, as follows – "is this difference likely to occur in a sample of size n=1,790 if there is no difference in the entire sampled populations... could the result simply be due to chance?" However, practical significance is an interpretation that is left to the subject area expert, since practical significance addresses the concept of *usefulness*, as follows – "is this result useful in the real world?" A difference identified in a sample may *not* be practically significant without being practically significant, however, a difference identified in a sample may *not* be practically significant without being statistically significant.

Please direct any questions regarding margin of error, confidence intervals, other sources of sampling error, tests of statistical significance, and practical significance to the professional staff at the *Center for Community Studies*.

## Appendix IV – The 2024 Survey Instrument



## 25th Annual North Country Survey of the Community

The Center for Community Studies at JCC is conducting the 25<sup>th</sup> Annual North Country Survey of the Community. We do this survey every year and are interested in your opinions about the quality of life and future direction of the region. Do you have a few minutes to do a survey for us?

Q1: Please rate each of the following characteristics of your county as either EXCELLENT, GOOD, FAIR, or POOR. (check the boxes, please)

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	DK
Cultural and entertainment opportunities					
Cost of energy					
Health care access					
Health care quality					
Access to higher education					
Public outdoor recreational opportunities					
Quality of the environment					
County government					
Town and village government					
Real estate taxes					
Policing and crime control					
Availability of good jobs					
Shopping opportunities					
Quality of K-12 education					
The overall state of the local economy					
Availability of care for the elderly					
Availability of housing					
Availability of childcare					
Availability of behavioral health services					
The downtown of Watertown					
The overall quality of life in the area					

Our next group questions relate to other aspects of life in the North Country such as personal financial situation, resident attitudes, and characteristics. These questions are tracked in the county and asked regularly as part of our annual survey. Q2. Generally speaking, would you say that things in this **COUNTRY** are heading in the: Wrong direction Don't Know/Not sure Q3. Generally speaking, would you say that things in **NEW YORK STATE** are heading in the: \_\_\_ Wrong direction \_\_\_ Don't Know/Not sure Q4. Generally speaking, would you say that things in **YOUR COUNTY** are heading in the: Wrong direction Don't Know/Not sure Right direction Q5. When considering you or your family's personal financial situation - has it gotten BETTER, stayed about the SAME, or gotten WORSE in the past 12 months? \_\_\_ Better \_\_ Same \_\_\_ Worse \_\_\_ Not Sure Q6. Have you ever heard of the Center for Community Studies at JCC before this survey? \_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ Don't Know/Not sure Q7. What do you think is the single largest issue that is facing residents of the North Country right now? Q8. How likely is it that someone in your household would use a facility in Jefferson County for the processing of food products as a part of a small, local business? For example, a person may use the facility to cook, bake, decorate, chop, store, age, can food items. Would there be a person in your household who is...? \_\_\_\_ Very likely \_\_\_\_ Not at all likely \_\_\_\_ Somewhat likely \_\_\_\_ Don't Know Our next few questions are included to determine the impact of homelessness in North Country communities. Q9. To what extent do you feel the issue of homelessness in your community personally impacts you? Does it have a...? \_\_\_\_ Major impact \_\_\_\_ Moderate impact \_\_\_\_ Minor impact \_\_\_\_ Not at all an impact \_\_\_\_ Don't Know/Not Sure Q10. When considering all of the issues facing your community, do you consider homelessness to be a top priority, a moderate priority, or a low priority? \_\_\_\_ Top priority \_\_\_\_ Moderate priority \_\_\_\_ Low priority \_\_\_\_ Don't Know/Not Sure Q11. To what extent do you feel the issue of homelessness in your community is a safety concern? Do you think it is a ...? \_\_ Major concern \_\_\_ Moderate concern \_\_\_ Minor concern \_\_\_ Not at all a concern \_\_\_ Don't Know/Not Sure Q12. To assist individuals experiencing homelessness, how supportive are you of a shelter with limited or minimal requirements for entry to be located in your community? \_\_\_\_ Very supportive \_\_\_\_ Somewhat supportive \_\_\_\_ A little supportive \_\_\_\_ Not at all supportive

Q13. What do you believe are the primary reasons for the home that the primary reasons for the home.  Homelessness is not an issue in my community.  Substance use issues  Mental illness	omelessness in your community? CHOOSE ALL THAT APPLY.  Lack of affordable housing  Poor economic conditions  Personal choices  Other reasons:
Our next few questions are related to the upcoming 202	4 elections.
Q14. Are you a registered voter?  Yes, registered as a Republican Yes, registered as a Democrat Yes, registered as a Independent Yes, registered in a different party, Yes, registered as No Party,	No, not registered Don't Know
Among registered voters:	
Q15: How likely are you to vote in the elections in Novembe  Definitely will Vote Probably will Vote Unlikely to Vote Definitely WILL NOT Vote Not sure	r elections that include the US Presidency?
Among those who are likely to vote in the November 202	24 elections:
Q16: US Presidential Election: If the election for the US pre Kamala Harris?  Definitely Trump  Leaning Trump  Leaning Harris  Definitely Harris  Someone else  Not sure/Undecided	esident were today, for whom would you vote - Republican Donald Trump or Democrat
Among all registered voters:	
Q17: The 2020 Presidential Election: If you were registered against Joe Biden?  I was not registered in 2020 I did not vote in 2020 Donald Trump Joe Biden A different candidate Not sure	d to vote in 2020, who did you vote for in the Presidential Election when Donald Trump ran
The last few demographic questions will help us get a b	etter sense of the general nature of the people who have helped us with this project.
COUNTY: In what county do you reside? Jefferson	Lewis St. Lawrence
AGE: Select the category in which your age falls.  Teens Twenties Thi Fifties Sixties Set	rties Forties venties Eighty or older
EDUCATION: Select the category in which your highest level Less than a high school graduate High school graduate (Include GED) Some college, no degree (include tect	el of formal education falls Associate Degree Bachelor's Degree h school) Graduate Degree
POLITICAL BELIEFS: How would you classify your political  Very Conservative  Conservative	beliefs? Middle of the Road Very Liberal Liberal Don't Know
OCCUPATION: What is your occupation?	
HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION: Do any people under the ac	ge of 18 are live in your household?

INCOME: Select the category in which your yearly household income falls:
Up to \$10,000
\$10,001 - \$25,000\$75,001 - \$100,000
\$25,001 - \$50,000\$100,001-\$125,000 Over \$125,000
MILITARY AFFILIATION: Which of the following describes your affiliation with Fort Drum?
You are active military at FD No current/past military affiliation at FD
Someone else in the household is AM at FD Not sure
FORT DRUM EMPLOYMENT: Is your residence in the North Country currently related to either civilian or military employment at Fort Drum, by either you or a family member?Yes No
RACE: How would you describe yourself in regard to your race or ethnicity?
SEX: What is your gender?Male Female Non-binary Other: (Please Specify)
TOWN: In what township do you reside?
<b>ZIP CODE:</b> What is your postal <u>zip code in Northern NY?</u>
WOULD YOU JOIN OUR PANEL? As you may have heard, we have been surveying residents for 25 years in the North Country. We are in the process of creating a representative panel of North Country residents who we will contact in the future to share their opinions and experiences to help us complete important local research. As is the case for all of our studies, all future survey responses will be confidential. We are planning to give participants from our panel a chance to receive free local gift cards each time they complete a survey.
Would you be willing to join our North Country community panel and possibly receive future surveys? NoYes (please let us know how to send you surveys below)
Your cell #:
Your email address:
Thank you very much for helping us out this evening. The results will be released in June. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Joel LaLone, Director of the Center for Community Studies, 315-786-2264 or commstudies@sunyjefferson.edu. Have a great evening.